

- Jet Propulsion Laboratory's Chief Technology Officer Briefing.
- IT Committee Work Plan Actions/Assignments.
- Logistics.

The meeting will be open to the public up to the seating capacity of the room. It is imperative that this meeting be held on this date to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants. Visitors will need to show a valid picture identification such as a driver's license to enter the NASA Ames Conference Center and must state that they are attending the NASA Advisory Council Information Technology Infrastructure Committee meeting in the Ballroom. All non-U.S. citizens must fax a copy of their passport, and print or type their name, current address, citizenship, company affiliation (if applicable) to include address, telephone number, and their title, place of birth, date of birth, U.S. visa information to include type, number and expiration date, U.S. Social Security Number (if applicable), and place and date of entry into the U.S., to Ms. Tereda J. Frazier, Executive Secretary, Information Technology Infrastructure Committee, NASA Advisory Council, at e-mail tereda.j.frazier@nasa.gov or by telephone at (202) 358-2595 by no later than September 20, 2010. To expedite admittance, attendees with U.S. citizenship can provide identifying information 3 working days in advance by contacting Ms. Tereda J. Frazier via e-mail at tereda.j.frazier@nasa.gov or by telephone at 202-358-2595. Persons with disabilities who require assistance should indicate this.

September 13, 2010.

P. Diane Rausch,

*Advisory Committee Management Officer,
National Aeronautics and Space
Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2010-23237 Filed 9-16-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION**

[NRC-2010-0178; Docket No. 50-228;
License No. R-98]

**In the Matter of Aerotest Operations,
Inc. (Aerotest Radiography and
Research Reactor); Order Extending
the Effectiveness of the Approval of
the Indirect Transfer of Facility
Operating License**

I

Aerotest Operations, Inc., (Aerotest, the licensee) is the holder of Facility Operating License No. R-98 which authorizes the possession, use, and

operation of the Aerotest Radiography and Research Reactor (ARRR) located in San Ramon, California, under the provisions of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Section 50.21(c) for research and development purposes. Aerotest is a wholly owned subsidiary of OEA Aerospace, Inc., which is wholly owned by OEA, Inc. OEA, Inc., is a wholly owned subsidiary of Autoliv ASP, Inc., (Autoliv), which is owned by Autoliv, Inc.

II

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) Order dated July 6, 2010, consented to the indirect transfer of control of the above facility from its current owner, Autoliv to X-Ray Industries, Inc. (X-Ray), (together, the applicants), pursuant to 10 CFR 50.80. By its terms, the Order of July 6, 2010, would become null and void if the license transfer was not completed by September 13, 2010, unless upon application and for good cause shown, such date was extended by the Commission.

III

By letter dated September 3, 2010, Aerotest submitted a request for an extension of the effectiveness of the Order of July 6, 2010, such that the Order would remain effective through September 28, 2010. According to the submittal, "Aerotest, along with the Buyer and Seller (the "Parties") have diligently pursued necessary agreements from the U.S. Department of Energy ("DOE") and U.S. Department of Defense ("DoD") with regard to used nuclear fuel at the ARRR. However, such agreements have proved difficult to secure for reasons beyond the control of Aerotest and the other Parties.

Aerotest expects to be able to inform the NRC by September 17, 2010, of the date by which an agreement with the DOE and DoD on used nuclear fuel will be able to be completed. At that time, Aerotest expects to be able to identify a date by which all U. S. Government agreements will be in hand so that the transfer may be consummated. Therefore, Aerotest requests an extension until seven (7) business days after September 17, 2010 or until September 28, 2010. An extension of the Transfer Order until September 28, 2010 is expected to give Aerotest adequate time to identify how long an extension is needed to complete agreements on used nuclear fuel with DOE and DoD."

The applicant stated in its September 3, 2010, extension request that the transaction will not be completed by September 13, 2010.

The NRC staff has considered the submittal of September 3, 2010, request for extension, and has determined that good cause to extend the effectiveness of the Order of July 6, 2010, has been shown in that the delay in completing the transaction was not caused by the licensee.

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to Sections 161b, 161i, 161o, and 184 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), 42 U.S.C.2201(b), 2201(i), 2201(o), and 2234; and 10 CFR 50.80, *it is hereby ordered* that the effectiveness of the Order of July 6, 2010, described herein be extended until October 15, 2010, subject to the conditions set forth in the July 6, 2010, Order, and subject to the following additional conditions:

A. No later than September 28, 2010, a description of the agreements the parties anticipate reaching with the U.S. Department of Energy and the U.S. Department of Defense regarding the ultimate fuel disposition shall be submitted in writing to the NRC Director, Division of Policy and Rulemaking. The September 28 submission shall also provide an estimated date for completion of the transfer.

B. The parties shall provide a written report to the NRC Director, Division of Policy and Rulemaking, on a weekly basis, progress made toward completion of the transfer.

It is further ordered that if the proposed transfer is not consummated by October 15, 2010, the Order of July 6, 2010, shall become null and void, unless upon application, on or before September 28, 2010, and for good cause shown, such date is further extended by Order.

This Order is effective upon issuance.

