20523–2110 or telephone him at (202) 712–0218 or fax (202) 216–3124.

Any questions concerning this notice may be directed to:
—Ronald S. Senykoff, PhD, Executive Director, BIFAD, Office of Development Partners, (202) 712–0218.

Ronald S. Senykoff,
Executive Director and USAID Designated Federal Officer for BIFAD, Office of Development Partners, USAID for International Development.

[FR Doc. 2010–25201 Filed 10–5–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 58–2010]

Foreign-Trade Zone 51—Duluth, MN; Application for Reorganization Under Alternative Site Framework

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the Duluth Seaway Port Authority, grantee of FTZ 51, requesting authority to reorganize the zone under the alternative site framework (ASF) adopted by the Board (74 FR 1170, 1/12/09; correction 74 FR 3987, 1/22/09). The ASF is an option for grantees for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones and can permit significantly greater flexibility in the designation of new “usage-driven” FTZ sites for operators/users located within a grantee’s “service area” in the context of the Board’s standard 2,000-acre activation limit for a general-purpose zone project. The application was submitted pursuant to the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on October 1, 2010.

FTZ 51 was approved by the Board on November 27, 1979 (Board Order 149, 44 FR 70508; 12/7/1979) and expanded on September 23, 1982 (Board Order 197, 47 FR 43102, 9/30/1982). The current zone project includes the following sites: Site 1 (27.3 acres)—located within the Arthur M. Clure Public Marine Terminal, Duluth; and, Site 2 (3 acres)—located within the Airpark Industrial Park at Enterprise Circle and Airpark Boulevard, Duluth.

The grantee’s proposed service area under the ASF would be Carlton and Lake Counties, as well as portions of Itasca and St. Louis Counties, Minnesota, as described in the application. If approved, the grantee would be able to serve sites throughout the service area based on companies’ needs for FTZ designation. The proposed service area is within and adjacent to the Duluth Customs and Border Protection port of entry.

The applicant is requesting authority to reorganize its existing zone project to include the existing sites as “magnet” sites. The ASF allows for the possible exemption of one magnet site from the “sunset” time limits that generally apply to sites under the ASF and the applicant proposes that Site 1 be so exempted. The applicant has also requested that Site 1 be expanded to include an additional 34.15 acres. Because the ASF only pertains to establishing or reorganizing a general-purpose zone, the application would have no impact on FTZ 51’s authorized subzone.

In accordance with the Board’s regulations, Elizabeth Whiteman of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board’s Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is December 6, 2010. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to December 20, 2010.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230–0002, and in the “Reading Room” section of the Board’s Web site, which is accessible via http:// www.trade.gov/ftz. For further information, contact Elizabeth Whiteman at Elizabeth.Whiteman@trade.gov or (202) 482–0473.

Dated: October 1, 2010.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–25225 Filed 10–5–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 57–2010]

Foreign-Trade Zone 148—Knoxville, TN; Application for Subzone; Toho Tenax America, Inc. (Carbon Fiber and Oxidized Polyacrylonitrile Fiber Manufacturing); Rockwood, TN

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Industrial Development Board of Blount County, Tennessee, grantee of FTZ 148, requesting special-purpose sub zone status for the carbon fiber and oxidized polyacrylonitrile fiber (OPF) manufacturing and warehousing facilities of Toho Tenax America, Inc. (Toho), located in Rockwood, Tennessee. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on September 29, 2010.

