DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Meeting of the Paterson Great Falls National Historical Park Advisory Commission

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, 86 Stat. 770, 5 U.S.C. App 1,10), notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Paterson Great Falls National Historical Park Advisory Commission.

DATES: The Commission will meet on Tuesday, October 26, 2010 at 9 a.m. until 5 p.m.

ADDRESSES: This meeting will be held at the Paterson Museum at 2 Market Street (intersection of Spruce Street) in Paterson, New Jersey.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Paterson Great Falls NHP Federal Advisory Commission was authorized by Congress and signed by the President on March 30, 2009 (Pub. L. 111–11, Title VII, Subtitle A, Section 7001, Subsection e) “to advise the Secretary in the development and implementation of the management plan.” The agendas for these meetings will be published by press release.

This meeting will be open to the public and time will be reserved for public comment. Oral comments will be summarized for the record. If individuals wish to have their comments recorded verbatim they must submit them in writing. Written comments and requests for agenda items may be submitted electronically to bill.bolger@nps.gov. Alternatively, comments and requests may be sent to: Bill Bolger, National Park Service, 200 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19106. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Investigation Nos. 731–TA–1082 and 1083 (Review)]

Chlorinated Isocyanurates From China and Spain; Determinations

On the basis of the record \(^1\) developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on chlorinated isocyanurates from China and Spain would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.\(^2\)

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on May 3, 2010 (75 FR 23303) and determined on August 6, 2010 that it would conduct expedited reviews (75 FR 51113, August 18, 2010).

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these reviews to the Secretary of Commerce on September 30, 2010. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4184 (September 2010), entitled Chlorinated Isocyanurates From China and Spain: Investigation Nos. 731–TA–1082 and 1083 (Review).

By order of the Commission.


Marilyn R. Abbot,
Secretary to the Commission.

Frank J. Knapp, Secretary.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–470–471 and 731–TA–1169–1170 (Final)]

Certain Coated Paper Suitable for High-Quality Print Graphics Using Sheet-Fed Presses From China and Indonesia


ACTION: Revised schedule for the subject investigations.

DATES: Effective Date: September 28, 2010.


Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for these investigations may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Effective May 6, 2010, the Commission established a schedule for the conduct of the final phase of the subject investigations (75 FR 29364, May 25, 2010). The Commission has decided to revise its schedule with respect to the following dates: (1) The deadline for filing posthearing briefs by the parties, (2) the closing of the Commission’s record, and (3) the deadline for filing of final comments by parties.

The revised deadline for filing posthearing briefs is October 1, 2010. The Commission’s record will close on October 18, 2010. The revised deadline for filing of final comments is October 20, 2010.

For further information concerning these investigations see the Commission’s notice cited above and the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A and C (19 CFR part 207).

Authority: These investigations are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.21 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.
INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Notice of Receipt of Complaint; Solicitation of Comments Relating to the Public Interest


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has received a complaint entitled In Re Certain Video Game Systems and Controllers, DN 2756; the Commission is soliciting comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint.


Supplementary Information: The Commission has received a complaint filed on behalf of Motiva, Inc. on October 1, 2010. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain video game systems and controllers. The complaint names as respondents Nintendo Co., Ltd. of Minami-ku, Kyoto, Japan and Nintendo of America, Inc. of Redmond, WA. The complainant, proposed respondents, other interested parties, and members of the public are invited to file comments, not to exceed five pages in length, on any public interest issues raised by the complaint. Comments should address whether issuance of an exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order in this investigation would negatively affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the orders are used in the United States;

(ii) Identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the potential orders;

(iii) Indicate the extent to which like or directly competitive articles are produced in the United States or are otherwise available in the United States, with respect to the articles potentially subject to the orders; and

(iv) Indicate whether Complainant, Complainant’s licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to an exclusion order and a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time.

Written submissions must be filed no later than by close of business, five business days after the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register. There will be further opportunities for comment on the public interest after the issuance of any final initial determination in this investigation.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document and 12 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above with the Office of the Secretary. Submissions should refer to the docket number (“Docket No. 2756”) in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. The Commission’s rules authorize filing submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means only to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the rules (see Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/documents/handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf). Persons with questions regarding electronic filing should contact the Secretary (202–205–2000). Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary at the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of sections 201.10 and 210.50(a)(4) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.50(a)(4)).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 1, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott, Secretary to the Commission.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree

Notice is hereby given that on September 23, 2010, a proposed Consent Decree was lodged with the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The Consent Decree was lodged in the case United States v. Air Distribution Products, et al., Civil Action No. 2:10–cv–07056–GW (C.D. Cal.).

The United States of America (“United States”), on behalf of the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”), and the California Department of Toxic Substances Control (“Department”) filed a complaint pursuant to Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (“CERCLA”), 42 U.S.C. 9607, seeking reimbursement of response costs incurred or to be incurred for response actions taken in connection with the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the South El Monte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Area 1 Superfund Site in South El Monte, Los Angeles County, California (the “South El Monte O.U.”).

Under the proposed Consent Decree, 15 potentially responsible parties with respect to the South El Monte O.U. will pay a total of about $2,007,095 (collectively). The settlement amounts are based on each settling defendant’s ability to pay. In exchange for the payment, the plaintiffs covenant not to sue each settling defendant under Section 106 or 107 of CERCLA.


Marilyn R. Abbott, Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010–25157 Filed 10–5–10; 8:45 am]
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