For further details with respect to this Order, see the submittal dated September 3, 2010, (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML102510500), which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area 01 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, and accessible electronically from the ADAMS Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, or 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr.resource@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 13th day of September, 2010. For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Timothy J. McGinty,

*Director Division of Policy and Rulemaking,
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 2010-23250 Filed 9-16-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2010-0282]

Revised Draft Safety Culture Policy Statement: Request for Comments

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Issuance of revised Draft Safety Culture Policy Statement and notice of opportunity for public comment.

DATES: Comments are requested 30 days from the date of this *Federal Register* Notice. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the NRC is only able to assure consideration of comments received on or before this date. Please refer to the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for additional information including specific questions for which the NRC is requesting comment.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any one of the following methods. Please include Docket ID NRC-2010-0282 in the subject line of your comments. Comments submitted in writing or in electronic form will be posted on the NRC Web site and on the Federal rulemaking Web site www.Regulations.gov. Because your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly disclosed. Additionally, the NRC requests that any party soliciting or aggregating comments received from other persons for submission to the NRC inform those persons that the NRC will not edit their comments to remove any identifying or contact information, and therefore, they should not include any information in their comments that they do not want publicly disclosed.

Federal Rulemaking Web site: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for documents filed under Docket ID NRC-2010-0282. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher 301-492-3668; e-mail Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov.

Mail comments to: Cindy K. Blady, Chief, Rules, Announcements, and Directives Branch (RADB), Division of Administrative Services, Office of

Administration, Mail Stop: TWB-05-B01M, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by fax to RADB at (301) 492-3446.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Maria E. Schwartz or Catherine Thompson at the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Enforcement, Mail Stop O-4 A15A, Washington, DC 20555-0001 or by e-mail or telephone to Maria.Schwartz@nrc.gov, (301) 415-1888, or Catherine.Thompson@nrc.gov, (301) 415-3409.

SUMMARY: On November 6, 2009, the NRC published a draft policy statement, "Safety Culture Policy Statement," in the *Federal Register* (FRN) (74 FR 57525; NRC ADAMS Accession Number ML093030375).¹ The Statement of Policy (SOP) contained in the FRN focuses on the interface of nuclear safety and security in a positive safety culture, and highlights the Commission's expectation that all licensees and certificate holders² establish and maintain a positive safety culture that protects public health and safety and the common defense and security when carrying out licensed activities. The FRN requested that interested persons provide comments within 90 days of its publication. On January 12, 2010, the comment period was extended to March 1, 2010 (75 FR 1656; ML100050288). As part of its outreach activities, the NRC held a Safety Culture Workshop in February 2010 that provided a venue for interested parties to provide comments on the draft safety culture policy statement. The additional goal of the workshop was for panelists representing a broad range of stakeholders to reach alignment on a common definition of safety culture and a high-level set of traits that describe areas important to a positive safety culture. The workshop panelists, with the assistance of the other workshop participants, developed both. Following the February workshop, the staff evaluated the public comments that were submitted in response to the November 2009 FRN. Additionally, the

¹ The Commission may use a policy statement to address matters relating to areas that are within NRC jurisdiction and are of particular interest to the Commission in order to guide staff's activities and to express its expectations; however, policy statements, unlike regulations/rules are not binding upon, or enforceable against, NRC or Agreement State licensees and certificate holders.

² The reference in the November 2009 FRN to "licensee and certificate holder" included licensees, certificate holders, permit holders, authorization holders, holders of quality assurance program approvals, and applicants for a license, certificate, permit, authorization, or quality assurance program approval.

staff participated on panels and made presentations at various industry forums in order to provide information to stakeholders about the development of the safety culture policy statement and/or to obtain additional input and to ascertain whether the draft definition and traits developed at the workshop accurately reflect a broad range of stakeholders' views.

In its ongoing effort to continue this dialogue with stakeholders, the NRC is publishing this FRN containing the revised draft SOP for a 30-day public comment period. The revised draft SOP, including the revised definition and traits, is based on careful consideration of the Commission guidance in the October 2009 Staff Requirements Memorandum (SRM) for SECY-09-0075 (ML092920099), the NRC staff's evaluation of the public comments received on the November 2009 FRN, the revised definition and traits developed at the February 2010 workshop, and the outreach efforts the NRC staff has engaged in since February 2010.

The information contained in this FRN will be used to focus discussions at a public meeting the NRC is holding on September 28, 2010, at its Las Vegas, Nevada, hearing facility. Both this FRN and the September meeting are intended to provide additional opportunities for stakeholders to provide comments on the revised draft SOP, including the revised draft definition and traits.

I. Background

Previous Policy Statements

While the NRC has increased its attention on the importance of a positive safety culture, the agency has long recognized the importance of a work environment with a safety-first focus. In 1989, in response to an incident involving operators sleeping in the control room, the NRC issued a policy statement on the conduct of operations which describes the NRC's expectation that licensees place appropriate emphasis on safety in the operations of nuclear power plants. The "Policy Statement on the Conduct of Nuclear Power Plant Operations" (54 FR 3424; January 24, 1989) states the Commission's expectations of utility management and licensed operators with respect to the conduct of operations, noting that it applies to all individuals engaged in any activity which has a bearing on the safety of nuclear power plants. The Commission issued the policy statement to help foster the development and maintenance of a positive safety culture at these facilities.