Lance Carrington—Deputy Assistant Inspector General for Investigations—West.
Tammy Whitcomb—Assistant Inspector General for Audits.
Mark Duda—Deputy Assistant Inspector General for Audits—Support Operations.
Mohammad Adra—Assistant Inspector General for Risk Analysis Research Center.

Dated: August 30, 2010.
Mark D. Jones,
Acting Executive Director, Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency.

[FR Doc. 2010–22691 Filed 9–13–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

Notice of Proposed Information Collection for 1029–0030

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is announcing its intention to request approval for the collection of information for 30 CFR part 764—State Processes for Designating Areas Unsuitable for Surface Coal Mining Operations. This collection request has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The information collection request describes the nature of the information collection and the expected burden and cost.

DATES: OMB has up to 60 days to approve or disapprove the information collections but may respond after 30 days. Therefore, public comments should be submitted to OMB by October 14, 2010, in order to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Department of Interior Desk Officer, by telefax at (202) 395–5806 or via e-mail to OIRA_Docket@omb.eop.gov. Also, please send a copy of your comments to John Trelease, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 1951 Constitution Ave., NW., Room 202–SIB, Washington, DC 20240, or electronically to jtrelease@osmre.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request a copy of this information collection request, contact John Trelease at (202) 208–2783, or electronically to jtrelease@osmre.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) regulations at 5 CFR part 1320, which implement provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13), require that interested members of the public and affected agencies have an opportunity to comment on information collection and record activities [see 5 CFR 1320.8(d)]. OSM has submitted a request to OMB to renew its approval of the collection of information contained in: 30 CFR part 764—State Processes for Designating Areas Unsuitable for Surface Coal Mining Operations. OSM is requesting a 3-year term of approval for each information collection activity.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control number for this collection is 1029–0030, and displayed in 30 CFR 764.10.

As required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), a Federal Register notice soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on June 29, 2010 (75 FR 37458). No comments were received. This notice provides the public with an additional 30 days in which to comment on the following information collection activity:

Title: 30 CFR 764—State Processes for Designating Areas Unsuitable for Surface Coal Mining Operations Areas designated by Act of Congress.

OMB Control Number: 1029–0030.

Summary: This part implements the requirement of section 522 of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA), Public Law 95–87, which provides authority for citizens to petition States to designate lands unsuitable for surface coal mining operations, or to terminate such designation. The regulatory authority uses the information to identify, locate, company, and evaluate the area designated to be designated as unsuitable, or terminate the designation, for surface coal mining operations.

Bureau Form Number: None.

Frequency of Collection: Once.

Description of Respondents: Individuals or groups that petition the States, and the State regulatory authorities that must process the petitions.

Total Annual Respondents: 4 petitions and 4 regulatory authorities.

Total Annual Burden Hours: 5,200.

Total Annual Non-wage Costs: $400.

Send comments on the need for the collection of information for the performance of the functions of the agency; the accuracy of the agency’s burden estimates; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and ways to minimize the information collection burdens on respondents, such as use of automated means of collections of the information, to the addresses listed under ADDRESSES. Please refer to OMB control number 1029–0030 in all correspondence.

Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: September 8, 2010.

John R. Craynon,
Chief, Division of Regulatory Support.

[FR Doc. 2010–22733 Filed 9–13–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–05–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Fish and Wildlife Service


Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; 5-Year Status Reviews of Seven Midwest Species

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of initiation of reviews; request for information.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, are initiating 5-year status reviews under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act), of seven animal and plant species. We conduct these reviews to ensure that our classification of each species on the Lists of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants as threatened or endangered is accurate. A 5-year review...
assesses the best scientific and commercial data available at the time of the review. We are requesting the public to send us any information that has become available since the most recent status reviews on each of these species. Based on review results, we will determine whether we should change the listing status of any of these species.

DATES: To ensure consideration, please send your written information by November 15, 2010. However, we will continue to accept new information about any listed species at any time.

ADDRESSES: For how and where to send comments or information, see “VIII. Contacts” under SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request information, see “VIII. Contacts” under SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION. Individuals who are hearing impaired or speech impaired may call the Federal Relay Service at 800–877–8337 for TTY assistance.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Why do we conduct a 5-year review?

Under the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), we maintain Lists of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants (which we collectively refer to as the List) in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at 50 CFR 17.11 (for animals) and 17.12 (for plants). Section 4(c)(2)(A) of the Act requires us to review each listed species’ status at least once every 5 years. Then, under section 4(c)(2)(B), we determine whether to remove any species from the List (delist), to reclassify it from endangered to threatened, or to reclassify it from threatened to endangered. Any change in Federal classification requires a separate rulemaking process.

In classifying, we use the following definitions, from 50 CFR 424.02:

(A) Species includes any species or subspecies of fish, wildlife, or plant, and any distinct population segment of any species of vertebrate, that interbreeds when mature;

(B) Endangered species means any species that is in danger of extinction within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range; and

(C) Threatened species means any species that is likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range.

