

TAA PETITIONS INSTITUTED BETWEEN 7/26/10 AND 7/30/10—Continued

TA-W	Subject firm (Petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
74437	Deloitte Services, LP (State/One-Stop)	Wilton, CT	07/29/10	07/28/10
74438	Bruss North America (State/One-Stop)	Orion, MI	07/29/10	07/17/10
74439	Bruss North America (Company)	Russell Springs, KY	07/29/10	07/17/10
74440	Hagemeyer North America (Comp)	Charleston, SC	07/29/10	07/19/10
74441	Hagemeyer North America (Company)	El Paso, TX	07/29/10	07/19/10
74442	Hagemeyer North America (Company)	McAllen, TX	07/29/10	07/19/10
74443	StarTek USA, Inc. (Company)	Denver, CO	07/30/10	07/19/10
74444	StarTek USA, Inc. (Company)	Collinsville, VA	07/30/10	07/19/10
74445	StarTek USA, Inc. (Company)	Decatur, IL	07/30/10	07/19/10
74446	StarTek USA, Inc. (Company)	Jonesboro, AR	07/30/10	07/19/10
74447	StarTek USA, Inc. (Company)	Mansfield, OH	07/30/10	07/19/10
74448	StarTek USA, Inc. (Company)	Lynchburg, VA	07/30/10	07/19/10
74449	StarTek USA, Inc. (Company)	Enid, OK	07/30/10	07/19/10
74450	StarTek USA, Inc. (Company)	Grand Junction, CO	07/30/10	07/19/10
4451	StarTek USA, Inc. (Company)	Denver, CO	07/30/10	07/19/10

[FR Doc. 2010-20038 Filed 8-12-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 (“the Act”) and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has

instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than August 23, 2010.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the

subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than August 23, 2010.

Copies of these petitions may be requested under the Freedom of Information Act. Requests may be submitted by fax, courier services, or mail, to FOIA Disclosure Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance (ETA), U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 or to foiarequest@dol.gov.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 29th of July 2010.

Michael Jaffe,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

Appendix

TAA PETITIONS INSTITUTED BETWEEN 7/19/10 AND 7/23/10

TA-W	Subject firm (Petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
74389	Domtar Paper Company, Inc. (Union)	Cerritos, CA	07/19/10	07/16/10
74390	Haldex Brake Products Corporation (Company)	Iola, KS	07/19/10	07/15/10
74391	Travelers Insurance (Workers)	Wyomissing, PA	07/19/10	07/13/10
74392	Beckman Coulter, Inc. (Company)	Webster, TX	07/19/10	07/12/10
74393	Henkel of America, Inc. (State/One-Stop)	Rocky Hill, CT	07/19/10	07/15/10
74394	Laserwords U.S., Inc. (Workers)	Lewiston, ME	07/19/10	06/11/10
74395	FTCA (Workers)	Somerset, PA	07/19/10	07/15/10
74396	The Hartford (State/One-Stop)	Farmington, CT	07/19/10	07/15/10
74397	Progress Software Corporation and DataDirect Technologies (Company).	Bedford, MA	07/20/10	07/12/10
74398	Progress Software Corporation (Company)	El Segundo, CA	07/20/10	07/12/10
74399	Progress Software Corporation (Company)	San Francisco, CA	07/20/10	07/12/10
74400	Progress Software Corporation (Company)	Nashua, NH	07/20/10	07/12/10
74401	Savvion, a Progress Software Company (Company).	Santa Clara, CA	07/20/10	07/12/10
74402	DataDirect Technologies (Company)	Los Gatos, CA	07/20/10	07/12/10
74403	Progress Software Corporation (Company)	Oak Brook, IL	07/20/10	07/12/10
74404	Progress Software Corporation (Company)	Largo, MD	07/20/10	07/12/10
74405	Progress Software Corporation (Company)	New York, NY	07/20/10	07/12/10
74406	DataDirect Technologies Headquarter (Company)	Morrisville, NC	07/20/10	07/12/10
74407	Progress Software Corporation (Company)	Austin, TX	07/20/10	07/12/10
74408	DataDirect Technologies (Company)	Sugar Land, TX	07/20/10	07/12/10
74409	DataDirect Technologies (Company)	Fairfax, VA	07/20/10	07/12/10

