

meals, interpreters, and incidentals will be the responsibility of each mission participant.

Conditions for Participation

- Applicants must submit a completed and signed mission application and supplemental application materials, including adequate information on the schools accreditation, primary market objectives, and goals for participation. If the U.S. Department of Commerce receives an incomplete application, the Department may reject the application, request additional information, or take the lack of information into account when evaluating the applications.
- Applicants must provide detailed information on their mission objectives, and specify their options for matchmaking with agents and/or meetings with local schools in each city.
- Each applicant must also certify that the services it seeks to export through the mission benefit a U.S. based institution.

Selection Criteria for Participation

Selection will be based on the following criteria:

- Applicant must be appropriately accredited as per paragraph one.
- Suitability of the education institution to the mission's goals
- Applicant's potential for business in Vietnam and Indonesia, including likelihood of exports resulting from the trade mission
- Consistency of the applicant's goals and objectives with the stated scope of the trade mission (as an example—be in the education sectors indicated in the mission description)

Referrals from political organizations and any documents containing references to partisan political activities (including political contributions) will be removed from an applicant's submission and not considered during the selection process.

Timeframe for Recruitment and Applications

Mission recruitment will be conducted in an open and public manner, including publication in the **Federal Register**, posting on the Commerce Department trade mission calendar (<http://www.ita.doc.gov/doctm/tmcal.html>) and other Internet Web sites, press releases to general and trade media, direct mail, notices by industry trade associations and other multiplier groups, and publicity at industry meetings, symposia, conferences, and trade shows.

Recruitment for the mission will begin immediately and conclude no

later than Friday, January 14, 2011. The U.S. Department of Commerce will review all applications immediately after the deadline. We will inform applicants of selection decisions as soon as possible after January 14, 2011. Applications received after that date will be considered only if space and scheduling constraints permit.

Contacts

U.S. Commercial Service Domestic Contact

Debra Rogers, 312-353-6988,
Debra.Rogers@trade.gov.
Gabriela Zelaya, (408) 535-2757, x107,
Gabriela.Zelaya@trade.gov.
Bernadette Rojas, (216) 522-4740,
Bernadette.Rojas@trade.gov.
Greg Thompson, 214-712-1932,
Greg.Thompson@trade.gov.

U.S. Commercial Service Vietnam Contacts

Ho Chi Minh City:

Dave Averde, Commercial Officer,
Dave.Averde@trade.gov.
Le Anh, Commercial Specialist,
Le.Anh@trade.gov.

Hanoi:

Yasue Pai, Commercial Officer,
Yasue.Pai@trade.gov.
Tuyet Trees, Tuyet.trees@trade.gov.
Ngo Anh, Ngo.Anh@trade.gov.

U.S. Commercial Service Indonesia Contacts

Jakarta:

Joe Kaesshaefer, Senior Commercial Officer, Joe.Kaesshaefer@trade.gov.
Henry Sutanto, Commercial Specialist,
Henry.Sutanto@trade.gov.

Sean Timmins,

Trade Promotion Programs, Commercial Service Trade Missions Program.

[FR Doc. 2010-22136 Filed 9-2-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-FP-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-827]

Certain Cased Pencils From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patricia Tran at (202) 482-1503 or Mahnaz Khan at (202) 482-0914; AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import

Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 29, 2010, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cased pencils from the People's Republic of China, covering the period December 1, 2008 through November 30, 2009. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Requests for Revocation in Part, and Deferral of Initiation of Administrative Review*, 75 FR 4770 (January 29, 2010). The current deadline for the preliminary results of this administrative review is September 9, 2010.¹

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and the final results of review within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. If it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

The Department requires additional time to review and analyze the sales and factors of production responses in this administrative review. The Department has also found the need to issue additional supplemental questionnaires to respondents in this review. Moreover, the Department requires additional time to analyze complex issues related to

¹ As explained in the memorandum from the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll deadlines for the duration of the closure of the Federal Government from February 5, through February 12, 2010. See Memorandum to the Record from Ronald Lorentzen, DAS for Import Administration, regarding "Tolling of Administrative Deadlines As a Result of the Government Closure During the Recent Snowstorm," dated February 12, 2010. Thus, all deadlines in this segment of the proceeding were extended by seven days. The revised deadline for the preliminary results of the 2008-2009 antidumping duty administrative review is therefore September 9, 2010. The final results of this review continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

surrogate value selections. Thus, it is not practicable to complete this review within the originally anticipated time limit (*i.e.*, by September 9, 2010). Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results by 120 days to not later than January 7, 2011, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 30, 2010.

