emissions control efficiency of at least 90 percent, by weight. In place of this 
deleted emissions control efficiency 
requirement, the revised paragraph now 
specifies a total annual VOC emissions 
limit of 1.0 ton from the recovery system 
and neutralization and distillation 
system vents. The revision lacked test 
procedures and record keeping 
requirements compatible with the 
revised emission limit. On March 1, 
2010, Ohio submitted a commitment to 
revise OAC 3745–21–09(BBB) to include 
the necessary test procedures and record 
keeping requirements by September 16, 
2011. When EPA determines the state 
has met its commitment, OAC 3745–21– 
09(BBB) will be incorporated by 
reference into the SIP.

[FR Doc. 2010–19827 Filed 8–16–10; 8:45 am]
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FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
James A. Walke, Director, Public 
Assistance Division, Recovery 
Directorate, DHS/FEMA, 500 C Street, 
SW., Washington, DC 20472–3300. 
Phone: 202–646–2751. E-mail: 
James.Walke@dhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Fire Management Assistance 
Grant (FMAG) Program assists State, 
local, and Tribal governments with the 
mitigation, management, and control of 
fires on publicly or privately owned 
forests or grasslands, which threaten 
such destruction as would constitute a 
major disaster. The FMAG declaration 
process may be initiated when a fire is 
burning uncontrolled and threatens 
such destruction as would constitute a 
major disaster. The FMAG declaration 
process is initiated by a State submitting 
for assistance to the Regional 
Administrator. The request addresses 
the threat to lives and improved 
property, the availability of State and 
local firefighting resources, high fire 
danger conditions, and the potential for 
major economic impact. Those criteria 
are supported with documentation that 
contains factual data and professional 
estimates. The Regional Administrator 
than coordinates with the Principal 
Advisor and forwards the request to the 
Assistant Administrator for the Disaster 
Assistance Directorate. The Assistant 
Administrator for the Disaster 
Assistance Directorate then makes a 
determination whether the fire or fire 
complex threatens such destruction as 
would constitute a major disaster. The 
entire process is accomplished on an 
expedited basis.

II. Discussion of the Rule

In December 2009, FEMA underwent 
a reorganization to streamline and 

improve FEMA’s programs and, 
consistent with the reorganization, is 
now revising the delegation of authority 
under the FMAG program regarding 
determinations that a fire or fire 
complex threatens such destruction as 
would constitute a major disaster. This 
final rule therefore updates title 44, part 
204 of the Code of Federal Regulations 
(CFR) to reflect those organizational and 
procedural changes. This final rule does 
not change the substantive eligibility 
requirements, contained in FEMA’s 
existing regulations.

On March 3, 2004, the Secretary for 
Homeland Security delegated the 
authority to make FMAG determinations 
to the Administrator (then called the 
Under Secretary for Emergency 
Preparedness and Response) in 

Homeland Security Delegation Number 
9001. This delegation to the 
Administrator explicitly authorizes 
redeployment of this authority. This 
procedural rule removes the 
redeployment of authority to the 
Assistant Administrator for the Disaster 
Assistance Directorate (now the 
Assistant Administrator for Recovery 
per the 2009 internal reorganization) 
and reverts the authority to issue FMAG 
declarations and decide appeals back to 
the Administrator.

Although the Administrator is 
rescinding his redelegation of this 
authority to the Assistant Administrator 
for the Disaster Assistance Directorate, 
at any time the Administrator may 
redelegate this authority at his 
discretion, in writing. Such delegations 
are not required to be made through 
regulation, or published in the Federal 
Register. Pursuant to the Federal 
Register Act (44 U.S.C. 1505), the only 
documents that are required to be 
published in the Federal Register are 
Presidential proclamations, Executive 
Orders, and those documents that either 
the President has determined to have 
general applicability and legal effect or 
are required to be published in the 
Federal Register by Act of Congress.

The delegation of the FEMA 
Administrator’s authority to make 
determinations regarding the FMAG 
program does not trigger those criteria. 
States that seek a declaration under 
the FMAG program will continue to 
submit their requests for declarations to 
FEMA through the Regional 
Administrator. The Regional 
Administrator will forward the request 
to the Administrator for a determination 
on the declaration. This change in 
redeployment will affect the procedural 
requirements associated with applying 
for fire management assistance 
declarations by changing who 
reviews requests for FMAG declarations. 
This rule only changes the internal 
processing procedures that occur after a 
State submits a request. The application 
requirements remain the same, as do the 
requirements for eligibility.

