to BDCs by section 57(i) prohibit affiliated persons of a registered investment company, or an affiliated person of such person, acting as principal, from participating in any joint transaction or arrangement in which the registered company or a company it controls is a participant, unless the Commission has issued an order authorizing the arrangement. Section 57(a)(4) of the Act imposes substantially the same prohibitions on joint transactions involving any BDC and an affiliated person of such BDC, or an affiliated person of such affiliated person, as specified in section 57(b) of the Act. Section 57(i) of the Act provides that rules and regulations under section 17(d) of the Act will apply to transactions subject to section 57(a)(4) in the absence of rules under that section. The Commission has not adopted rules under section 57(a)(4) with respect to joint transactions and, accordingly, the standards set forth in rule 17d–1 govern applicants’ request for relief.

12. The Prior Order only extends relief from section 57(a)(4) and rule 17d–1 for joint transactions between Triangle and TMF. Accordingly, applicants request relief under section 57(i) and rule 17d–1 to permit any joint transaction that would otherwise be prohibited by section 57(a)(4), in which TMF (as a BDC) and another Subsidiary participate, but only to the extent that the transaction would not be prohibited if Triangle and the Subsidiaries were a single company.

13. In determining whether to grant an order under section 57(i) and rule 17d–1, the Commission considers whether the participation of the BDC in the joint transaction is consistent with the provisions, policies, and purposes of the Act, and the extent to which such participation is on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of other participants. Applicants note that the proposed transactions are consistent with the policy and provisions of the Act and will enhance the interests of Triangle and TMF while retaining the important protections afforded by the Act. In addition, because the joint participants will conduct their operations as though they comprise one company, the participation of one will not be on a basis different from or less advantageous than the others. Accordingly, applicants believe that the standard for relief under section 57(i) and rule 17d–1 is satisfied.

14. Applicants note that the conditions in the Prior Order will be replaced by the conditions set forth herein. These conditions are the same conditions as in the Prior Order, except that (a) the defined terms have been revised to include all current and future Subsidiaries, (b) condition 6 has been added in the event that a person serves or acts as an investment adviser to SBIC II or a future Subsidiary, and (c) the two conditions relating to consolidated reporting, which applicants no longer believe to be necessary, will be deleted from the Prior Order.

Applicants’ Conditions

Applicants agree that the Amended Order will be subject to the following conditions:

1. Triangle will at all times own and hold, beneficially and of record, all of the outstanding equity interests in any Subsidiary, including all of the outstanding membership interests in any general partner of any Subsidiary, or otherwise own and hold beneficially, all of the outstanding voting securities and other equity interests in such Subsidiary.

2. The SBIC Subsidiaries will have investment policies not inconsistent with those of Triangle, as set forth in Triangle’s registration statement.

3. No person shall serve as a member of any board of directors of any Subsidiary unless such person shall also be a member of the Board of Directors of Triangle. The board of directors or the managers, as applicable, of any Subsidiary will be appointed by the equity owners of such Subsidiary.

4. Triangle will not itself issue or sell any senior security, and Triangle will not cause or permit any SBIC Subsidiary to issue or sell any senior security of which Triangle or such SBIC Subsidiary is the issuer except to the extent permitted by section 18 (as modified for BDCs by section 61) of the Act; provided that immediately after the issuance or sale of any such senior security by either Triangle or any SBIC Subsidiary, Triangle individually and on a consolidated basis shall have the asset coverage required by section 18(a) (as modified by section 61(a)), except that, in determining whether Triangle and any SBIC Subsidiary on a consolidated basis have the asset coverage required by section 61(a), any borrowings by any SBIC Subsidiary shall not be considered senior securities and, for purposes of the definition of “asset coverage” in section 18(h), shall be treated as indebtedness not represented by senior securities.

5. Triangle will acquire securities of any SBIC Subsidiary representing indebtedness only if, in each case, the prior approval of the SBA has been obtained. In addition, Triangle and any SBIC Subsidiary will purchase and sell portfolio securities between themselves only if, in each case, the prior approval of the SBA has been obtained.

6. No person shall serve or act as investment adviser to SBIC II or any future Subsidiary unless the Triangle Board and the stockholders of Triangle shall have taken such action with respect thereto that is required to be taken pursuant to the Act by the functional equivalent of the board of directors of SBIC II or any future Subsidiary and the stockholders of SBIC II or any future Subsidiary as if SBIC II or such future Subsidiary were a BDC.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

Florence E. Harmon,
Deputy Secretary.

[PR Doc. 2010–25073 Filed 10–5–10; 8:45 am]
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September 29, 2010.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission").

