

After careful review of the request for reconsideration, the Department determines that 29 CFR 90.18(c) has not been met.

#### Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed in Washington, D.C., this 15th day of July 2010.

**Del Min Amy Chen,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2010-18185 Filed 7-23-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-71,494]

#### Johns Manville; Engineered Products Division, Including On-Site Leased Workers From Volt Workforce Solutions; Spartanburg, SC; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By application dated May 2, 2010, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination applicable to the subject firm. The determination was based on the Department's finding that neither increased imports nor a shift in production to a foreign country contributed importantly to worker separations at the subject firm. The workers are engaged in employment related to the production of polyester non-woven fabric. The negative determination was issued on April 16, 2010. The Department's Notice of negative determination was published in the **Federal Register** on May 20, 2010 (75 FR 28301).

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner alleged that increased production at an affiliated facility in China caused the loss of business at the Spartanburg, South Carolina facility.

Based on additional information provided by the subject firm during the reconsideration investigation, the Department determines that the subject firm has shifted to a foreign country the production of articles like or directly competitive with the polyester non-woven fabric produced at the subject facility and that the shift of production to China contributed importantly to

worker separations at the Spartanburg, South Carolina facility.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I determine that workers of Johns Manville, Engineered Products Division, Spartanburg, South Carolina, who are engaged in employment related to the production of polyester non-woven fabric, meet the worker group certification criteria under Section 222(a) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a). In accordance with Section 223 of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2273, I make the following certification:

All workers of Johns Manville, Engineered Products Division, including on-site leased workers from Volt Workforce Solutions, Spartanburg, South Carolina, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after June 23, 2008, through two years from the date of this certification, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on date of certification through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 9th day of July 2010.

**Del Min Amy Chen,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2010-18186 Filed 7-23-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice: (10-084)]

### NASA Advisory Council; Ad-Hoc Task Force on Planetary Defense; Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces a two-part meeting of the Ad-Hoc Task Force on Planetary Defense of the NASA Advisory Council.

**DATES:** Tuesday, August 17, 2010, 12 p.m.-3 p.m., and Friday, August 20, 2010, 12 p.m.-3 p.m. All times are Eastern Daylight Time.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held via WebEx/Teleconference on both dates.

• August 17, 12 p.m.-3 p.m.: To view briefings, log in to Web site at <https://nasa.webex.com>. Meeting Number: 993

545 318. Passcode: Tuesday817! Join teleconference by dialing toll-free 888-566-1673. Passcode: 12080.

• August 20, 12 p.m.-3 p.m.: To view briefings, log in to Web site at <https://nasa.webex.com>. Meeting Number: 995 328 530. Passcode: Friday820! Join teleconference by dialing toll-free 888-566-1673. Passcode: 12080.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Jane Parham, Exploration Systems Mission Directorate, National Aeronautics and Space Administration Headquarters, Washington, DC 20546, 202-358-1715, [jane.parham@nasa.gov](mailto:jane.parham@nasa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The agenda topic is: Drafting of the Ad-Hoc Task Force on Planetary Defense Final Report to the NASA Advisory Council. The meeting will be open to the public up to the capacity of WebEx and teleconference lines. It is imperative that the meeting be held on these dates to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants.

For questions, please call Jane Parham, 202-358-1815, [jane.parham@nasa.gov](mailto:jane.parham@nasa.gov).

Dated: July 19, 2010.

**P. Diane Rausch,**

*Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2010-18147 Filed 7-23-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** National Archives and Records Administration (NARA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** NARA is giving public notice that the agency has submitted to OMB for approval the information collection described in this notice. The public is invited to comment on the proposed information collection pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to OMB at the address below on or before August 25, 2010 to be assured of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to Mr. William N. Tucker, Desk Officer for NARA, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; fax: 202-395-5167; or electronically mailed to [William\\_N\\_Tucker@omb.eop.gov](mailto:William_N_Tucker@omb.eop.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or

copies of the proposed information collection and supporting statement should be directed to Tamee Fechhelm at telephone number 301-837-1694 or fax number 301-713-7409.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13), NARA invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on proposed information collections. NARA published a notice of proposed collection for this information collection on May 10, 2010 (75 FR 25886). No comments were received. NARA has submitted the described information collection to OMB for approval.