III. Regulatory Information

A. Administrative Procedure Act

FEMA did not publish a notice of 
proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this 
regulation. FEMA finds that this rule is 
exempt from the Administrative 
Procedure Act’s (5 U.S.C. 553(b)) notice 
and comment rulemaking requirements 
because it is purely procedural in 

nature. See 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(A). This 
rule updates FEMA’s regulations to 
reflect a change in the internal 
redeployment of authority for fire
management assistance declarations and appeals. It will not change the requirements to request an FMAG declaration, the eligibility requirements to receive such a declaration, the amount of assistance available should a declaration be made, or the appeals process. These changes do not confer any substantive rights, benefits or obligations.

This rule is not a substantive rule because it addresses technical matters regarding internal agency procedure; therefore it does not require a delayed effective date pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(d). Therefore this rule is effective immediately upon publication in the Federal Register.

B. Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review

This rule is not a “significant regulatory action” under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review” (58 FR 51735, Oct. 4, 1993), accordingly FEMA has not submitted it to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review. As the rule involves revisions to internal agency procedures, will not change the requirements to request a declaration, the eligibility requirements for a declaration, or the amount of assistance available should a declaration be made, it will not impose any costs to the public.

C. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612) requires that special consideration be given to the effects of regulations on small entities. This rule will not have a significant economic impact on the regulated public. Therefore, FEMA certifies that this will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

D. Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), as amended, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number. This rule will not result in a new collection of information, or revise an existing collection under the PRA.

E. Executive Order 13132, Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, “Federalism” (64 FR 43255, Aug. 10, 1999), if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. FEMA has analyzed this final rule under that Order and determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

F. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995, Public Law 104–4, 109 Stat. 48 (Mar. 22, 1995) (2 U.S.C. 1501 et seq.), requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or Tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of $100,000,000 or more in any one year. As this final rule will not result in such an expenditure, this rule is not an unfunded Federal mandate.

G. Executive Order 12630, Taking of Private Property

This rule will not affect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, “Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights” (53 FR 8859, Mar. 18, 1988).

H. Executive Order 12898, Environmental Justice

Under Executive Order 12898, as amended, “Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations” (59 FR 7629, Feb. 16, 1994), FEMA has undertaken to incorporate environmental justice into its policies and programs. Executive Order 12898 requires each Federal agency to conduct its programs, policies, and activities that substantially affect human health or the environment, in a manner that ensures that those programs, policies, and activities do not have the effect of excluding persons from participation in, denying persons the benefit of, or subjecting persons to discrimination because of their race, color, or national origin or income level.

No action that FEMA can anticipate under this final rule will have a disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effect on any segment of the population. Accordingly, the requirements of Executive Order 12898 do not apply to this final rule.

I. Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform

This final rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, “Civil Justice Reform” (61 FR 4729, Feb. 7, 1996), to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

J. Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

This final rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, “Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments” (65 FR 67249, Nov. 9, 2000), because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian Tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes.

K. Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children From Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks

This final rule will not create environmental health risks or safety risks for children under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children From Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks (62 FR 18885, Apr. 23, 1997).

L. National Environmental Policy Act

This final rule is not a major agency action, nor will it affect the quality of the environment. FEMA regulations at 44 CFR 10.8(d)(2)(xviii)(N) categorically exclude Fire Management Assistance Grants from the preparation of environmental impact statements and environmental assessments. Pursuant to 44 CFR 10.8(d)(2)(ii), regulations related to actions that qualify for categorical exclusions are also categorically excluded. Therefore, this final rule will not require the preparation of either an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement as defined by the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, Public Law 91–190, 83 Stat. 852 (Jan. 1, 1970) (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), as amended.

M. Congressional Review of Agency Rulemaking

FEMA has sent this final rule to Congress and to the Government Accountability Office under the Congressional Review of Agency Rulemaking Act (Act), Public Law 104–121, 110 Stat. 873 (Mar. 29, 1996) (5 U.S.C. 804). The rule is not a “major rule” within the meaning of that Act and will not result in an annual effect on the economy of $100,000,000 or more. Moreover, it will not result in a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State, or local government agencies, or geographic areas. FEMA does not expect that it will have significant adverse effects on
competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises.