ACTION: Notice of an application for an order under section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Act") for an exemption from sections 23(a), 23(b) and 63 of the Act, and under sections 57(a)(4) and 57(i) of the Act and rule 17d–1 under the Act permitting certain joint transactions otherwise prohibited by section 57(a)(4) of the Act.

SUMMARY OF THE APPLICATION: Applicant, Capital Southwest Corporation ("Capital Southwest"), requests an order to permit it to issue restricted shares of its common stock to its officers and employees under the terms of its employee compensation plan.

FILING DATES: The application was filed on May 5, 2010, and amended on May 17, 2010 and September 24, 2010.

HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING: An order granting the application will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission’s Secretary and serving applicant with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on October 25, 2010, and should be accompanied by proof of service on applicant, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state
the nature of the writer’s interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission’s Secretary.

**ADDRESSES:** Secretary, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090. Applicant, 12900 Preston Road, Suite 700, Dallas, TX 75230.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Yoder, Senior Counsel, at (202) 551–6878, or Michael W. Mundt, Assistant Director, at (202) 551–6821 (Division of Investment Management, Office of Investment Company Regulation).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The following is a summary of the applicant’s representations. The complete application may be obtained via the Commission’s Web site by searching for the file number, or for an applicant using the Company name box, at http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm, or by calling (202) 551–8090.

**Applicant’s Representations**

1. **Capital Southwest, a Texas corporation, is an internally managed, non-diversified, closed-end investment company that has elected to be regulated as a business development company (“BDC”) under the Act.** Capital Southwest provides debt and equity growth capital to privately-held middle-market companies and its investment objective is to achieve capital appreciation through long-term investments in businesses believed to have favorable growth potential. Capital Southwest’s investment interests are focused on expansion financings, management buyouts, minority recapitalizations, industry consolidations and early-stage financings, in a broad range of industry segments. Shares of Capital Southwest’s common stock are traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol “CSWC.” As of April 13, 2010, there were 3,741,638 shares of Capital Southwest’s common stock outstanding. As of that date, Capital Southwest had 514 employees, including employees of its wholly-owned subsidiaries.

2. **Capital Southwest currently has a five-member board of directors (the “Board”) of whom one is an “interested person” of Capital Southwest within the meaning of section 2(a)(19) of the Act and four are not interested persons (the “Non-interested Directors”).** Capital Southwest has four directors who are neither officers nor employees of Capital Southwest.

3. **Capital Southwest believes that its successful performance depends on its ability to offer fair compensation packages to its professionals that are competitive with those offered by other investment management businesses.** Capital Southwest believes that the ability to offer equity-based compensation to its professionals is vital to Capital Southwest’s future growth and success. Capital Southwest wishes to adopt the Capital Southwest Corporation 2010 Restricted Stock Award Plan (the “Plan”) providing for the periodic issuance of shares of restricted stock (i.e., stock that, at the time of issuance, is subject to certain forfeiture restrictions, and thus is restricted as to its transferability until such forfeiture restrictions have lapsed) (the “Restricted Stock”) for its employees and officers, and employees of its wholly-owned subsidiaries (each a “Participant,” and collectively, the “Participants”).

4. **The Plan will authorize the issuance of shares of Restricted Stock subject to certain forfeiture restrictions.** These restrictions may relate to continued employment (lapping either on an annual or other period basis or on a “cliff” basis, i.e., at the end of a stated period of time), or other restrictions deemed by the Compensation Committee (as defined below) to be appropriate. The Restricted Stock will be subject to restrictions on transferability and other restrictions as required by the Compensation Committee. Except to the extent restricted under the terms of the Plan, a Participant granted Restricted Stock will have all the rights of any other shareholder, including the right to vote the Restricted Stock and the right to receive dividends. During the restriction period, the Restricted Stock generally may not be sold, transferred, pledged, hypothecated, margin, or otherwise encumbered by the Participant. Except as the Board otherwise determines, upon termination of a Participant’s employment during the applicable restriction period, Restricted Stock for which forfeiture restrictions have not lapsed at the time of such termination shall be forfeited.

5. **The maximum amount of Restricted Stock that may be issued under the Plan will be 10% of the outstanding shares of common stock of Capital Southwest on the effective date of the Plan plus 10% of the number of shares of Capital Southwest’s common stock issued or delivered by Capital Southwest (other than pursuant to compensation plans) during the term of the Plan.** The Plan limits the total number of shares that may be awarded to any single Participant in a single year to 6250 shares. In addition, no Restricted Stock Participant may be granted more than 25% of the shares reserved for issuance under the Plan. The Plan will be administered by the Compensation Committee, which, upon approval of the required majority, as defined in section 57(o) of the Act, will award shares of Restricted Stock to the Participants from time to time as part of the Participants’ compensation based on a Participant’s actual or expected performance and value to Capital Southwest.