We must support delisting by the best scientific and commercial data available, and only consider delisting if data substantiate that the species is neither endangered nor threatened for one or more of the following reasons (50 CFR 424.11(d)):

(A) The species is considered extinct;

(B) The species is considered to be recovered; or

(C) The original data available when the species was listed, or the interpretation of data, were in error.

Our regulations at 50 CFR 424.21 require that we publish a notice in the Federal Register announcing the species we are reviewing.

II. What species are under review?

This notice announces our active 5-year status reviews of the species in table 1.

III. What do we consider in our review?

We consider all new information available at the time we conduct a 5-year status review. We consider the best scientific and commercial data that has become available since our current listing determination or most recent status review, accessible from our Web site http://www.fws.gov/midwest/Endangered/recovery/5yr_rev/completed5yrs.html, such as:

(A) Species biology, including but not limited to population trends, distribution, abundance, demographics, and genetics;

(B) Habitat conditions, including but not limited to amount, distribution, and suitability;

(C) Conservation measures that have been implemented that benefit the species;

(D) Threat status and trends (see five factors under heading “How Do We Determine Whether a Species Is Endangered or Threatened?”); and

(E) Other new information, data, or corrections, including but not limited to taxonomic or nomenclatural changes, identification of erroneous information contained in the List, and improved analytical methods.

IV. How do we determine whether a species is endangered or threatened?

Section 4(a)(1) of the Act requires that we determine whether a species is
endangered or threatened based on one or more of the five following factors:
(A) The present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range;
(B) Overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes;
(C) Disease or predation;
(D) The inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms; or
(E) Other natural or manmade factors affecting its continued existence.

Under section 4(b)(1) of the Act, we must base our assessment of these factors solely on the best scientific and commercial data available.

V. What could happen as a result of our review?

For each species under review, if we find new information that indicates a change in classification may be warranted, we may propose a new rule that could do one of the following:
(A) Reclassify the species from threatened to endangered (uplist);
(B) Reclassify the species from endangered to threatened (downlist); or
(C) Remove the species from the List (delist).

If we determine that a change in classification is not warranted, then the species remains on the List under its current status. Therefore, elsewhere in today’s issue of the Federal Register, we have published a direct final rule to notify the public that we are revising the List of Endangered and Threatened Plants (50 CFR 17.12(h)) to reflect the most recently scientifically accepted taxonomy and nomenclature of Physaria filiformis (=Lesquerella filiformis), in accordance with 50 CFR 17.12(b). We published our direct final rule because revision of the List for this purpose is a noncontroversial action that, in the best interest of the public, should be undertaken in as timely manner as possible. The direct final rule will be effective on the date specified (see the DATES section of the rule) unless we receive significant adverse comments. Significant adverse comments are comments that provide strong justifications as to why our rule should not be adopted or why it should be changed. We will give the same consideration to comments submitted in response to either our direct final rule or notice to initiate 5-year reviews; you do not need to submit separate comments in regard to the taxonomy of Missouri bladderpod for both documents.

VI. Request for New Information

To ensure that a 5-year review is complete and based on the best available scientific and commercial information, we request new information from all sources. See “What Information Do We Consider in Our Review?” for specific criteria. If you submit information, support it with documentation such as maps, bibliographic references, methods used to gather and analyze the data, and/or copies of any pertinent publications, reports, or letters by knowledgeable sources.

Submit your comments and materials to the appropriate U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service office listed under “VIII. Contacts.”

Submit all electronic information in Text or Rich Text format to FW3MidwestRegion_5YearReview@fws.gov. Please send information for each species in a separate e-mail. Provide your name and return address in the body of your message, and include the following identifier in your e-mail subject line: Information on 5-year review for [NAME OF SPECIES].

VII. Public Availability of Comments

Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. Comments and materials received will be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the offices where the comments are submitted.

VIII. Contacts

Send your comments and information on the following species, as well as requests for information, to the corresponding contacts. You may view information we receive in response to this notice, as well as other documentation in our files, at the following locations by appointment, during normal business hours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Contact person, phone, e-mail</th>
<th>Contact address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Higgins eye (pearlymussel) and Platannthera praeclara</td>
<td>Mr. Phil Delphrey, (612) 725-3548, <a href="mailto:phil_delphrey@fws.gov">phil_delphrey@fws.gov</a></td>
<td>Twin Cities Field Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1401 American Boulevard E., Bloomington, MN 55425–1665.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physaria filiformis (=Lesquerella filiformis)</td>
<td>Dr. Paul McKenzie, (573) 234–2132, extension 107, <a href="mailto:paul.mckenzie@fws.gov">paul.mckenzie@fws.gov</a></td>
<td>Columbus Missouri Field Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 101 Park DeVille Drive, Suite A, Columbus, MO 65203–0057.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trifolium stoloniferum</td>
<td>Ms. Julie Proell, (614) 416–8993, extension 19, <a href="mailto:julie.proell@fws.gov">julie.proell@fws.gov</a></td>
<td>Ohio Field Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 4625 Morse Road, Suite 104, Columbus, OH 43230.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IX. Authority
We publish this notice under the authority of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.).


