or on behalf of, or imported by or on behalf of the respondents, including Vizio and AmTran, or any of their affiliated companies, parents, subsidiaries, or other related business entities, or any of their successors or assigns; and (2) cease and desist orders directed to several respondents, including Vizio.

On August 14, 2009, Funai filed a complaint, asserting that certain respondents, including and Suzhou Raken Technology, Ltd. (“Suzhou”), have violated the Commission’s limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders and seeking enforcement under Commission Rule 210.75 (19 CFR 210.75) and temporary emergency action under Commission Rule 210.77 (19 CFR 210.77). Suzhou is a joint venture company established in September 2009 by AmTran and LG Display Co., Ltd. Funai included Suzhou in its enforcement complaint pursuant to the provisions in the limited exclusion order that cover “affiliated companies, parents, subsidiaries, or other related business entities” and the provisions in the Cease and Desist orders that applies to “controlled (whether by stock ownership or otherwise) and majority owned business entities engaging in [prohibited conduct], for, with, or otherwise on behalf of” a named Respondent. Funai accused Suzhou of selling infringing digital televisions sold under at least the brand names Vizio® and Gallevia® in China and then importing them into the United States.

On May 25, 2010, Funai and Vizio, AmTran, and Suzhou (collectively “the Vizio Respondents”) filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation and the enforcement proceeding as to the Vizio Respondents based on a settlement agreement. On May 28, 2010, the ALJ issued an ID granting the joint motion. On June 18, 2010, the Commission determined not to review the ID.

On June 29, 2010, Funai and the Vizio Respondents filed a joint motion for rescission of the remedial orders against Vizio and AmTran pursuant to the settlement agreement. On July 7, 2010, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response supporting the motion.

Having reviewed the parties’ submissions, the Commission has determined that the settlement agreement satisfies the requirement of Commission Rule 210.76 (a)(1) (19 CFR 210.76(a)(1)) that there be changed conditions of fact or law. The Commission therefore has issued an order rescinding the limited exclusion order and desist orders previously issued in this investigation with respect to Vizio and AmTran.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.76(a)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.76(a)(1)).

By order of the Commission.
Issued: August 9, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010–19982 Filed 8–12–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–709]

In the Matter of Certain Integrated Circuits, Chipsets, and Products Containing Same Including Televisions, Media Players, and Cameras; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting a Motion To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 10) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting a motion filed by complainant Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. (‘Freescale’) for leave to amend its complaint to (1) correct clear typographical errors; (2) replace one respondent whose counsel has represented that it does not sell for importation, import, or sell after importation any accused products; and (3) add a dependent claim of the ’306 patent to the investigation. Respondents filed a petition for review of the ID. Freescale and the Commission investigative attorney filed responses in opposition to Respondents’ petition. The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

Issued: August 9, 2010.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010–19984 Filed 8–12–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–73,695]

Woodland Mills Corporation, Mill Spring, NC; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated July 22, 2010, petitioners requested administrative
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[T–W–70,724]

Komatsu Reman Division of Komatsu America Corporation a Subsidiary of Komatsu Limited Including On-Site Leased Workers From KENCO; Lexington, KY; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (“Act”), 19 U.S.C. 2223, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on July 30, 2009, applicable to workers of Komatsu Reman, a division of Komatsu America Corporation, a subsidiary of Komatsu Limited, Lexington, Kentucky. The notice was published in the Federal Register on September 22, 2009 (74 FR 48303).

At the request of a company official, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in the production of remanufactured mining and construction equipment component parts.

The company reports that workers leased from Kenco were employed on-site at the Lexington, Kentucky, location of Komatsu Reman, a division of Komatsu America Corporation, a subsidiary of Komatsu Limited. The Department determined that these workers were sufficiently under the control of the subject firm to be considered leased workers.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include workers leased from Kenco working on-site at the Lexington, Kentucky, location of Komatsu Reman, a division of Komatsu America Corporation, a subsidiary of Komatsu Limited. The Department has determined that these workers were sufficiently under the control of the subject firm to be considered leased workers.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–70,724 is hereby issued as follows:

“All workers of Komatsu Reman, a division of Komatsu America Corporation, a subsidiary of Komatsu Limited, including on-site leased workers from Kenco working on-site at the Lexington, Kentucky, location of the firm, formerly known as Komatsu America Corporation, a subsidiary of Komatsu Limited, were engaged in employment and an alleged shift in production abroad. The company reports that workers leased from Kenco were employed on-site at the Lexington, Kentucky, location of Komatsu Reman, a division of Komatsu America Corporation, a subsidiary of Komatsu Limited. The Department determined that these workers were sufficiently under the control of the subject firm to be considered leased workers.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include workers leased from Kenco working on-site at the Lexington, Kentucky, location of Komatsu Reman, a division of Komatsu America Corporation, a subsidiary of Komatsu Limited. The Department has determined that these workers were sufficiently under the control of the subject firm to be considered leased workers.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–70,724 is hereby issued as follows:

“All workers of Komatsu Reman, a division of Komatsu America Corporation, a subsidiary of Komatsu Limited, including on-site leased workers from Kenco, Lexington, Kentucky, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after May 18, 2008, through July 30, 2011, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on the date of certification through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.”

Signed at Washington, DC, this 30th day of July 2010.

Michael W. Jaffe, Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2010–20030 Filed 8–12–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P