FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Aquila Carter, (202) 493–2906, Office of the Chief Financial Officer, Federal Highway Administration, Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Voucher for Federal-aid Reimbursements.

OMB Control Number: 2125–0507

Background: The Federal-aid Highway Program provides for the reimbursement to States for expenditure of State funds for eligible Federal-aid highway projects. The Voucher for Work Performed under Provisions of the Federal Aid and Federal Highway Acts as amended is utilized by the States to provide project financial data regarding the expenditure of State funds and to request progress payments from the FHWA. Title 23 U.S.C. 121(b) requires the submission of vouchers. The specific information required on the voucher is contained in 23 U.S.C. 121 and 117. Two types of submissions are required by recipients. One is a progress voucher where the recipient enters the amounts claimed for each FHWA appropriation, and the other is a final voucher where project costs are classified by work type. An electronic version of the Voucher for Work Performed under Provisions of the Federal Aid Highway Acts, as amended, Form PR–20, is used by all recipients to request progress and final payments.

Respondents: 50 State Transportation Departments, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, American Samoa, and the Virgin Islands.

Frequency: Annually.

Estimated Average Burden per Response: The respondents electronically submit an estimated total of 12,900 vouchers each year. Each voucher requires an estimated average of 30 minutes to complete.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 6,450 hours.


Issued On: October 1, 2010.

Judith Kane,
Acting Chief, Management Programs and Analysis Division.

[FR Doc. 2010–25184 Filed 10–5–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board
[Docket No. FD 35410]

Adrian & Blissfield Rail Road Company—Continuance in Control Exemption—Jackson & Lansing Railroad Company

Adrian & Blissfield Rail Road Company (ABBF), a Class III rail carrier, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(2) to continue in control of Jackson & Lansing Railroad Company (JAIL), upon JAIL’s becoming a Class III rail carrier.1 This transaction is related to 2 other transactions for which notices of exemption have been simultaneously filed: Docket No. FD 35411, Jackson & Lansing Railroad Company—Lease and Operation Exemption—Norfolk Southern Railway Company, in which JAIL seeks an exemption under 49 CFR 1150.31 to lease from Norfolk Southern Railway Company (NSR), and to operate, approximately 44.5 miles of rail lines,2 known as the Lansing Secondary, the Lansing Manufacturers Railroad, and segments of the Lansing Industrial Track; and Docket No. FD 35418, Jackson & Lansing Railroad Company—Trackage Rights Exemption—Norfolk Southern Railway Company, in which JAIL seeks to acquire, pursuant to an agreement with NSR, non-exclusive local and overhead trackage rights over approximately 1.06 miles of the line owned by NSR and currently leased to CSX Transportation, Inc., on the Lansing Secondary, between milepost LZ 36.83 in Lansing, Mich., and milepost 37.86 in North Lansing, Mich., for the sole purpose of interchanging with NSR.

This transaction may not be consummated until October 20, 2010, the effective date of the exemption (30 days after exemption was filed). ADBF states that: (1) The rail lines to be operated by JAIL do not connect with the lines of ADBF or any other single railroad controlled by ADBF’s corporate family; (2) the transaction is not part of a series of anticipated transactions that would result in such a connection; and (3) the transaction does not involve a Class I rail carrier. Therefore, the transaction is exempt from the prior approval requirements of 49 U.S.C. 11323. See 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(2).

Under 49 U.S.C. 10502(g), the Board may not use its exemption authority to relieve a rail carrier of its statutory obligation to protect the interests of its employees. Section 11326(c), however, does not provide for labor protection for transactions under sections 11324 and 11325 that involve only Class III rail carriers. Accordingly, the Board may not impose labor protective conditions here because all of the carriers involved are Class III carriers.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void ab initio. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the effectiveness of the exemption. Petitions for stay must be filed no later than October 13, 2010 (at least 7 days before the exemption becomes effective).

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to Docket No. FD 35410, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20423–0001. In addition, 1 copy of each pleading must be served on John D. Heffner, PLLC, and James H. M. Savage, Of Counsel, 1750 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20006. Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at http://www.stb.dot.gov.

Decided: October 1, 2010.

By the Board.

Rachel D. Campbell,
Director, Office of Proceedings.

Jeffrey Herzig,
Clearance Clerk.

[FR Doc. 2010–25105 Filed 10–5–10; 8:45 am]
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Surface Transportation Board
[Docket No. FD 35411]

Jackson & Lansing Railroad Company—Lease and Operation Exemption—Norfolk Southern Railway Company

Under 49 CFR 1011.7(b)(10), the Director of the Office of Proceedings (Director) is delegated the authority to determine whether to issue notices of exemption for lease transactions under


1 JAIL is a noncarrier entity, wholly owned and controlled by ADBF. In addition, ADBF currently controls through stock ownership 3 Class III carriers: The Charlotte Southern Railroad Company; the Detroit Connecting Railroad Company; and the Lapeer Industrial Railroad Company, all within the State of Michigan.

2 In addition, JAIL will acquire from NSR incidental trackage rights over 2.96 miles of track on NSR’s Michigan Main Line in Jackson, Mich., for the sole purpose of interchanging with NSR.

3 JAIL states that, despite the apparent overlap, the boundary of the assigned trackage rights is distinct from the boundary of the Lansing Secondary. The apparent overlap is the result of an historical rounding error in NSR’s engineering maps.