6. **Each issuance of Restricted Stock under the Plan will be approved by the required majority, as defined in section 57(o) of the Act, of Capital Southwest’s directors on the basis that the issuance is in the best interests of Capital Southwest and its shareholders.** The date on which the required majority approves an issuance of Restricted Stock will be deemed the date on which the subject Restricted Stock is granted.

7. **The Plan has been approved by the Compensation Committee, as well as the Board, including the required majority as defined in section 57(o) of the Act. The Plan will be subject to approval to Capital Southwest’s shareholders, and will become effective upon such approval, subject to and following receipt of the order.**

**Applicant’s Legal Analysis**

**Sections 23(a) and (b), Section 63**

1. Under section 63 of the Act, the provisions of section 23(a) of the Act generally prohibiting a registered closed-end investment company from issuing securities for services or for

---

1. Capital Southwest was incorporated in Texas in 1961. On March 30, 1988 Capital Southwest elected to be regulated as a BDC. Section 2(a)(48) of the Act defines a BDC to be any closed-end investment company that operates for the purpose of making investments in securities described in sections 55(a)(1) through 55(a)(3) of the Act and makes available significant managerial assistance with respect to the issuers of such securities.

2. The term “required majority,” when used with respect to the approval of a proposed transaction, plan, or arrangement, means both a majority of a BDC’s directors or general partners who have no financial interest in such transaction, plan, or arrangement and a majority of such directors or general partners who are not interested persons of such company.
property other than cash or securities are made applicable to BDCs. This provision would prohibit the issuance of Restricted Stock as a part of the Plan.

2. Section 23(b) generally prohibits a closed-end management investment company from selling its common stock at a price below its current net asset value (“NAV”). Section 63(2) makes section 23(b) applicable to BDCs unless certain conditions are met. Because Restricted Stock that would be granted under the Plan would not meet the terms of section 63(2), sections 23(b) and 63 prohibit the issuance of the Restricted Stock.

3. Section 6(c) provides that the Commission may, by order upon application, conditionally or unconditionally exempt any person, security, or transaction, or any class or classes of persons, securities or transactions, from any provision of the Act, if and to the extent that the exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

4. Capital Southwest requests an order pursuant to section 6(c) of the Act granting an exemption from the provisions of sections 23(a) and (b) and section 63 of the Act. Capital Southwest states that the concerns underlying those sections include: (a) Preferential treatment of investment company insiders and the use of options and other rights by insiders to obtain control of the investment company; (b) complication of the investment company’s structure that makes it difficult to determine the value of the company’s shares; and (c) dilution of shareholders’ equity in the investment company. Capital Southwest states that the Plan does not raise concerns about preferential treatment of Capital Southwest’s insiders because the Plan is a bona fide compensation plan of the type common among corporations generally. In addition, section 61(a)(3)[B] of the Act permits a BDC to issue to its officers, directors and employees, pursuant to an executive compensation plan, warrants, options and rights to purchase the BDC’s voting securities, subject to certain requirements. Capital Southwest states that, for reasons that are unclear, section 61 and its legislative history do not address the issuance by a BDC of restricted stock as incentive compensation. Capital Southwest states, however, that the issuance of Restricted Stock is substantially similar, for purposes of investor protection under the Act, to the issuance of warrants, options, and rights as contemplated by section 61. Capital Southwest also asserts that the Plan would not become a means for insiders to obtain control of Capital Southwest because the number of shares of Capital Southwest issuable under the Plan would be limited as set forth in the application. Moreover, no individual Restricted Stock Participant could be issued more than 25% of the shares reserved for issuance under the Plan.

5. Capital Southwest further states that the Plan will not unduly complicate Capital Southwest’s structure because equity-based compensation arrangements are widely used among corporations and commonly known to investors. Capital Southwest notes that the Plan will be submitted to its shareholders for their approval. Capital Southwest represents that a concise, “plain English” description of the Plan, including its potential dilutive effect, will be provided in the proxy materials that will be submitted to Capital Southwest’s shareholders. Capital Southwest also states that it will comply with the proxy disclosure requirements in Item 10 of Schedule 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”). Capital Southwest further notes that the Plan will be disclosed to investors in accordance with the requirements of the Form N–2 registration statement for closed-end investment companies, and pursuant to the standards and guidelines adopted by the Financial Accounting Standards Board for operating companies. In addition, Capital Southwest will comply with the disclosure requirements for executive compensation plans applicable to operating companies under the Exchange Act. Capital Southwest thus concludes that the Plan will be adequately disclosed to investors and appropriately reflected in the market value of Capital Southwest’s shares.

