

OMB Number: 1240-0003.

Agency Number: LS-202 and LS-210.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit, Not-for-profit institution.

| Form | Time to complete (minutes) | Frequency of response | Number of respondents | Number of responses | Hours burden |
|--------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| LS-202 | 15 | occasion | 20,087 | 20,087 | 5,022 |
| LS-210 | 15 | occasion | 996 | 996 | 249 |
| Totals | | | 21,083 | 21,083 | 5,271 |

Total Respondents: 21,083.
 Total Annual Responses: 21,083.
 Estimated Total Burden Hours: 5,271.
 Estimated Time per Response: 15 minutes.
 Frequency: On occasion.
 Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): \$0.

Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance): \$9,909.00.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: July 26, 2010.

Vincent Alvarez,

Agency Clearance Officer, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, U.S. Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. 2010-18719 Filed 7-29-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-CF-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2010-0242]

Review of Management Directive 8.11

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Opportunity for public comment.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is requesting public comment on Management Directive (MD) 8.11, "Review Process for 10 CFR 2.206 Petitions," dated July 1, 1999. MD 8.11 is a guidance document that details the internal procedures for NRC staff review and disposition of petitions submitted under Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 2.206. Because some of these internal procedures directly impact the interaction between members of the public and the NRC, the NRC is soliciting comments from the public, on what, if any, revisions should be made to the agency's internal process as described in MD 8.11.

DATES: Comments must be filed no later than October 13, 2010. Comments

received after this date will be considered, if it is practical to do so, but the NRC is able to assure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any one of the following methods. Please include Docket ID NRC-2010-0242 in the subject line of your comments. Comments submitted in writing or in electronic form will be posted on the NRC Web site and on the Federal rulemaking Web site *Regulations.gov*. Because your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly disclosed.

The NRC requests that any party soliciting or aggregating comments received from other persons for submission to the NRC inform those persons that the NRC will not edit their comments to remove any identifying or contact information, and therefore, they should not include any information in their comments that they do not want publicly disclosed.

Federal Rulemaking Web site: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for documents filed under Docket ID NRC-2010-0242. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher 301-492-3668; e-mail Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov.

Mail comments to: Cindy Bladey, Chief, Rules, Announcements and Directives Branch (RADB), Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, Mail Stop: TWB-05-B01M, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by fax to RADB at (301) 492-3446.

You can access publicly available documents related to this document using the following methods:

NRC's Public Document Room (PDR): The public may examine and have copied for a fee publicly available documents at the NRC's PDR, Public File Area O1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): Publicly available documents created or received at the NRC are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. From this page, the public can gain entry into ADAMS, which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC's PDR reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. MD 8.11 is available electronically under ADAMS Accession Number ML041770328.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tanya M. Mensah, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Telephone: 301-415-3610 or Toll Free: 1-800-368-5642.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

MD 8.11, "Review Process for 10 CFR 2.206 Petitions," is a guidance document that details the internal procedures for NRC staff review and disposition of petitions submitted under Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 2.206. Because some of these internal procedures directly impact the interaction between members of the public and the NRC, the NRC is soliciting comments from the public, on what, if any, revisions should be made to the agency's internal process as described in MD 8.11. The 10 CFR 2.206 process is the primary mechanism for a member of the public to request Commission review of a potential safety problem with an NRC licensed facility, outside of a licensing or rulemaking proceeding. Any person may file a petition under 10 CFR 2.206 to request that the Commission modify, suspend, or revoke a license, or take any other enforcement-related action that may be proper. This process provides the public with a mechanism to raise issues of concern to the Commission. Each 10 CFR 2.206 petition is reviewed by the

appropriate major program Office. Upon receipt of each petition, the appropriate program Office assembles a petition review board (PRB) typically consisting of a Senior Executive Service level manager, a petition manager, a petition coordinator, technical experts, regional inspectors, and legal and enforcement advisors. The PRB follows the guidance in MD 8.11 to determine if the petition meets the criteria for review. If the PRB determines that the petition meets the criteria for review, the office director issues a formal Director's Decision providing a specific disposition of the issues raised in the petition. If the Office Director finds that the petition raises a substantial safety concern, an enforcement order may be issued or other appropriate action taken, within the Office Director's discretion.

