

Resubmission of a previously denied claim includes only those claims that were previously denied and refiled under the Act.

(4) Any violation of paragraph (b) of this section shall result in a fine of not more than \$5,000.

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: August 2, 2010.

**Eric H. Holder, Jr.,**  
*Attorney General.*

[FR Doc. 2010-19633 Filed 8-9-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-12-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 117

[Docket No. USCG-2010-0659]

#### Drawbridge Operation Regulations; Pequonnock River, Bridgeport, CT, Maintenance

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of temporary deviation from regulations.

**SUMMARY:** The Commander, First Coast Guard District, has issued a temporary deviation from the regulation governing the operation of the Metro North (Peck) Bridge across the Pequonnock River, mile 0.3, at Bridgeport, Connecticut. The deviation allows the bridge to remain in the closed position to facilitate scheduled maintenance for three months.

**DATES:** This deviation is effective from August 7, 2010 through November 7, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** Documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket are part of docket USCG-2010-0659 and are available online at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), inserting USCG-2010-0659 in the "Keyword" and then clicking "Search." They are also available for inspection or copying at the Docket Management Facility (M-30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions on this rule, call or e-mail Ms. Judy Leung-Yee, Project Officer, First Coast Guard District, telephone (212) 668-7165, e-mail [judy.k.leung-ye@uscg.mil](mailto:judy.k.leung-ye@uscg.mil). If you have questions on viewing the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager,

Docket Operations, telephone 202-366-9826.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Metro North (Peck) Bridge, across the Pequonnock River at mile 0.3, at Bridgeport, Connecticut, has a vertical clearance in the closed position of 26 feet at mean high water and 32 feet at mean low water. The drawbridge operation regulations are listed at 33 CFR 117.219(c).

The owner of the bridge, Metro North Railroad, requested a temporary deviation from the regulations to facilitate scheduled bridge maintenance, mitre rail rehabilitation, at the bridge.

Under this temporary deviation the Metro North (Peck) Bridge may remain in the closed position from August 7, 2010 through November 7, 2010. Vessels that can pass under the bridge in the closed position may do so at all times.

The Metro North (Peck) Bridge received no requests to open in both 2008 and 2009. Waterway users were advised of the requested bridge closure and offered no objection.

In accordance with 33 CFR 117.35(e), the bridge must return to its regular operating schedule immediately at the end of the designated time period. This deviation from the operating regulations is authorized under 33 CFR 117.35.

Dated: July 30, 2010.

**Gary Kassof,**

*Bridge Program Manager, First Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 2010-19631 Filed 8-9-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110-04-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Defense Acquisition Regulations System

#### 48 CFR Parts 215, 217, and 243

[DFARS Case 2008-D034]

RIN 0750-AG27

#### Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Management of Unpriced Change Orders

**AGENCY:** Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Defense (DoD) is adopting as final a proposed rule amending the DFARS to make requirements for DoD management and oversight of unpriced change orders consistent with those that apply to other undefinitized contract actions. This final rule adds new policy to address

section 812 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* August 10, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Meredith Murphy, Defense Acquisition Regulations System, OUSD (AT&L) DPAP/DARS, Room 3B855, 3060 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-3060, Telephone 703-602-1302; facsimile 703-602-0350. Please cite DFARS Case 2008-D034.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **A. Background**

The proposed rule addressed DFARS subpart 217.74, which prescribes policies and procedures for the management and oversight of undefinitized contract actions (UCAs). In the current DFARS, unpriced change orders that are issued in accordance with FAR part 43 and DFARS part 243 are excluded from the scope of subpart 217.74. A rule was proposed because of the need for full accountability and enhanced oversight of unpriced contractual actions, including unpriced change orders.

The proposed rule was published in the **Federal Register** at 74 FR 37669 on July 29, 2009. Two respondents submitted comments in response to the proposed rule. One respondent deemed this "a new rule that is very much needed," while the other respondent requested that the proposed rule be withdrawn. To enhance transparency and accountability, DoD has determined to proceed with this rule. The comments submitted by the respondents are addressed in the following paragraphs.

*Comment:* Make a separate limitation on obligations applicable to small businesses.

One respondent addressed the percentage limitation on obligations prior to definitization, which the proposed rule, at DFARS 243.204-70-4(a), set at 50 percent. There is an exception in the proposed rule allowing an increase from 50 percent to 75 percent when a contractor submits a qualifying proposal before 50 percent of the not-to-exceed price has been obligated by the Government. The respondent recommended that the latter percentage be increased from 75 percent to 95 percent for small, small disadvantaged, and HUBZone businesses. In support of its position, the respondent cited frequent instances where it believed that a particular agency had requested multiple audits as a delaying tactic to avoid definitization. When definitization is delayed, the contractor can perform up to half of the work that has been required unilaterally by the Government without being