6. Capital Southwest acknowledges that, while awards granted under the Plan would have a dilutive effect on the shareholders’ equity in Capital Southwest, that effect would be outweighed by the anticipated benefits of the Plan to Capital Southwest and its shareholders. Capital Southwest asserts that it needs the flexibility to provide the requested equity-based employee compensation in order to be able to compete effectively with other financial services firms for talented professionals. These professionals, Capital Southwest suggests, in turn are likely to increase Capital Southwest’s performance and shareholder value. Capital Southwest also asserts that equity-based compensation would more closely align the interests of Capital Southwest’s employees with those of its shareholders. In addition, Capital Southwest states that its shareholders will be further protected by the conditions to the requested order that assure continuing oversight of the operation of the Plan by Capital Southwest’s Board.

Section 57(a)(4), Rule 17d–1

7. Section 57(a) proscribes certain transactions between a BDC and persons related to the BDC in the manner described in section 57(b) (“57(b) persons”), absent a Commission order. Section 57(a)(4) generally prohibits a 57(b) person from effecting a transaction in which the BDC is a joint participant absent such an order. Rule 17d–1, made applicable to BDCs by section 57(l), proscribes participation in a “joint enterprise or other joint arrangement or profit-sharing plan,” which includes a stock option or purchase plan. Employees and directors of a BDC are 57(b) persons. Thus, the issuance of shares of Restricted Stock could be deemed to involve a joint transaction involving a BDC and a 57(b) person in contravention of section 57(a)(4), Rule 17d–1(b) provides that, in considering relief pursuant to the rule, the Commission will consider (i) whether the participation of the company in a joint enterprise is consistent with the Act’s policies and purposes and (ii) the extent to which that participation is on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of other participants.

---

5 Capital Southwest asks that the order apply also to any future officers and employees of Capital Southwest and future employees of Capital Southwest’s wholly-owned subsidiaries that are eligible to receive Restricted Stock under the Plan. Additionally, to the extent that Capital Southwest creates or acquires additional wholly-owned subsidiaries, and to the extent that such future subsidiaries have employees to whom the relief requested herein would otherwise apply, Capital Southwest asks that such relief, if granted, be extended to such employees of any future subsidiaries.

8. Capital Southwest requests an order pursuant to section 57(a)(4) and rule 17d–1 to permit the Plan. Capital Southwest states that the Plan, although benefiting the Participants and Capital Southwest in different ways, is in the interests of Capital Southwest’s shareholders because the Plan will help align the interests of Capital Southwest’s employees and officers with those of its shareholders, which will encourage conduct on the part of those employees and officers designed to produce a better return for Capital Southwest’s shareholders.

Applicant’s Conditions

Applicant agrees that the order granting the requested relief will be subject to the following conditions:

1. The Plan will be authorized by Capital Southwest’s shareholders.

2. Each issuance of Restricted Stock to officers and employees will be approved by the required majority, as defined in section 57(o) of the Act, of Capital Southwest’s directors on the basis that such issuance is in the best interests of Capital Southwest and its shareholders.

3. The amount of voting securities that would result from the exercise of all of Capital Southwest’s outstanding warrants, options, and rights, together with any Restricted Stock issued pursuant to the Plan, at the time of issuance shall not exceed 25% of the outstanding voting securities of Capital Southwest, except that if the amount of voting securities that would result from the exercise of all of Capital Southwest’s outstanding warrants, options, and rights issued to Capital Southwest’s directors, officers, and employees, together with any Restricted Stock issued pursuant to the Plan, would exceed 15% of the outstanding voting securities of Capital Southwest, then the total amount of voting securities that would result from the exercise of all outstanding warrants, options, and rights, together with any Restricted Stock issued pursuant to the Plan, at the time of issuance shall not exceed 20% of the outstanding voting securities of Capital Southwest.

4. The maximum amount of shares of Restricted Stock that may be issued under the Plan will be 10% of the outstanding shares of common stock of Capital Southwest on the effective date of the Plan plus 10% of the number of shares of Capital Southwest’s common stock issued or delivered by Capital Southwest (other than pursuant to compensation plans) during the term of the Plan.

