or on behalf of, or imported by or on behalf of the respondents, including Vizio and AmTran, or any of their affiliated companies, parents, subsidiaries, or other related business entities, or any of their successors or assigns; and (2) cease and desist orders directed to several respondents, including Vizio.

On August 14, 2009, Funai filed a complaint, asserting that certain respondents, including and Suzhou Raken Technology, Ltd. (“Suzhou”), have violated the Commission’s limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders and seeking enforcement under Commission Rule 210.75 (19 CFR 210.75) and temporary emergency action under Commission Rule 210.77 (19 CFR 210.77). Suzhou is a joint venture company established in September 2009 by AmTran and LG Display Co., Ltd. Funai included Suzhou in its enforcement complaint pursuant to the provisions in the limited exclusion order that cover “affiliated companies, parents, subsidiaries, or other related business entities” and the provisions in the Cease and Desist orders that applies to “controlled (whether by stock ownership or otherwise) and majority owned business entities engaging in [prohibited conduct], for, with, or otherwise on behalf of” a named Respondent. Funai accused Suzhou of selling infringing digital televisions sold under at least the brand names Vizio® and Gallevia® in China and then importing them into the United States.

On May 25, 2010, Funai and Vizio, AmTran, and Suzhou (collectively “the Vizio Respondents”) filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation and the enforcement proceeding as to the Vizio Respondents based on a settlement agreement. On May 28, 2010, the ALJ issued an ID granting the joint motion. On June 18, 2010, the Commission determined not to review the ID.

On June 29, 2010, Funai and the Vizio Respondents filed a joint motion for rescission of the remedial orders against Vizio and AmTran pursuant to the settlement agreement. On July 7, 2010, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response supporting the motion.

Having reviewed the parties’ submissions, the Commission has determined that the settlement agreement satisfies the requirement of Commission Rule 210.76 (a)(1) (19 CFR 210.76(a)(1)) that there be changed conditions of fact or law. The Commission therefore has issued an order rescinding the limited exclusion order and desist orders previously issued in this investigation with respect to Vizio and AmTran.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.76(a)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.76(a)(1)).

By order of the Commission.
Issued: August 9, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010–19982 Filed 8–12–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–709]

In the Matter of Certain Integrated Circuits, Chipsets, and Products Containing Same Including Televisions, Media Players, and Cameras; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting a Motion To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 10) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting a motion filed by complainant Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. (“Freescale”) for leave to amend its complaint and the notice of investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul M. Bartkowski, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–5432. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.


The ALJ issued the subject ID on July 8, 2010, granting a motion filed by complainant Freescale for leave to amend its complaint to (1) correct “clear typographical errors”; (2) replace one respondent whose counsel has represented that it does not sell for importation, import, or sell after importation any accused products; and (3) add a dependent claim of the ’306 patent to the investigation. Respondents filed a petition for review of the ID. Freescale and the Commission investigative attorney filed responses in opposition to Respondents’ petition. The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

Issued: August 9, 2010.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010–19983 Filed 8–12–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–73,695]

Woodland Mills Corporation, Mill Spring, NC; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated July 22, 2010, petitioners requested administrative