

collection: The total burden hours to complete revision and review portion of the SCO data collection will be 1,064 hours (19 hours to review and revise 38 spreadsheets per court system × 56 respondents = 1,064 hours). The total burden hours involved in collection of the new SCO data will be 1,344 hours (24 hours to provide data for 24 spreadsheets per court system × 56 respondents = 1,344 hours). Therefore, it is estimated that the 56 court systems should require 2,408 hours (1,064 hours to revise and update 38 prior SCO spreadsheets + 1,344 hours to provide data for 24 new SCO spreadsheets) to complete data collection for the SCO project.

If additional information is required contact: Lynn Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street, NE., Suite 2E-502, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: September 21, 2010.

Lynn Murray,

Department Clearance Officer, PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2010-24031 Filed 9-24-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[OMB Number 1117-0008]

Agency Information Collection

**Activities: Proposed Collection;
Comments Requested: Application for Procurement Quota for Controlled Substances and Ephedrine, Pseudoephedrine, and Phenylpropanolamine**

ACTION: 30-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 75, Number 138, page 42133 on July 20, 2010, allowing for a 60-day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until October 27, 2010. This

process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395-5806.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection 1117-0008

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Application for Procurement Quota for Controlled Substances and Ephedrine, Pseudoephedrine, and Phenylpropanolamine (DEA Form 250).

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* DEA Form 250, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:*

Primary: Business or other for-profit.

Other: None.

Abstract: 21 U.S.C. 826 and 21 CFR 1303.12 and 1315.32 require that U.S. companies who desire to use any basic class of controlled substances listed in

Schedule I or II or the List I chemicals ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, and phenylpropanolamine for purposes of manufacturing during the next calendar year shall apply on DEA Form 250 for procurement quota for such class or List I chemical.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* It is estimated that 255 individual respondents will respond for controlled substances and that 165 individual respondents will respond for List I chemicals ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, and phenylpropanolamine. Each form takes 1 hour to complete.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* 255 individual respondents will spend one hour completing 2077 forms annually for controlled substances for 2077 hours annually and 165 individual respondents will spend one hour completing 271 forms annually for 271 hours annually for List I chemicals ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, and phenylpropanolamine. Therefore, the total public burden for this collection is 2,348 hours annually.

If additional information is required contact: Lynn Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street, NE., Suite 2E-502, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: September 21, 2010.

Lynn Murray,

Department Clearance Officer, PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2010-24032 Filed 9-24-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Comment Request for Information Collection for The Data Validation Requirement for Employment and Training Programs (OMB Control No. 1205-0448): extension With No Changes

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public

and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Employment and Training Administration is soliciting comments concerning the collection of data validation for the following employment and training programs: Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Title IB, Wagner-Peyser, Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), National Farmworker Jobs Program (NFJP) and Senior Community Service Employment Program (SCSEP). The current expiration date is February 28, 2011.

A copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addresses section of this notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressee's section below on or before November 26, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, Office of Policy Development and Research, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Room S-5206, Washington, DC 20210, *Attention:* Karen A. Staha. *Telephone number:* (202) 693-2917 (this is not a toll-free number). *Fax:* (202) 693-3490. *E-mail:* Staha.Karen@dol.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The accuracy and reliability of program reports submitted by States and grantees using Federal funds are fundamental elements of good public administration and are necessary tools for maintaining and demonstrating system integrity. States and grantees receiving funding under WIA Title IB, Wagner-Peyser Act, TAA, and the Older Americans Act are required to maintain and report accurate program and financial information (WIA section 185 (29 U.S.C. 2935) and WIA Regulations 20 CFR 667.300(e)(2); Wagner-Peyser Act section 10 (29 U.S.C. 49i), Older Americans Act section 503(f)(3) and (4) (42 U.S.C. 3056a(f)(3) and (4)), and TAA Regulations 20 CFR 617.57).

Data Validation. The data validation requirement for employment and

training programs strengthens the workforce system by ensuring that accurate and reliable information on program activities and outcomes is available. Data validation is intended to accomplish the following goals:

- Ensure that critical performance data are valid and accurate.
- Detect and identify specific problems with a State's or grantee's reporting processes, including the software used for compiling this information, to enable the State or grantee to correct any problems.
- Help States and grantees analyze the causes of performance successes and failures by displaying participant data organized by performance outcomes. In addition, the process enables States and grantees to calculate error rates by means of randomly selected validation subsamples of the complete set of records.

Data validation consists of two parts:

1. Report validation evaluates the validity of aggregate reports submitted to ETA by externally verifying the accuracy of the reporting software used to calculate the reports. Report validation is conducted by separately processing the complete file of participant records and comparing the validation results to those reported by the State or grantee.
2. Data element validation assesses the accuracy of participant data records. Data element validation is conducted via comprehensive manual reviews sampled participant records against source documentation in order to ensure accuracy and compliance with Federal definitions.

Data Validation Background. In response to an Office of Inspector General (OIG) finding that programmatic performance data submitted to ETA by the State grantees lacked adequate systematic and independent verification, ETA began the current Data Validation Initiative in 2003. This initiative utilizes a comprehensive data validation methodology embedded in specific Data Validation software for gauging the accuracy and validity of the aggregate State-level reports submitted annually to ETA, as well as the individual participant-level data underlying these aggregate reports. ETA programs that have implemented Data Validation include: WIA Title IB, Wagner-Peyser, TAA, NFJP and SCSEP. States received training prior to beginning validation and receive ongoing training and technical assistance from ETA's data validation contractor throughout the validation process.

