SIP revision. Because that cost will not exceed $100 million, this proposal (if it is a federal mandate at all) is not subject to the requirements of sections 202 and 205 of UMRA (2 U.S.C. 1532 and 1535). EPA has also determined that this proposal would not result in regulatory requirements that might significantly or uniquely affect small governments because only the State would take any action as result of today’s rule, and thus the requirements of section 203 (2 U.S.C. 1533) do not apply.

E. Executive Order 13132, Federalism

Executive Order 13132 requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure “meaningful and timely input by State and local officials in the development of regulatory policies that have federalism implications.” This rule will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132, because it merely proposes to redesignate an area for Clean Air Act planning purposes and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 6 of the Executive Order do not apply to this rule.

F. Executive Order 13175, Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

Executive Order 13175, entitled “Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments” (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure “meaningful and timely input by tribal officials in the development of regulatory policies that have tribal implications.” The area proposed for redesignation does not yet include, and EPA is deferring action on the Ak-Chin Indian Reservation, the Pinal County portion of the Gila River Indian Reservation, and TON’s Florence Village and San Lucy Farm. In formulating its further action on these areas, EPA has been communicating with and plans to continue to consult with representatives of the Tribes, as provided in Executive Order 13175. Accordingly, EPA has addressed Executive Order 13175 to the extent that it applies to this action.

G. Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children From Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks

This proposed rule is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (“Protection of Children From Environmental Health Risks”) (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks.

H. Executive Order 13211, Actions That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use

This rule is not subject to Executive Order 13211, “Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use” (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001) because it is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

I. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12 of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) of 1995 requires Federal agencies to evaluate existing technical standards when developing a new regulation. The EPA believes that the requirements of NTTAA are inapplicable to this action because they would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act.

J. Executive Order 12898, Federal Actions To Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations

Today’s action proposes to redesignate an area to nonattainment for an ambient air quality standard. It will not have disproportionately high and adverse effects on any communities in the area, including minority and low-income communities.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 81

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, National parks, Particulate Matter, Wilderness areas.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.


Jared Blumenfeld,
Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 2010–24683 Filed 9–30–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 261
[66 FR 24683]

Hazardous Waste Management System; Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste; Proposed Rule

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.
response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment. For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this Federal Register.


Bill Luthans, Acting Director, Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division.

[FR Doc. 2010–24572 Filed 9–30–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
Defense Acquisition Regulations System

48 CFR Parts 216 and 252

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS); Electronic Ordering Procedures (DFARS Case 2009–D037)

AGENCY: Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Proposed rule with request for comments.

SUMMARY: DoD is proposing to amend the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement to address electronic business procedures for placing orders.

DATES: Comment date: Comments on this proposed rule should be submitted in writing to the address shown below on or before November 30, 2010, to be considered in the formation of the final rule.

ADRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by DFARS Case 2009–D037, using any of the following methods: Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. E-mail: dfars@osd.mil. Include DFARS Case 2009–D037 in the subject line of the message.

Fax: 703–602–0350.


Comments received generally will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided.

To confirm receipt of your comment(s), please check http://www.regulations.gov approximately two to three days after submission to verify posting (except allow 30 days for posting of comments submitted by mail).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Julian E. Thrash, 703–602–0310.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

DoD is proposing to add language to the DFARS to make electronic distribution procedures a routine part of order issuance. This case establishes a standard method for issuance of orders via electronic means. DoD currently has the capability to distribute orders electronically on a routine basis, and can post those orders centrally to a site any contractor can access.

DoD is proposing the following changes:

• Add the prescription at DFARS 216.506(a) to require a new clause 252.216–70XX, Ordering, in lieu of the clause at FAR 52.216–18, Ordering, in solicitations and contracts when a definite-quantity contract, a requirements contract, or an indefinite-quantity contract is contemplated; and

• Add a new clause at DFARS 252.216–70XX, Ordering.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

This change may have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq.

DoD has prepared an initial regulatory flexibility analysis consistent with 5 U.S.C. 603. A copy of the analysis may be obtained from the point of contact specified herein. The objective of this rule is that, as the DoD now has the capability to distribute orders electronically on a routine basis and can post those orders centrally to a Web site that any contractor can access, the DFARS needs to provide language that will make those procedures a routine part of contract issuance. This rule will enable DoD to further the goals of the E–Government Act of 2002.

For Fiscal Year 2009, DoD made awards to 6,097 small business-unique Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) numbers using the clause at FAR 52.216–18, Ordering. The benefit of this rule to small business is that it will make electronic distribution procedures a routine part of order issuance. This change will ultimately help improve the management and promotion of electronic Government services and processes, and establish a framework to improve public access to Government information and services. DoD invites comments from small business concerns and other interested parties on the expected impact of this rule on small entities.

DoD will also consider comments from small entities concerning the existing regulations in subparts affected by this rule in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 610. Interested parties must submit such comments separately and should cite 5 U.S.C. 610 (DFARS Case 2009–D037) in correspondence.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the rule does not impose any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 216 and 252

Government procurement.

Ynette R. Shelkin, Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.

Therefore, DoD proposes to amend 48 CFR parts 216 and 252 as follows:

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR parts 216 and 252 continues to read as follows:


PART 216—TYPES OF CONTRACTS

2. Amend section 216.506 by adding paragraph (a) to read as follows:

216.506 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses.

(a) Insert the clause at 252.216–70XX, Ordering, in lieu of the clause at FAR 52.216–18, in solicitations and contracts when a definite-quantity contract, a requirements contract, or an indefinite-quantity contract is contemplated.

PART 252—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES

3. Add section 252.216–70XX to read as follows:

252.216–70XX Ordering.

As prescribed in 216.506(a), use the following clause: