

U.S. standards for grades of frozen	Effective date	Change level of quality designation to single term	Other revisions proposed
Asparagus .....	June 30, 1974 .....	Yes .....	Update address for standards.
Lima Beans .....	May 22, 1957 .....	Yes .....	Update address for standards. Remove address for color standard and inspection aid licensed supplier.
Speckled Butter (Lima) Beans .....	July 21, 1962 .....	Yes .....	Update address for standards.
Carrots .....	February 28, 1974 .....	Yes .....	Update address for standards. Update terminology.
Corn, Whole Kernel .....	August 1, 1952 .....	Yes .....	Update address for standards. Update terminology.
Corn on the Cob .....	July 27, 1970 .....	Yes .....	Update address for standards. Update terminology.
Onion Rings, Breaded .....	October 17, 1959 .....	Yes .....	Update address for standards. Update terminology.
Peas .....	May 28, 1959 .....	Yes .....	Update address for standards. Update terminology.
Peas and Carrots .....	March 20, 1955 .....	Yes .....	Update address for standards.
Peppers, Sweet .....	March 13, 1959 .....	Yes .....	Update address for standards. Update terminology.
Potatoes, French Fried .....	February 8, 1967 .....	Yes .....	Update address for standards. Remove address for color standard and inspection aid licensed supplier. Update terminology.
Squash (Cooked) .....	October 15, 1953 .....	Yes .....	Update address for standards.
Squash (Summer) .....	April 3, 1953 .....	Yes .....	Update address for standards.
Succotash .....	March 6, 1959 .....	Yes .....	Update address for standards. Update terminology.
Sweet Potatoes .....	September 4, 1962 .....	Yes .....	Update address for standards.
Tomato Juice, Tomato Juice from Concentrate ..	July 22, 1985 .....	No—Single terms currently used.	Update address for standards. Remove address for color standard and inspection aid licensed supplier.
Turnip Greens with Turnips .....	August 15, 1958 .....	Yes .....	Update address for standards.
Vegetables, Mixed .....	May 24, 1954 .....	Yes .....	Update address for standards.

This notice provides for a 60-day period for interested parties to comment on the changes under consideration by AMS as well as any additional changes to the standards. Should AMS conclude that there is a need for any revisions of the grade standards; the proposed revisions will be published in the **Federal Register** with a request for comments in accordance with 7 CFR part 36.

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 1621–1627.

Dated: July 20, 2010.

**Rayne Pegg,**  
Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 2010–18085 Filed 7–22–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–02–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

**Agricultural Marketing Service**

[ Document No. AMS–FV–09–0049; FV–09–329]

**United States Standards for Grades of Refried Beans**

**AGENCY:** Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice; withdrawal.

**SUMMARY:** The Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) is withdrawing a notice soliciting comments on the possible establishment of voluntary United States Standards for Grades of Refried Beans. After reviewing and considering industry input, the Agency has decided not to proceed further with this action.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* July 23, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lydia E. Berry, Inspection and Standardization Section, Processed Products Branch (PPB), Fruit and Vegetable Programs (FV), AMS, USDA, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Room 0709, South Building; STOP 0247, Washington, DC 20250; phone: (202) 720–5021; fax: (202) 690–1527.

**Background**

A trade association representing the processed food industry requested that USDA develop grade standards for canned refried beans to be used by the industry. AMS prepared a discussion draft of the proposed canned refried beans standards using information provided by the petitioner, and distributed copies to the trade association’s members for comments. Input from the trade association’s members was used to further develop the proposed grade standards.

Prior to undertaking additional research and other work associated with the establishment of official standards, a notice was published at 69 FR 40857 in the **Federal Register** soliciting comments on the proposed establishment of voluntary grade standards for canned refried beans. One comment was received from a food manufacturer as a result of that request. A copy of the comment is posted at <http://www.regulations.gov> and on the AMS Web site at: <http://www.ams.usda.gov/processedinspection>.