5. The Board will review the Plan at least annually. In addition, the Board will review periodically the potential impact that the issuance of Restricted Stock under the Plan could have on Capital Southwest’s earnings and NAV per share, such review to take place prior to any decisions to grant Restricted Stock under the Plan, but in no event less frequently than annually. Adequate procedures and records will be maintained to permit such review. The Board will be authorized to take appropriate steps to ensure that the grant of Restricted Stock under the Plan would not have an effect contrary to the interests of Capital Southwest’s shareholders. This authority will include the authority to prevent or limit the granting of additional Restricted Stock under the Plan. All records maintained pursuant to this condition will be subject to examination by the Commission and its staff.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, under delegated authority.

FlorencE h. harmon,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–25069 Filed 10–5–10; 8:45 am]
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September 29, 2010.

I. Introduction

On June 2, 2010, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. (“FINRA”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission” or “SEC”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act” or “Act”) 1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, a proposed rule change to amend FINRA Rule 8210 to require that information provided via portable media device to FINRA in response to a request under the rule be encrypted. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the Federal Register on June 25, 2010. 2

The Commission received eleven comment letters on the proposal. 3 FINRA responded to these comment letters in a letter dated September 14, 2010. 4 This order approves the proposed rule change.

II. Background and Description of Proposal

FINRA Rule 8210 (Provision of Information and Testimony and Inspection and Copying of Books) confers on FINRA staff the authority to compel a member, person associated with a member, or other person over whom FINRA has jurisdiction, to produce documents, provide testimony, or supply written responses or electronic data in connection with an investigation, complaint, examination or adjudicatory proceeding. The rule applies to all members, associated persons, and other persons over whom FINRA has jurisdiction, including former associated persons subject to FINRA’s jurisdiction as described in the FINRA By-Laws. 5 FINRA Rule 8210(c) provides that a member’s or person’s failure to provide information or testimony or to permit an inspection

1 See letter from David M. Sohel, Esq., EVP/CCO, Abel/Noser Corp., to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Commission, dated July 6, 2010 (“Abel/ Noser Letter”); letter from Larry Taunt, Chief Executive Officer, Regal Financial Group, to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Commission, dated July 7, 2010 (“Regal Letter”); letter from Lisa Roth, NAIBD Member Advocacy Committee Chair, CEO/ COO, National Association of Independent Broker- Dealers, Inc., to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Commission, dated July 9, 2010 (“NAIBD Letter”); letter from Chris Charles, President, Wulff, Hansen, & Co., to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Commission, dated July 13, 2010 (“Wulff Hansen Letter”); letter from Tamara K. Salmon, Senior Associate Counsel, Investment Company Institute, to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Commission, dated July 14, 2010 (“ICI Letter”); letter from Byron “Pat” Treat, President/CEO, Great Nation Investment Corporation, to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Commission, dated July 15, 2010 (“Great Nation Letter”); letter from Eric Segall, Sr. V.P., Manager, Business Conduct, and Edward W. Wedbush, President, Wedbush Securities, Inc., to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Commission, dated July 15, 2010 (“Wedbush Letter”); letter from Raymond C. Holland, Vice-Chairman, Triad Securities Corp., to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Commission, dated July 15, 2010 (“Triad Letter I”); letter from sis DeMarco, Director of Compliance, Triad Securities Corp., to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Commission, dated July 15, 2010 (“Triad Letter II”); letter from S. Kendrick Dunn, Assistant Vice President, Pacific Select Distributors, Inc. to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Commission, dated July 16, 2010 (“PSD Letter”); and letter from Howard Spindel, Senior Managing Director, Integral Management Solutions, to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Commission, dated July 16, 2010 (“IMS Letter”).

2 See letter from Stan Macel, Assistant General Counsel, FINRA, to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Commission, dated September 14, 2010 (“FINRA Letter”).

3 See FINRA By-Laws, Article V, Section 4(a) (Retention of Jurisdiction).

4 See FINRA's jurisdiction as described in the FINRA By-Laws, including former associated persons subject to FINRA's jurisdiction as described in the FINRA By-Laws.

5 FINRA has jurisdiction, including former associated persons subject to FINRA's jurisdiction as described in the FINRA By-Laws.

6 FINRA responded to these comment letters in a letter dated September 14, 2010. This order approves the proposed rule change.

7 FINRA Rule 8210 (Provision of Information and Testimony and Inspection and Copying of Books) confers on FINRA staff the authority to compel a member, person associated with a member, or other person over whom FINRA has jurisdiction, to produce documents, provide testimony, or supply written responses or electronic data in connection with an investigation, complaint, examination or adjudicatory proceeding. The rule applies to all members, associated persons, and other persons over whom FINRA has jurisdiction, including former associated persons subject to FINRA's jurisdiction as described in the FINRA By-Laws.
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