Edward C. Yang,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2010-22083 Filed 9-2-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-810]

Stainless Steel Bar From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 15, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar ("SSB") from India for the period February 1, 2008, through January 31, 2009. *See Stainless Steel Bar From India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review* 75 FR 12199 (March 15, 2010) ("*Preliminary Results*"). The Department conducted a post-preliminary analysis and released the results of the analysis on May 19, 2010. We gave the interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results* and the post-preliminary analysis. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes to the margin calculation. The final weighted-average dumping margins for the reviewed firms, Ambica Steels Limited ("Ambica") and Venus Wire Industries Pvt. Ltd.,¹ are listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

¹ For the reasons explained in the *Preliminary Results*, we have determined that Venus Wire Industries Pvt. Ltd. and its affiliates, Precision Metals and Sieves Manufacturers (India) Pvt. Ltd., should be treated as a single entity and collapsed for the purposes of this review. *See Memorandum from Erika McDonald to the File, "Relationship of Venus Wire Industries Pvt. Ltd. and Sieves Manufacturers (India) Pvt. Ltd.,"* dated September

DATES: *Effective Date:* September 3, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patricia Tran, Seth Isenberg, or Austin Redington, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1503, (202) 482-0588, or (202) 482-1664, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In the *Preliminary Results*, we relied on "facts otherwise available" in determining that there was linkage between Venus' costs and prices, which resulted in Venus' antidumping margin being calculated using quarterly costs. We also noted in the *Preliminary Results* that we would reexamine this issue based on additional information submitted by the company. On May 19, 2010, we released our post-preliminary analysis in which we determined that the application of the quarterly costing methodology to Venus was not warranted because we did not find correlation between cost and price trends. *See Memorandum from Susan Kuhbach through John M. Andersen to Ronald K. Lorentzen: "2008-2009 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Stainless Steel Bar From India—Post-Preliminary Analysis Calculation Memorandum for Venus Wire Industries Pvt. Ltd." and "Memorandum From LaVonne Clark Through Theresa C. Deeley to Neal Halper: Cost of Production and Constructed Value Calculation Adjustments for the Post-Preliminary Results—Venus Wire Industries Pvt. Ltd.,"* dated May 19, 2010.

On July 16, 2010, the Department published in the **Federal Register** an extension of the time limit for the completion of the final results of this review until no later than August 27, 2010, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2). *See Stainless Steel Bar From India: Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the 2008-2009 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 41438 (July 16, 2010).

We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. We received case briefs on June 3, 2010, from Venus and June 7, 2010, from Carpenter

15, 2009; *see also Memorandum from Erika McDonald to the File, "Relationship of Venus Wire Industries Pvt. Ltd. and Precision Metals,"* dated September 14, 2009. The collapsed entity is referred to as "Venus."

Technology Corporation, Valbruna Slater Stainless, Inc., Electralloy Corporation, a Division of G.O. Carlson, Inc., Universal Stainless ("Petitioners"). On June 16, 2010, Venus submitted a rebuttal brief, and on June 18, 2010, Petitioners submitted a rebuttal brief. Ambica did not submit any comments. None of the parties requested a hearing.

Scope of the Order

Imports covered by the order are shipments of SSB. SSB means articles of stainless steel in straight lengths that have been either hot-rolled, forged, turned, cold-drawn, cold-rolled or otherwise cold-finished, or ground, having a uniform solid cross section along their whole length in the shape of circles, segments of circles, ovals, rectangles (including squares), triangles, hexagons, octagons, or other convex polygons. SSB includes cold-finished SSBs that are turned or ground in straight lengths, whether produced from hot-rolled bar or from straightened and cut rod or wire, and reinforcing bars that have indentations, ribs, grooves, or other deformations produced during the rolling process.

Except as specified above, the term does not include stainless steel semi-finished products, cut-to-length flat-rolled products (*i.e.*, cut-to-length rolled products which if less than 4.75 mm in thickness have a width measuring at least 10 times the thickness, or if 4.75 mm or more in thickness having a width which exceeds 150 mm and measures at least twice the thickness), wire (*i.e.*, cold-formed products in coils, of any uniform solid cross section along their whole length, which do not conform to the definition of flat-rolled products), and angles, shapes, and sections.

The SSB subject to this review is currently classifiable under subheadings 7222.11.00.05, 7222.11.00.50, 7222.19.00.05, 7222.19.00.50, 7222.20.00.05, 7222.20.00.45, 7222.20.00.75, and 7222.30.00.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

On May 23, 2005, the Department issued a final scope ruling that SSB manufactured in the United Arab Emirates out of stainless steel wire rod from India is not subject to the scope of the order. *See Memorandum from Team to Barbara E. Tillman, "Antidumping Duty Orders on Stainless Steel Bar From India and Stainless Steel Wire Rod from India: Final Scope Ruling,"* dated May 23, 2005, which is on file in the Central