amount of the bond that should be imposed.

Written Submissions: The parties to the investigation are requested to file written submissions on the issue under review as set forth above. The submissions should be concise and thoroughly referenced to the record in this investigation. Parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Such submissions should address the recommended determination by the ALJ on remedy and bonding. Complainant and the IA are also requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission’s consideration. Complainant is further requested to provide the expiration date of the ’392 patent and state the HTSUS number under which the accused articles are imported. The written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than the close of business on October 21, 2010. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on November 1, 2010. No further submissions on these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document and 12 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above with the Office of the Secretary. Any person desiring to submit a document (or portion thereof) to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment unless the information has already been granted such treatment during the proceedings. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary of the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See section 201.6 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.


By order of the Commission,

Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010–25801 Filed 10–13–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–282 (Third Review)]

Petroleum Wax Candles From China


ACTION: Scheduling of an expedited five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on petroleum wax candles from China.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1677(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on petroleum wax candles from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: Effective Date: October 4, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Keysa Martinez (202–205–2136), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–0000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On October 4, 2010, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (75 FR 38121, July 1, 2010) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.3 Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on November 10, 2010, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission’s rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission’s rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,2 and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before November 15, 2010 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by November 15, 2010. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce’s final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce’s results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. The Commission’s rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission’s rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8, 2002). Even where electronic filing of a document is permitted, certain documents must also be filed in paper form, as specified in ITC’s Electronic Filing Guide at http://edis.usitc.gov. Additionally, the Commission may require the filing of challenge cases on the record. The Commission will not consider comments that are submitted after the deadline.

1 A record of the Commissioners’ votes, the Commission’s statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner’s statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s Web site.2 The Commission has found the response submitted by the National Candle Association to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).
INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE–10–028]

Sunshine Act Meeting Notice


TIME AND DATE: October 15, 2010 at 11 a.m.


STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agenda for future meetings: none.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Inv. Nos. 701–TA–469 and 731–TA–1168 (Final) (Certain Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe from China)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determinations and Commissioners’ opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before October 27, 2010.)
5. Inv. Nos. 701–TA–249 and 731–TA–262, 263, and 265 (Third Review) (Iron Construction Castings from Brazil, Canada, and China)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determinations and Commissioners’ opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before October 27, 2010.)

[FR Doc. 2010–25936 Filed 10–12–10; 11:15 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–691]

In the Matter of Certain Inkjet Ink Supplies and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation as to Claims 7 and 10 of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,089,687 and 7,104,630; and Finding a Violation of Section 337; Schedule for Submissions on Remedy, Public Interest, and Bonding


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 18) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) terminating the investigation as to claims 7 and 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,089,687 and claims 2 and 3 of U.S. Patent No. 7,104,630 and finding a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in this investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:30 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov).

The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation was instituted on October 29, 2009, based upon a complaint filed by Hewlett-Packard Company of Palo Alto, California (“HP”) on September 23, 2009, and supplemented on October 7, 2009, 74 FR 55856 (Oct. 29, 2009). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain inkjet ink supplies and components thereof that infringe certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,959,985 (“the ’85 patent”); 7,104,630 (“the ’630 patent”); 6,089,687 (“the ’687 patent”); and 6,264,301 (“the ’301 patent”). The complaint named as respondents Zhuhai Gree Magneto-Electric Co. Ltd. of Guangdong, China (“Zhuhai”); InkPlusToner.com of Canoga Park, California (“InkPlusToner”); Mipo International Ltd. of Kowloon, Hong Kong (“Mipo International”); Mextec Group, Inc. (“Mextec”); Mextec, Shanghai Angel Printer Supplies Co. Ltd. of Shanghai, China (“Shanghai Angel”); SmartOne Services LLC (“SmartOne”); and Ourway Image Co., of Guangdong China (“Ourway”).

On February 17, 2010, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 9) finding seven respondents, Mipo International, Mextec, Shanghai Angel, Shenzhen Print Media, Zhuhai National, Tatrix, and Ourway in default pursuant to Commission Rule 210.16. On March 19, 2010, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 11) terminating the investigation as to respondent Comptree based upon a settlement agreement. Also on March 19, 2010, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 12) terminating the investigation as to respondent Zhuhai based upon a consent order. On March 31, 2010, the