

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**Foreign Agricultural Service****Trade Adjustment Assistance for Farmers**

AGENCY: Foreign Agricultural Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

The Administrator of the Foreign Agricultural Service (FAS) today accepted and began a review of a petition for trade adjustment assistance filed under the Fiscal Year 2011 program by 3 tilapia producers on behalf of tilapia producers in Arkansas. The Administrator will determine within 40 days whether increasing imports of tilapia contributed importantly to a greater than 15-percent decrease in the average annual price of tilapia, quantity of production, value of production, or cash receipts, compared to the average of the three preceding marketing years. If a determination is affirmative, producers who produce and market tilapia in Arkansas will be eligible to apply to the Farm Service Agency for free technical assistance and cash benefits.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Trade Adjustment Assistance for Farmers Program Staff, FAS, USDA by phone: (202) 720-0638 or (202) 690-0633; or by e-mail at: tradeadjustment@fas.usda.gov; or visit the TAA for Farmers' Web site: <http://www.fas.usda.gov/itp/taa>.

Dated: August 16, 2010.

John D. Brewer,

Administrator, Foreign Agricultural Service.

[FR Doc. 2010-20918 Filed 8-23-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-10-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**Agricultural Marketing Service**

[Document Number: AMS-FV-08-0067, FV-08-327]

United States Standards for Grades of Pineapple Juice

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: The United States Standards for Grades of Pineapple Juice are corrected to include text inadvertently omitted from Tables I and II. The corrections restore text for limiting rules that were inadvertently omitted when the standards were last revised in 1987.

DATES: *Effective Date:* August 24, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lydia E. Berry, Processed Products Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, Agricultural Marketing Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 0709, South Building; STOP 0247, Washington, DC 20250-0247; Telephone (202) 720-4693, fax (202) 690-1087; or e-mail lydia.berry@ams.usda.gov. Corrected copies of the U.S. Standards for Grades of Pineapple Juice are located on the Internet at: <http://www.regulations.gov> or <http://www.ams.usda.gov/processedinspection>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A final rule was published in the **Federal Register**, 52 FR 40 6129, revising the United States Standards for Grades of Pineapple Juice. During this revision, text was omitted from Tables I and II which summarize the quality factors for each product. When the standards were revised, the text was simplified; however, references to limiting rules were inadvertently not included in the text of that revision.

The United States Standards for Grades of Pineapple Juices as well as certain other fruit and vegetable standards no longer appear in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Such U.S. standards were removed from the CFR in 1996 and are now subject to the procedures that appear in part 36, title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (7 CFR part 36).

These corrections restore the text for limiting rules to Tables I and II in the standards. The language of the limiting rules state that the product shall not be graded above "U.S. Grade B" if any of the quality factors—color, defects, or flavor score within the range for "U.S. Grade B;" and the product shall not be graded above "Substandard" if any of the quality factors—color, defects, or flavor score within the range for "Substandard."

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1621-1627.

Dated: August 17, 2010.

Rayne Pegg,

Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. C1-2010-21030 Filed 8-23-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request**

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the

Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

Agency: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

Title: Management and Oversight of the National Estuarine Research Reserve System.

OMB Control Number: 0648-0121.

Form Number(s): NA.

Type of Request: Regular submission (renewal of a currently approved information collection).

Number of Respondents: 29.

Average Hours per Response: Applications for funding, 1 hour; management plans, site nominations and site profiles, 2,000 hours each.

Burden Hours: 10,682.

Needs and Uses: The Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (CZMA; 16 U.S.C. 1461 *et seq.*) provides for the designation of estuarine research reserves representative of various regions and estuarine types in the United States to provide opportunities for long-term research, education and interpretation. During the site selection and designation process, information is collected from states in order to prepare a management plan and environmental impact statement. The designated reserves apply annually for operations funds by submitting a work plan; subsequently progress reports are required every six months for the duration of the award. Each reserve compiles an ecological characterization or site profile to describe the biological and physical environment of the reserve, research to date and research gaps. A competitive research program provides an opportunity for two researchers to focus their work at each reserve. Reserves are evaluated every three years, per section 312 of the Act, and revise their management plans every five years. This information is required to ensure that reserves are adhering to regulations and keeping with the purpose for which they were designated.

Affected Public: Not-for-profit organizations; state, local and tribal government.

Frequency: Annually or one-time.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker, (202) 395-3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, FAX number (202) 395-7285, or David_Rostker@omb.eop.gov.