The Toho facilities (154 employees) consist of two sites in Rockwood, Tennessee: Site 1 (20 acres, 192,932 sq. ft. of enclosed space)—manufacturing plant, located at 121 Cardiff Valley Road; and, Site 2—60,000 square foot warehouse facility, located at 200 Cardiff Valley Road. Activity to be conducted under FTZ procedures would include manufacturing, warehousing and distribution of polyacrylonitrile (PAN)—based carbon fiber and OPF (up to 4,000 metric tons combined annually) for export and the domestic market. The company manufactures standard grade carbon fiber for industrial and recreational uses, including wind turbine blades, specialty plastics, oil flotation devices, pressure vessels, and golf club shafts. The OPF is primarily used in aircraft brakes, but is also used in some technical yarns. Foreign-origin PAN fiber (HTSUS 5501.30, duty rate: 7.5%) is used as the primary production input, which represents some 35–45 percent of finished product value. FTZ procedures could exempt Toho from customs duty payments on the foreign PAN fiber used in export production (some 30 percent of annual shipments). On its domestic sales, Toho would be able to choose the duty rate during customs entry procedures that applies to the finished carbon fiber (HTSUS 6815.10, duty-free) for the foreign PAN fiber. The OPF is classified under the same HTSUS subheading (5501.30) as the foreign PAN fiber input and would not incur customs duties. Toho would also be exempt from duty payments on any foreign-
origin PAN fiber that becomes scrap or waste during manufacturing. FTZ designation may further allow Toho to realize logistical benefits through the use of weekly customs entry and direct delivery procedures. The request indicates that the savings from FTZ procedures would help improve the facilities’ international competitiveness.

In accordance with the Board’s regulations, Diane Finver of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the Board. Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board’s Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is December 6, 2010. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to December 20, 2010.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230–0002, and in the “Reading Room” section of the Board’s Web site, which is accessible via http://www.trade.gov/ftz.

For further information, contact Diane Finver at Diane.Finver@trade.gov or (202) 482–1367.


Andrew McGillvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–25227 Filed 10–5–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–863]

Honey From the People’s Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results for New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: October 6, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Josh Startup, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5260.

Background

On February 4, 2010, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) initiated this new shipper review (“NSR”) of the antidumping duty order on honey from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”), covering the period December 1, 2008, through November 30, 2009. See Honey from the People’s Republic of China: Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Reviews, 75 FR 5764 (February 4, 2010). On February 12, 2010, the Department exercised its discretion to toll the deadlines for all Import Administration cases by seven calendar days due to the February 5, through February 12, 2010, Federal Government closure. See “Memorandum to the Record from Ronald Lorentzen, DAS for Import Administration, regarding Tolling of Administrative Deadlines as a Result of the Government Closure During the Recent Snowstorm,” dated February 12, 2010. On July 7, 2010, the Department exercised its discretion to extend the deadline for preliminary results of this NSR by 90 days, making the preliminary results due no later than November 2, 2010. See Honey from the People’s Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results for New Shipper Review, 75 FR 38980 (July 7, 2010). On September 10, 2010, the Department published the preliminary results of this NSR. See Honey from the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Intent to Rescind New Shipper Reviews, 75 FR 55307 (September 10, 2010). As a result, the final results of this NSR are currently due no later than December 1, 2010.

Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“Act”), and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(1) require the Department to issue the preliminary results of a NSR within 180 days after the date on which the NSR was initiated, and the final results of a review within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued. The Department may, however, extend the deadline for completion of the final results of a NSR by 60 days if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated. See section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2).

The Department has determined that the review is extraordinarily complicated because of issues related to surrogate valuation and the Department will need additional time to review the supplemental questionnaire responses received after the preliminary results. Therefore, the Department has determined that the final results of this NSR cannot be completed within the statutory time limit of 90 days. Accordingly, the Department is extending the time limit for the completion of the final results by 60 days until January 31, 2011, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2).

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2).


Susan H. Kuhbach,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2010–25235 Filed 10–5–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–904]

Certified Activated Carbon From the People’s Republic of China: Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results of the Third Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: October 6, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bob Palmer or Katie Marksberry, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–9068 or (202) 482–7905, respectively.

Background


1 Department practice dictates that where a deadline falls on a weekend, the appropriate deadline is the next business day. See Notice of Clarification: Application of “Next Business Day” Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, as Amended, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005).