In response to this notice, comments and suggestions should address one or more of the following points: (a) Whether the proposed collection information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of NARA; (b) the accuracy of NARA's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of information technology; and (e) whether small businesses are affected by this collection. In this notice, NARA is soliciting comments concerning the following information collection:

*Title:* Microfilm Order.

*OMB Number:* 3095-0046.

*Agency Form Number:* NATF Form 36.

*Type of Review:* Regular.

*Affected Public:* Business or for-profit, nonprofit organizations and institutions, federal, state and local government agencies, and individuals or households.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 600.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 10 minutes.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 100 hours.

*Abstract:* The information collection is prescribed by 36 CFR 1254.72. The collection is prepared by researchers who cannot visit the appropriate NARA research room or who request copies of records as a result of visiting a research room. NARA offers limited provisions to obtain copies of records by mail and requires requests to be made on prescribed forms for certain bodies of records. The National Archives Trust Fund (NATF) Form 36 (5-10), Microfilm Order, is used by customers/researchers for ordering a roll, rolls, or a microfiche of a microfilm publication.

Dated: July 16, 2010.

**Charles K. Piercy,**

*Acting Assistant Archivist for Information Services.*

[FR Doc. 2010-18347 Filed 7-23-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7515-01-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Facility Operating License No. R-70; Docket No. 50-166; NRC-2010-0250]

### University of Maryland; Notice of Acceptance for Docketing and Opportunity for Hearing on the Application Regarding Renewal of Facility Operating License for an Additional 20-Year Period for the Maryland University Training Reactor and Order Imposing Procedures for Access to Safeguards Information and Sensitive Unclassified Non-Safeguards Information

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of acceptance for docketing.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Spyros A. Traiforos, Project Manager, Research and Test Reactors Projects Branch, Division of Policy and Rulemaking, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Rockville, MD 20852. Telephone: (301) 415-3965; fax number: (301) 415-1032; e-mail: [Spyros.Traiforos@nrc.gov](mailto:Spyros.Traiforos@nrc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering an application for the renewal of Facility Operating License No. R-70 ("Application"), which currently authorizes the University of Maryland (the licensee, UMD) to operate the Maryland University Training Reactor (MUTR) at a maximum steady-state thermal power of 250 kilowatts (kW). The renewed license would authorize the applicant to operate the MUTR up to a steady-state thermal power of 250 kW for an additional 20 years from the date of issuance.

By letter dated May 12, 2000, as supplemented by letters dated June 7, August 4, September 17, and October 7, 2004; April 18, 2005, April 25 (two letters), August 28 (two letters), November 9, and December 18, 2006; and May 27, 2010, the licensee submitted an application to the NRC filed pursuant to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Section

50.51(a), to renew Facility Operating License No. R-70 for the MUTR.

The application contains sensitive unclassified non-safeguards information (SUNSI) and Safeguards Information (SGI).

Based on its initial review of the application and the supplemental information, the Commission's staff determined that UMD submitted sufficient information in accordance with 10 CFR 50.33 and 10 CFR 50.34 so that the application is acceptable for docketing. The current Docket No. 50-166 for Facility Operating License No. R-70 will be retained. The docketing of the renewal application does not preclude requests for additional information as the review proceeds, nor does it predict whether the Commission will grant or deny the application. Prior to a decision to renew the license, the Commission will make the findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations.

##### II. Opportunity To Request a Hearing or Petition to Intervene

Within 60 days of this notice, any person(s) whose interest may be affected may file a request for hearing/petition to intervene. As required by 10 CFR 2.309, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner/requestor in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following general requirements: (1) The name, address and telephone number of the requestor or petitioner; (2) the nature of the requestor's/petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (3) the nature and extent of the requestor's/petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (4) the possible effect of any decision or order which may be entered in the proceeding on the requestor's/petitioner's interest. The petition must also identify the specific contentions which the petitioner/requestor seeks to have litigated at the proceeding.

Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner/requestor shall provide a brief explanation of the bases for the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also