Dealers will incur the additional burden of completing and displaying a second Buyers Guide in 6.5 percent of sales assuming that dealers choose to comply with the Rule by posting both English and Spanish Buyers Guides. The annual burden hours associated with completing and posting Buyers Guides is 1,737,638 hours (1,022,140 hours for entering data on Buyers Guides plus 715,498 hours for displaying Buyers Guides). Therefore, staff estimates that the additional burden caused by the Rule’s requirement that dealers display Spanish language Buyers Guides when conducting sales in Spanish is 112,947 hours (1.737,638 hours x 6.5%). The other components of the annual burden, i.e., purchasing Buyers Guides and revising them for changes in warranty coverage, remain unchanged.

Estimated annual cost burden: $26,301,525 in labor costs and $4,906,275 in non-labor costs.

1. Labor costs: Labor costs are derived by applying appropriate hourly cost figures to the burden hours described above. Staff has determined that all of the tasks associated with ordering forms, entering data on Buyers Guides, posting Buyers Guides on vehicles, and revising them as needed, including the corresponding tasks associated with Spanish Buyers Guides, are typically done by clerical or low-level administrative personnel. Using a clerical cost rate of $13.32 per hour and an estimated burden of 1,974,589 hours for disclosure requirements, the total labor cost burden would be approximately $26,301,525.

2. Capital or other non-labor costs: Although the cost of Buyers Guides can vary considerably, based on industry input staff estimates that the average cost of each Buyers Guide is twenty cents. The estimated cost of Buyers Guides for the 24,531,374 used cars sold by dealers in 2009 is approximately $4,906,275. In making this estimate, staff conservatively assumes that all dealers will purchase preprinted forms instead of producing them internally, although dealers may produce them at minimal expense using current office automation technology. Capital and start-up costs associated with the Rule are minimal.

Christian S. White,
Acting General Counsel.
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OFFICE OF GOVERNMENT ETHICS
Updated OGE Senior Executive Service Performance Review Board

AGENCY: Office of Government Ethics (OGE).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the appointment of members of the updated OGE Senior Executive Service (SES) Performance Review Board.

DATES: Effective Date: October 12, 2010.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: 5 U.S.C. 4314(c) requires each agency to establish, in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Office of Personnel Management at 5 CFR part 430, subpart C and § 430.310 thereof in particular, one or more Senior Executive Service performance review boards. As a small executive branch agency, OGE has just one board. In order to ensure an adequate level of staffing and to avoid a constant series of recusals, the designated members of OGE’s SES Performance Review Board are being drawn, as in the past, in large measure from the ranks of other agencies. The board shall review and evaluate the initial appraisal of each OGE senior executive’s performance by his or her supervisor, along with any recommendations in each instance to the appointing authority relative to the performance of the senior executive. This notice updates the membership of OGE’s SES Performance Review Board as it was most recently published at 73 FR 53250–53251 (September 15, 2008).

Approved: October 5, 2010.

Robert I. Cusick,
Director, Office of Government Ethics.

The following officials have been appointed as regular members of the SES Performance Review Board of the Office of Government Ethics:

Don W. Fox [Chair], General Counsel, Office of Government Ethics; Daniel L. Koffsky, Special Counsel, Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice; David Maggi, Chief, Ethics Law and Program Division, Office of the Assistant General Counsel for Administration, Department of Commerce; and Robert A. Shapiro, Associate Solicitor for Legal Counsel, Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. 2010–25580 Filed 10–8–10; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000–0135; Docket 2010–0083; Sequence 23]

Federal Acquisition Regulation; Submission for OMB Review; Prospective Subcontractor Requests for Bonds

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of request for public comments regarding an extension to an existing OMB clearance.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Regulatory Secretariat will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a previously approved information collection concerning subcontractor requests for bonds. A notice published in the Federal Register at 75 FR 28808 on May 24, 2010 and one comment was received.

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the FAR, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to