Thomas O. Melius,
Regional Director, Midwest Region, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

BILLING CODE 4310–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Bureau of Indian Affairs
Indian Gaming

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of approved Tribal-State Class III Gaming Compact.

SUMMARY: This notice publishes an extension of Gaming between the Oglala Sioux Tribe and the State of South Dakota.

DATES: Effective Date: September 14, 2010.


Dated: September 2, 2010.

Donald Lavender,
Deputy Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

BILLING CODE 4310–4N–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
National Park Service

Notice of Inventory Completion: Denver Museum of Nature & Science, Denver, CO; Correction

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice; correction.

Notice is here given in accordance with the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), 25 U.S.C. 3003, of the completion of an inventory of human remains and associated funerary objects in the control of the Denver Museum of Nature & Science, Denver, CO. The human remains and associated funerary objects were removed from Grand County, UT; possibly eastern Utah or western Colorado; Montezuma County, CO; and the American “Southwest.”

This notice is published as part of the National Park Service’s administrative responsibilities under NAGPRA, 25 U.S.C. 3003(d)(3). The determinations in this notice are the sole responsibility of the museum, institution, or Federal agency that has control of the Native American human remains and associated funerary objects. The National Park Service is not responsible for the determinations in this notice.

This notice corrects a Notice of Inventory Completion published in the Federal Register (75 FR 42770–42771, July 22, 2010) with the addition of 13 associated funerary objects. Since the publication of the notice, additional associated funerary objects likely removed from an unknown site in eastern Utah or western Colorado by H. Marie Worthington were found to be in the possession of the Denver Museum of Nature & Science collections.

In the Federal Register of July 22, 2010, paragraph number 4, page 42770, is corrected by substituting the following paragraph:

In the 1940s, human remains representing a minimum of four individuals were likely removed during excavations in eastern Utah or western Colorado by H. Marie Worthington, archeologist. In 1993, Worthington donated these remains to the museum (DMNS catalogue (and CUI numbers) A1985.1 (CUI 24), A1985.2 (CUI 25), A1985.3 (CUI 26), and A1985.4 (CUI 27)). The remains include one adult female, one child of indeterminate sex, and two adults of indeterminate sex. Most of these individuals are represented by fragmentary remains. Newspaper wrappings around the remains are dated to March 12, 1949. Worthingtoncoms field expeditions during this time focused on the area between Utah and Colorado. No known individuals were identified. The 13 associated funerary objects are unworked rocks associated with the adult female (DMNS catalogue number A1985.1).

In the Federal Register of July 22, 2010, paragraph number 2, page 42771, is corrected by substituting the following paragraph:

Officials of the Denver Museum of Nature & Science have determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(9), the human remains described above represent the physical remains of 16 individuals of Native American ancestry. Officials of the Denver Museum of Nature & Science also have determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(3)(A), the 17 objects described above are reasonably believed to have been placed with or near individual human remains at the time of death or later as part of the death rite or ceremony. Lastly, officials of the Denver Museum of Nature & Science have determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(2), a relationship of shared group identity cannot be reasonably traced between the Native American human remains and associated funerary objects and any present-day Indian tribe.

Representatives of any other Indian tribe that believes itself to be culturally affiliated with the human remains and associated funerary objects should contact Dr. Chip Colwell-Chanthaphonh, Denver Museum of Nature & Science, 2001 Colorado Blvd., Denver, CO 80205, telephone (303) 370–6378, before October 14, 2010. Disposition of the human remains and associated funerary objects to the Hopi Tribe of Arizona; Pueblo of Acoma, New Mexico; Pueblo of Zia, New Mexico; and the Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico, may proceed after that date if no additional claimants come forward.

The Denver Museum of Nature & Science is responsible for notifying the Ak Chin Indian Community of the Maricopa (Ak Chin) Indian Reservation, Arizona; Fort Mojave Indian Tribe of Arizona, California & Nevada; Fort Sill Apache Tribe of Oklahoma; Gila River Indian Community of the Gila River Indian Reservation, Arizona; Havasupai Tribe of the Havasupai Reservation, Arizona; Hopi Tribe of Arizona; Hualapai Indian Tribe of the Hualapai Indian Reservation, Arizona; Jicarilla Apache Nation, New Mexico; Mescalero Apache Tribe of the Mescalero Reservation, New Mexico; Navajo Nation, Arizona; O’odham Nation, New Mexico & Utah; Ohkay Owingeh, New Mexico; Pueblo of Acoma, New Mexico; Pueblo of Cochiti, New Mexico; Pueblo of Isleta, New Mexico; Pueblo of Jemez, New Mexico; Pueblo of Laguna, New Mexico; Pueblo of Nambe, New Mexico; Pueblo of Picuris, New Mexico; Pueblo of Pojoaque, New Mexico; Pueblo of San Felipe, New Mexico; Pueblo of San Ildefonso, New Mexico; Pueblo of Sandia, New Mexico; Pueblo of Santa Ana, New Mexico; Pueblo of Santa Clara, New Mexico; Pueblo of Santa Domingo, New Mexico; Pueblo of Taos, New Mexico; Pueblo of Tesuque, New Mexico; and the Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, Arizona.