List of Subjects in 44 CFR Part 204

Administrative practice and procedures, Fire prevention, Grant programs, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, FEMA amends 44 CFR part 204 as follows:

PART 204—FIRE MANAGEMENT ASSISTANCE GRANT PROGRAM

1. The authority citation for part 204 is revised to read as follows:


§ 204.24 Determination on request for a fire management assistance declaration.

The Administrator will review all information submitted in the State’s request along with the Principal Advisor’s assessment and render a determination. The determination will be based on the conditions of the fire or fire complex existing at the time of the State’s request. When possible, the Administrator will evaluate the request and make a determination within several hours. Once the Administrator renders a determination, FEMA will promptly notify the State of the determination.

6. Revise § 204.26 to read as follows:

§ 204.26 Appeal of fire management assistance declaration denial.

(a) Submitting an appeal. When a State’s request for a fire management assistance declaration is denied, the Governor or GAR may appeal the decision in writing within 30 days after the date of the letter denying the request. The State should submit this one-time request for reconsideration in writing, with appropriate additional information to the Administrator through the Regional Administrator. The Administrator will reevaluate the State’s request and notify the State of the final determination within 90 days of receipt of the appeal or the receipt of additional requested information.

(b) Requesting a time-extension. The Administrator may extend the 30-day period for filing an appeal, provided that the Governor or the GAR submits a written

(c) Request for such an extension within the 30-day period. The Administrator will evaluate the need for an extension based on the reasons cited in the request and either approve or deny the request for an extension.

Dated: August 11, 2010.

W. Craig Fugate,
Administrator, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

§ 204.24 Determination on request for a fire management assistance declaration.

The Administrator will review all information submitted in the State’s request along with the Principal Advisor’s assessment and render a determination. The determination will be based on the conditions of the fire or fire complex existing at the time of the State’s request. When possible, the Administrator will evaluate the request and make a determination within several hours. Once the Administrator renders a determination, FEMA will promptly notify the State of the determination.

6. Revise § 204.26 to read as follows:

§ 204.26 Appeal of fire management assistance declaration denial.

(a) Submitting an appeal. When a State’s request for a fire management assistance declaration is denied, the Governor or GAR may appeal the decision in writing within 30 days after the date of the letter denying the request. The State should submit this one-time request for reconsideration in writing, with appropriate additional information to the Administrator through the Regional Administrator. The Administrator will reevaluate the State’s request and notify the State of the final determination within 90 days of receipt of the appeal or the receipt of additional requested information.

(b) Requesting a time-extension. The Administrator may extend the 30-day period for filing an appeal, provided that the Governor or the GAR submits a written

(c) Request for such an extension within the 30-day period. The Administrator will evaluate the need for an extension based on the reasons cited in the request and either approve or deny the request for an extension.

Dated: August 11, 2010.

W. Craig Fugate,
Administrator, Federal Emergency Management Agency.
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National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Parts 600 and 635
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Atlantic Highly Migratory Species; Atlantic Shark Management Measures; Amendment 3

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document contains a correction to final regulations that were published on June 1, 2010. This change ensures that the process is preserved for adjusting annual shark quotas based on over- and underharvests. This correction makes a change to amendatory instructions in the final rule to effect a conforming amendment to 50 CFR part 635.

DATES: Effective August 17, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karyl Brewster-Geisz or LeAnn Southward Hogan at 301–713–2347 or (fax) 301–713–1917.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The final rule published on June 1, 2010 (75 FR 30484), and implemented Amendment 3 to the 2006 Consolidated Highly Migratory Species (HMS) Fishery Management Plan (FMP). The correction amends § 635.27 (b) in Title 50 of the CFR. In the amendatory instructions in the published final rule (75 FR 30484), instruction 12a revised 50 CFR 635.27 (b)(1)(i) through (v), relating to, among other things, pelagic shark quotas and annual quota adjustments. The instructions, however, inadvertently omitted instructions to make a conforming amendment requiring removal of § 635.27 (b)(1)(vii), which relate specifically to annual quota adjustments. Because of the error, § 635.27 (b)(1)(vii) is duplicative and inconsistent with § 635.27 (b)(1)(i). The new § 635.27 (b)(1)(i) includes much of the same information and include only minor changes from § 635.27 (b)(1)(vii). This duplication of provisions providing inconsistent treatment of the same amendment issue will likely cause unnecessary confusion within the regulated fishing industry and among fishery managers as it creates ambiguous guidelines and two separate standards for adjusting annual shark quotas based on over- and underharvests for all the