Resources. The requirement to perform validation originates from

States' and grantees' responsibility to provide accurate information on program activities and outcomes to ETA. States and grantees are expected to provide resources for conducting validation from their administrative funds. Validation of program performance is a basic responsibility of grantees, who are required to report on program performance, in accordance with statutory provisions and Department of Labor regulations (29 CFR 95.51 and 97.40).

Data Validation Tools. In an effort to minimize the costs of implementing data validation, ETA developed standardized software and user handbooks that States and grantees can use to conduct data validation.

- Software developed by ETA generates samples, worksheets, and reports on data accuracy. For report validation, the software validates the accuracy of aggregate reports that are generated by the State's or grantee's reporting software and produces an error rate for each reported count. For data element validation, the software generates a sample of the participant records and data elements for the State or grantee to validate. The software produces worksheets on which the validator records information after checking the source documentation in the sampled case files. The software calculates error rates for each data element, with confidence intervals of 3.5 percent for large States/grantees and 4 percent for small States/grantees.

- User handbooks provide detailed information on software installation, building and importing a validation file, and completing report and data element validation. The handbooks also explain the validation methodology, including sampling specifications and data element validation instructions for each data element to be validated.

Data Recording and Reports. States and grantees submit their validation results electronically to ETA in the same manner as other reports. The results are stored in a data base in ETA's headquarters in Washington, DC.

Training and Technical Assistance. ETA has provided data validation training and technical assistance to States in regional sessions on an ongoing basis since its inception. States and grantees may obtain technical assistance on validation procedures and the use of the validation tools by contacting ETA's data validation contractor.

II. Review Focus

The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

* Evaluate whether the proposed continuation of the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has considerable practical utility;

* Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

* Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

* Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

III. Current Actions

Type of Review: Extension without changes.

Title: The Data Validation Requirement for Employment and Training Programs.

OMB Number: 1205-0448.

Affected Public: State, local and tribal government entities and private non-profit organizations.

Form(s): Workforce Investment Act Data Reporting and Validation System (DRVS) User Handbook, Labor Exchange DRVS Software Users Guide, NFJP Data Validation Handbook, TAA Data Validation Handbook.

Total Annual Respondents: 179 (53 States, 52 NFJP and 74 SCSEP grantees).

Annual Frequency: Complete data validation annually.

Total Annual Responses: 285 (3 responses each for the 53 States, 1 response for each of the 52 NFJP grantees and 1 response for each of the 74 SCSEP grantees).

Average Time per Response: 347 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 62,174.

Total Annual Burden Cost for Respondents: \$0.

Comments submitted in response to this comment request will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: September 21, 2010.

Jane Oates,

Assistant Secretary, Employment and Training Administration.

[FR Doc. 2010-24052 Filed 9-24-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Advisory Committee for Engineering; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

Name: Advisory Committee for Engineering (1170).

Date/Time: October 20, 2010: 12 p.m. to 6 p.m. October 21, 2010: 8 a.m. to 12 p.m.

Place: National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 1235, Arlington, Virginia 22230.

Type of Meeting: Open.

Contact Person: Deborah Young, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 505, Arlington, Virginia 22230, 703/292-8300.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice, recommendations and counsel on major goals and policies pertaining to engineering programs and activities.

Agenda: The principal focus of the meeting on both days will be to discuss emerging issues and opportunities for the Directorate for Engineering and its divisions and review Committee of Visitors Reports.

Dated: September 21, 2010.

Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 2010-24050 Filed 9-24-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. NRC-2010-0208]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The NRC published a **Federal Register** Notice with a 60-day comment period on this information collection on June 23, 2010.

1. *Type of submission, new, revision, or extension:* Extension.

2. *The title of the information collection:* 10 CFR Part 21 "Reporting of Defects and Noncompliance".

3. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150-0035.

4. *The form number if applicable:* NA.

5. *How often the collection is required:* On occasion, as defects and noncompliance are reportable as they occur.

6. *Who will be required or asked to report:* Individual directors and responsible officers of firms constructing, owning, operating, or supplying the basic components of any facility or activity licensed under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, or the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended, to report immediately to the NRC the discovery of defects in basic components or failures to comply that could create a substantial safety hazard (SSH).

7. *An estimate of the number of annual responses:* 122 (74 responses plus 48 recordkeepers).

8. *The estimated number of annual respondents:* 48.

9. *An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 8,926 hours (5,350 hours reporting plus 3,576 hours recordkeeping).

10. *Abstract:* The 10 CFR part 21 regulation requires each individual, corporation, partnership, commercial grade dedicating entity, or other entity subject to the regulations in this part to adopt appropriate procedures to evaluate deviations and failures to comply to determine whether a defect exists that could result in a substantial safety hazard. Depending upon the outcome of the evaluation, a report of the defect must be submitted to NRC. Reports submitted under 10 CFR part 21 are reviewed by the NRC staff to determine whether the reported defects or failures to comply in basic components at NRC licensed facilities or activities are potentially generic safety problems. These reports have been the basis for the issuance of numerous NRC Generic Communications that have contributed to the improved safety of the nuclear industry. The records required to be maintained in accordance with 10 CFR part 21 are subject to inspection by the NRC to determine compliance with the subject regulation.

A copy of the final supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O-1 F21, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html>. The