Dated: August 19, 2010.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2010-20944 Filed 8-23-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-08-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-952]

Narrow Woven Ribbons with Woven Selvedge from the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 24, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Karine Gziryan, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4081.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Amendment to the Final Determination

In accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (“the Act”), on July 19, 2010, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published the final determination of sales at less than fair value (“LTFV”) in the antidumping investigation of narrow woven ribbons with woven selvedge (“narrow woven ribbons”) from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”). See *Narrow Woven Ribbons With Woven Selvedge From the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 75 FR 41808 (July 19, 2010) (“*Final Determination*”).

On July 19, 2010, Ningbo MH Industry Co. Ltd. (“Ningbo MH”) filed a timely allegation that the Department made a ministerial error in the *Final Determination*, specifically, that the Department misidentified its producer. Ningbo MH thus requested, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224, that the Department amend the *Final Determination* with the correct name of its producer. Additionally, on July 20, 2010,

Yangzhou Bestpak Gifts & Crafts Co., Ltd. (“Bestpak”) filed a timely allegation that the Department made a ministerial error in the *Final Determination*, specifically, that the Department misidentified the PRC-wide rate used in calculating the separate rate. Ningbo MH thus requested, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224, that the Department correct the alleged ministerial error by recalculating the separate rate. No other parties in this proceeding submitted comments on the Department's final margin calculations.

A ministerial error is defined as an error “in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical error resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other type of unintentional error which the Department considers ministerial.” See section 735(e) of the Act; see also 19 CFR 351.224(f).

After analyzing Bestpak's comments, we have determined, in accordance with section 735(e) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e), that we made an inadvertent ministerial error by inaccurately listing the PRC-wide entity rate used in the Department's *Preliminary Determination*.¹ However, the appropriate correction for this error is to amend the Corroboration section of the *Final Determination*, as detailed below, not recalculation of the separate rate. For a detailed discussion of this ministerial error, see Memorandum to Edward C. Yang, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, through Abdelali Elouaradia, Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, concerning, “Ministerial Errors Memorandum, Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Narrow Woven Ribbons with Woven Selvedge from the People's Republic of China,” dated August 12, 2010 (“Ministerial Error Memo”).

Additionally, after analyzing Ningbo MH's comments, we have determined, in accordance with section 735(e) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e), that we did not make a ministerial error with respect to Ningbo MH's producer's name. For a detailed discussion of our analysis, see Ministerial Error Memo.

Therefore, in accordance with section 735(e) of the Act, we are amending the final determination of sales at LTFV in the antidumping duty investigation of narrow woven ribbons from the PRC. After correcting this ministerial error, the final text of the *Final Determination*

¹ See *Narrow Woven Ribbons with Woven Selvedge from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination*, 75 FR 7244 (February 18, 2010) (“*Preliminary Determination*”).

Corroboration section should read as follows:

Section 776(c) of the Act provides that, when the Department relies on secondary information rather than on information obtained in the course of an investigation as facts available, it must, to the extent practicable, corroborate that information from independent sources reasonably at its disposal. Secondary information is described as “information derived from the petition that gave rise to the investigation or review, the final determination concerning merchandise subject to this investigation, or any previous review under section 751 concerning the merchandise subject to this investigation.”² To “corroborate” means that the Department will satisfy itself that the secondary information to be used has probative value. Independent sources used to corroborate may include, for example, published price lists, official import statistics and customs data, and information obtained from interested parties during the particular investigation. To corroborate secondary information, the Department will, to the extent practicable, examine the reliability and relevance of the information used.³

The adverse facts available (“AFA”) rate that the Department used is drawn from the petition, as adjusted to reflect the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (“CAFC”)’s decision in *Dorbest*. See *Final Determination, Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 1*. Petitioner's methodology for calculating the U.S. price and normal value (“NV”) in the petition is discussed in the *Initiation Notice*.⁴ In

² See *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Sodium Hexametaphosphate From the People's Republic of China*, 73 FR 6479, 6481 (February 4, 2008), quoting SAA at 870.

³ See *Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From Japan, and Tapered Roller Bearings, Four Inches or Less in Outside Diameter, and Components Thereof, From Japan; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews and Partial Termination of Administrative Reviews*, 61 FR 57391, 57392 (November 6, 1996), unchanged in *Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From Japan, and Tapered Roller Bearings, Four Inches or Less in Outside Diameter, and Components Thereof, From Japan; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews and Termination in Part*, 62 FR 11825 (March 13, 1997).

⁴ See *Narrow Woven Ribbons with Woven Selvedge from the People's Republic of China and Taiwan: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations*, 74 FR 39291 (August 6, 2009) (“*Initiation Notice*”).