Discussion

In support of the NRC's efforts to update each MD every five years, the NRC staff is evaluating MD 8.11, which details the internal procedures for NRC staff review and disposition of petitions submitted under Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 2.206. The purpose of this evaluation is to ensure that the 10 CFR 2.206 process is an effective, equitable, and credible mechanism for the public to prompt Commission investigation and resolution of potential health and safety problems. This evaluation is consistent with current Commission efforts to ensure openness in the Commission's decision making process. The NRC plans to consider comments provided by members of the public during its evaluation of MD 8.11.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 15th day of July 2010.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John R. Jolicœur,

Chief, Licensing Processes Branch, Division of Policy and Rulemaking, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2010-18739 Filed 7-29-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2010-27, CP2010-69; and CP2010-70, Order No. 493]

New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to add Global Plus 2A to the competitive product list. The Postal Service has also filed related contracts. This notice

addresses procedural steps associated with the filing.

DATES: Comments are due: July 27, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, stephen.sharfman@prc.gov or 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filing
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

The Postal Service seeks to add a new product, Global Plus 2A, to the competitive product list and to that end, filed notice, pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5, announcing that it has entered into two additional Global Plus 2A contracts.¹ The Postal Service states that the instant contracts are functionally equivalent to one another and to previously submitted Global Plus 2 contracts, and are filed in accordance with Order No. 112.² It states further that the instant contracts are supported by Governors' Decision No. 08-10, which establishes prices and classifications not of general applicability for Global Direct, Global Bulk Economy and Global Plus Contracts.³

While the Postal Service's filing was not submitted pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3020.30 *et seq.*, it appears to request the addition of a new product to the competitive product list. Docket No. MC2010-27 is established to consider this part of the filing.

¹ Notice of the United States Postal Service of Filing of Two Functionally Equivalent Global Plus 2A Contracts Negotiated Service Agreements, July 13, 2010 (Notice); see Errata to Notice of the United States Postal Service of Filing of Two Functionally Equivalent Global Plus 2A Contracts Negotiated Service Agreements, July 14, 2010; see also Notice of the United States Postal Service of Filing a Signed Global Plus 2A Negotiated Service Agreement, July 15, 2010.

² See Docket Nos. MC2008-7, CP2008-16 and CP2008-17. Order Concerning Global Plus 2 Negotiated Service Agreements, October 3, 2008 (Order No. 112).

³ See Docket No. MC2008-7, CP2008-16, and CP2008-17, Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Global Plus 2 Negotiated Service Agreements to the Competitive Product List, and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) the Enabling Governors' Decision and Two Functionally Equivalent Agreements, Attachment 1, August 8, 2010.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed copies of the contracts related to the proposed competitive product classification pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. The contracts have been assigned Docket Nos. CP2010-69 and CP2010-70, respectively.

The instant contracts. The Postal Service filed the instant contracts pursuant to 39 CFR 3015. The Postal Service states that the instant contracts are the immediate successor contracts to those in Docket Nos. CP2009-48 and CP2009-49 that are scheduled to expire July 31, 2010. Notice at 2-3. The instant contracts are expected to begin August 1, 2010, and expire on the day prior to the day of any changes in the published rates that affect the Qualifying Mail subject to the contracts. *Id.* at 3-4.

The Postal Service filed copies of the contracts, Governors' Decision with attachments, and supporting financial documentation under seal. *Id.* at 2.

Additionally, in support of its Notice, the Postal Service filed the following five attachments:

1. Attachment 1—a statement of supporting justification required by 39 CFR 3020.32;
2. Attachments 2A and 2B—a redacted copy of each contract;
3. Attachment 3A and 3B—a certified statement for each contract required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2);
4. Attachment 4—a redacted copy of Governors' Decision No. 08-10, which establishes prices and classifications for Global Direct, Global Bulk Economy, and Global Plus Contracts, formulas for the prices, analysis and certification of the formulas and certification of the Governors' vote; and
5. Attachment 5—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain the contract and supporting documents under seal.

Functional equivalence. The Postal Service asserts that the instant contracts are functionally equivalent both to one another and to the precursor Global Plus 2 contracts in that they share similar cost and market characteristics. *Id.* at 4. It contends as a result the instant contracts should be grouped together as a single product. *Id.*

The Postal Service addresses similarities in the instant contracts and their predecessors, *e.g.*, that the customers are the same and that fundamental terms and conditions of the contracts remain essentially unchanged. In addition the Postal Service identifies what it characterizes as material changes in the contracts, *e.g.*, term, price incentives, and additional service options. It asserts that the differences do not affect either the