

take such forms as specific product or processing standards, requirements for products to be produced in disease-free areas, quarantine regulations, certification or inspection procedures, sampling and testing requirements, health-related labeling measures, maximum permissible pesticide residue levels, and prohibitions on certain food additives.

Standards-Related Measures:

Standards-related measures comprise standards, technical regulations, and conformity assessment procedures, such as mandatory process or design standards, labeling or registration requirements, and testing or certification procedures. Standards-related measures can be applied not only to industrial products but to agricultural products as well, such as food nutrition labeling schemes and food quality or identity requirements.

For further information on SPS and standards-related measures and additional detail on the types of comments that would assist USTR in identifying and addressing significant trade-restrictive SPS and standards-related measures, *please see* "Supporting & Related Materials" under dockets USTR-2010-0020 and USTR-2010-0021 at www.regulations.gov. The 2010 SPS and TBT Reports also contain extensive information on SPS and standards-related measures that commenters may find useful in preparing comments in response to this notice.

In responding to this notice with respect to any of the three reports, commenters should place particular emphasis on any practices that may violate U.S. trade agreements. The TPSC is also interested in receiving new or updated information pertinent to the barriers covered in the 2010 NTE and the reports on SPS and standards-related measures as well as information on new barriers. If USTR does not include in the NTE or the reports on SPS and standards-related measures information that it receives pursuant to this notice, it will maintain the information for potential use in future discussions or negotiations with trading partners.

Estimate of Increase in Exports: Each comment should include an estimate of the potential increase in U.S. exports that would result from removing any foreign trade barrier the comment identifies, as well as a description of the methodology the commenter used to derive the estimate. Estimates should be expressed within the following value ranges: Less than \$5 million; \$5 to \$25 million; \$25 million to \$50 million; \$50 million to \$100 million; \$100 million to

\$500 million; or over \$500 million. These estimates will help USTR conduct comparative analyses of a barrier's effect over a range of industries.

Requirements for Submissions:

Commenters providing information on foreign trade barriers in more than one country should, whenever possible, provide a separate submission for each country. Comments addressing SPS or standards-related measures should be submitted separately from comments on other trade barriers.

In order to ensure the timely receipt and consideration of comments, USTR strongly encourages commenters to make on-line submissions, using the www.regulations.gov Web site. Comments should be submitted under one of the following dockets (depending on the subject of the comment):

SPS Measures: USTR-2010-0020.

Standards-Related Measures: USTR-2010-0021.

All Other Measures: USTR-2010-0022.

To find these dockets, enter the pertinent docket number in the "Enter Keyword or ID" window at the www.regulations.gov home page and click "Search." The site will provide a search-results page listing all documents associated with that docket number. Find a reference to this notice by selecting "Notices" under "Document Type" on the search-results page, and click on the link entitled "Submit a Comment." (For further information on using the www.regulations.gov Web site, please consult the resources provided on the Web site by clicking on the "Help" tab.)

The www.regulations.gov Web site provides the option of making submissions by filling in a comments field, or by attaching a document. USTR prefers submissions to be provided in an attached document. If a document is attached, please identify the name of the country to which the submission pertains in the "Comments" field. For example: "See attached comment for (name of country)". If the comment is related to SPS or standards-related measures, type "See attached comment on SPS measures for (name of country)" or "See attached comment on standards-related measures for (name of country)". USTR prefers submissions in Microsoft Word (.doc) or Adobe Acrobat (.pdf). If the submission is in an application other than those two, please indicate the name of the application in the "Comments" field.

For any comments submitted electronically containing business confidential information, the file name of the business confidential version

should begin with the characters "BC". The top of any page containing business confidential information must be clearly marked "BUSINESS CONFIDENTIAL". Any person filing comments that contain business confidential information must also file in a separate submission a public version of the comments. The file name of the public version of the comments should begin with the character "P". The "BC" and "P" should be followed by the name of the person or entity submitting the comments. If a comment contains no business confidential information, the file name should begin with the character "P", followed by the name of the person or entity submitting the comments.

Please do not attach separate cover letters to electronic submissions; rather, include any information that might appear in a cover letter in the comments themselves. Similarly to the extent possible, please include any exhibits, annexes, or other attachments in the same file as the submission itself, not as separate files.

Carmen Suro-Bredie,

Chair, Trade Policy Staff Committee.

[FR Doc. 2010-19447 Filed 8-5-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3190-W0-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

Reports, Forms, and Record Keeping Requirements; Agency Information Collection Activity Under OMB Review

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and the expected burden. The **Federal Register** Notice with a 60-day comment period was published on May 12, 2010 (75 FR 26837-26838).

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before [insert date 30 days after publication].