TAA PETITIONS INSTITUTED BETWEEN 7/19/10 AND 7/23/10—Continued

TA-W	Subject firm (Petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
74410	DataDirect Technologies (Company)	Norfolk, VA	07/20/10	07/12/10
74411	Avaya (Wkrs)	Basking Ridge, NJ	07/20/10	07/08/10
74412	Convergys (Wkrs)	Albuquerque, NM	07/20/10	06/29/10
74413	McGuire Furniture Company (Wkrs)	San Francisco, CA	07/20/10	07/08/10
74414	PricewaterhouseCoopers (Workers)	Cleveland, OH	07/20/10	07/13/10
74415	New Page Corporation (Company)	Kimberly, WI	07/20/10	07/19/10
74416	Ainak (Company)	Winchester, KY	07/20/10	07/12/10
74417	Good Harbor Fillet (State/One-Stop)	Gloucester, MA	07/21/10	07/19/10
74418	Husqvarna Outdoor Products (Workers)	Texarkana, TX	07/21/10	06/30/10
74419	Huntington Foam LLC (Workers)	Brockway, PA	07/21/10	07/14/10
74420	Russell Investments (Workers)	Tacoma, WA	07/21/10	07/21/10
74421	Fairfield Chair Company (Company)	Lenoir, NC	07/22/10	07/19/10
74422	World Color (USA), LLC (Company)	Dyersburg, TN	07/22/10	07/16/10
74423	Kennametal/Extrude Hone (Workers)	Irwin, PA	07/22/10	07/15/10
74424	Unisource Worldwide, Inc. (Company)	Wisconsin Rapids, WI	07/23/10	07/21/10
74425	Douglas Corporation (State/One-Stop)	Eden Prairie, MN	07/23/10	07/22/10
74426	International Business Machines (State/One-Stop)	Rochester, MN	07/23/10	07/22/10
74427	Mattel, Inc. (Workers)	El Segundo, CA	07/23/10	07/20/10
74428	MH Technologies, LLC (Company)	Mount Holly Springs, PA	07/23/10	05/19/10
74429	Tyden Brooks Security Products Group (Workers)	Livingston, NJ	07/23/10	07/01/10

[FR Doc. 2010-20037 Filed 8-12-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training
Administration**

[TA-W-72,908]

**Carolina Telephone and Telegraph
Company LLC, a Wholly Owned
Subsidiary of Embarq Corporation, a
Subsidiary of Centurylink, Inc., New
Bern Call Center, New Bern, NC; Notice
of Negative Determination Regarding
Application for Reconsideration**

By application dated July 14, 2010, petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The determination was issued on June 16, 2010. The Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on July 1, 2010 (75 FR 38142). The petition alleges that a merger of the subject firm with another firm led to duplication of services (call center support services for landline telephone, Internet, and related data communications) and, thus, the closure of the subject facility.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The negative determination was based on the findings that the subject firm did not shift to/acquire from a foreign country services like or directly competitive with the call center support activities provided by the subject workers, nor did the workers supply a service that was used in the production of an article or the supply of a service by a firm whose workers are currently eligible to apply for TAA on the basis of that article or service.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner paraphrased the findings as presented in the negative determination and agreed that "[T]here was no shift in work to a foreign country nor was Embarq [parent company of the subject firm] acquired by a foreign country."

The petitioner did not supply facts not previously considered; nor provide additional documentation indicating that there was either (1) a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered or (2) a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justifying reconsideration of the initial determination.

After careful review of the request for reconsideration, the Department determines that 29 CFR 90.18(c) has not been met.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that

there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 4th day of August, 2010.

Del Min Amy Chen,*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade
Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2010-20031 Filed 8-12-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training
Administration**

[TA-W-73,840]

**Lochmoor Chrysler Jeep; Detroit, MI;
Notice of Negative Determination
Regarding Application for
Reconsideration**

By application dated July 6, 2010, the petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The determination was signed on June 17, 2010. The Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on July 1, 2010 (75 FR 38142).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c), reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;