ADDRESSES: Send comments, within 30 days, to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725-17th

Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention NHTSA Desk Officer.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Randolph Atkins, PhD, Office of Behavioral Safety Research, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, NHT-131, Room W46-500, 1200 New Jersey Ave, SE., Washington, DC 20590. Dr. Atkins' phone number is 202-366-5597 and his e-mail address is randolph.atkins@dot.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Investigate the Use and Feasibility of Speed Warning Devices.

Type of Request: New information collection request—debriefing session follow-up with participants from an earlier on-road instrumented vehicle study.

Abstract: Speeding is one of the primary factors leading to vehicle crashes. In 2008, 31% of all fatal crashes were speeding-related. The estimated economic cost to society for speeding-related crashes is \$40.4 billion per year. Driving at higher speeds reduces the ability of drivers to avoid obstacles or react to sudden changes in the roadway environment and increases the severity of crashes. Of particular concern are the habitual speeders and aggressive drivers for whom other countermeasures, such as enforcement, licenses suspensions, and fines, are not effective deterrents. The data collected in this study will provide NHTSA with important information on a countermeasure with the potential to address an especially challenging segment of the driving population that poses an inordinately high safety risk to themselves and other drivers who share the roads with them. In this pilot study, NHTSA will be conducting on-road instrumented vehicle data collection with drivers who have a history of speeding violations to examine the impact of in-vehicle speed warning devices on their driving speed patterns and speeding behavior. Participants will be asked to install a speed warning device for eight weeks. The device will provide data on travel speeds of participants' vehicles coupled with GPS information that is linked to a database with speed limits for various sections of roads in the study area. After completing their on-road phase of the data collection, participating drivers will be asked to participate in a short debriefing interview while the in-vehicle warning device is removed from their vehicle. The debriefing sessions will focus on the drivers' subjective experience regarding the speed warning device—how it affected their driving behavior, any problems experienced with the device, how they interacted with the device, and their opinion of the

device, as well as feedback on their experience as a participant in the study. This subjective data will be coupled with the data from their actual driving behavior to help NHTSA develop a better understanding of speeding and speeders and the potential acceptance and effectiveness of using speed warning devices as a countermeasure to alter the speeding behavior of habitual speeders. The debriefing sessions are expected to provide data relevant to implementation issues and concerns associated with the device, as well as the key advantages and disadvantages associated with the use of this device as a countermeasure.

Affected Public: NHTSA plans to recruit 80 drivers from the Rockville, MD area, with a driving history of at least three speeding violations in the previous five years, through the MVA. The participants will be stratified; with 20 male and 20 female drivers age 18–29 and 20 male and 20 female drivers age 30 and above. Participation would be voluntary and confidential.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: The total estimated annual burden is 40 hours for the debriefing session (80 × 30 minutes per session) while the monitoring device is being removed from their vehicle. The participants would not incur any reporting cost from the information collection and will receive a \$150 honorarium for data collection. The participants would not incur any record keeping burden or record keeping cost from the information collection.

Comments are invited on the following:

(i) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection;

(iii) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

A comment to OMB is most effective if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication.

Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A).

Issued on: August 3, 2010.

Jeff Michael,

Associate Administrator, Research and Program Development.

[FR Doc. 2010-19352 Filed 8-5-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[Docket No. FD 35375]

CSX Transportation, Inc.—Corporate Family Merger Exemption—Gainesville Midland Railroad Company

CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSXT) and Gainesville Midland Railroad Company (GMRR) have jointly filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(3) for a corporate family transaction. CSXT is a Class I rail carrier that directly controls and operates GMRR.¹ GMRR is a wholly owned subsidiary of CSXT. The transaction involves the merger of GMRR with and into CSXT with CSXT being the surviving corporation.

The transaction is scheduled to be consummated on or after August 20, 2010. The purpose of the transaction is to simplify the corporate structure and reduce overhead costs and duplication by eliminating one corporation while retaining the same assets to serve customers. CSXT will obtain certain other savings as a result of this transaction.

This is a transaction within a corporate family of the type specifically exempted from prior review and approval under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(3). The parties state that the transaction will not result in adverse changes in service levels, significant operational changes, or any change in the competitive balance with carriers outside the corporate family.

Under 49 U.S.C. 10502(g), the Board may not use its exemption authority to relieve a rail carrier of its statutory obligation to protect the interests of its employees. As a condition to the use of this exemption, any employees adversely affected by this transaction will be protected by the conditions set forth in *New York Dock Railways.—Control—Brooklyn Eastern District Terminal*, 360 I.C.C. 60 (1979).

If the notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction. Petitions for stay must be filed no later than August 13, 2010 (at least 7 days before the exemption becomes effective).

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to FD No. 35375,

¹ See *CSX Corp.—Control—Chessie and Seaboard C. L. I.*, 363 I.C.C. 521 (1980) and *Seaboard Air-Line R.R.—Control—Gainesville Midland R.R.*, FD 20296 (ICC decided Mar. 26, 1959), 307 I.C.C. 801, 803.