The commenter favored the development of the grade standards if AMS increased its scope to include dehydrated refried beans. AMS then discussed the proposed expansion of the standards to include the dehydrated refried beans with the original petitioner, the National Food Processors Association, now known as the Grocery Manufacturers Association (GMA). The GMA informed AMS that the association no longer supported development of any standards for refried beans. One company still expressed interest in development of the grade standards or refried beans. However, additional input from the company was not forthcoming.

Given these circumstances, AMS will not proceed further with this action.

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 1621–1627.

Dated: July 20, 2010.

**Rayne Pegg,**

*Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[FR Doc. 2010–18084 Filed 7–22–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–570–947]

#### Certain Steel Grating from the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** Based on the affirmative final determination by the Department of Commerce (the “Department”) and the International Trade Commission (“ITC”), the Department is issuing an antidumping duty order on certain steel grating (“steel grating”) from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 23, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas Martin, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3936.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

In accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“Act”), the Department published its affirmative final determination of sales at less than fair value (“LTFV”) in the antidumping investigation of steel grating from the PRC. *See Certain Steel Grating From the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 75 FR 32366 (June 8, 2010) (“*Final Determination*”). On July 13, 2010, the ITC notified the Department of its affirmative determination of material injury to a U.S. industry. *See Certain Steel Grating from China*, Investigation Nos. 701–TA–465 and 731–TA–1161 (Final), USITC Publication 4168 (July 2010). Pursuant to section 736(a) of the Act, the Department is issuing the antidumping duty order on steel grating from the PRC.

##### Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are certain steel grating, consisting of two or more pieces of steel, including load-bearing pieces and cross pieces, joined by any assembly process, regardless of: (1) size or shape; (2) method of manufacture; (3) metallurgy (carbon, alloy, or stainless); (4) the profile of the bars; and (5) whether or not they are galvanized, painted, coated, clad or plated. Steel grating is also commonly referred to as “bar grating,” although the components may consist of steel other than bars, such as hot-rolled sheet, plate, or wire rod.

The scope of this order excludes expanded metal grating, which is comprised of a single piece or coil of sheet or thin plate steel that has been slit and expanded, and does not involve welding or joining of multiple pieces of steel. The scope of this order also excludes plank type safety grating which is comprised of a single piece or coil of sheet or thin plate steel, typically in thickness of 10 to 18 gauge, that has been pierced and cold formed, and does not involve welding or joining of multiple pieces of steel.

Certain steel grating that is the subject of this order is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”) under subheading 7308.90.7000. While the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

##### Provisional Measures

Section 733(d) of the Act states that suspension of liquidation ordered pursuant to an affirmative preliminary determination may not remain in effect for more than four months except where exporters representing a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise request the Department to extend that four-month period to no more than six months. At the request of an exporter that accounted for a significant proportion of exports of steel grating, we extended the four-month period to no more than six months. *See Certain Steel Grating from the People's Republic of China: Postponement of Final Determination*, 75 FR 5766 (February 4, 2010). In this investigation, the six-month period beginning on the date of the publication of the *Preliminary Determination*<sup>1</sup> (i.e., January 6, 2010) ended on July 5, 2010.

<sup>1</sup> *See Certain Steel Grating From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination*, 75 FR 847 (January 6, 2010) (“*Preliminary Determination*”).

Section 737 of the Act states that definitive duties are to begin on the date of publication of the ITC's final injury determination. Therefore, in accordance with section 733(d) of the Act, we have instructed U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) to terminate suspension of liquidation and to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties (i.e., release all bonds and refund all cash deposits), unliquidated entries of steel grating from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption after July 5, 2010, and before the date of publication of the ITC's final injury determination in the **Federal Register**. Suspension of liquidation will resume on or after the date of publication of the ITC's final injury determination in the **Federal Register**.

##### Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 735(c)(1)(B) of the Act, we will instruct CBP to suspend liquidation on all entries of subject merchandise from the PRC. We will also instruct CBP to require cash deposits equal to the estimated amount by which the normal value exceeds the U.S. price as indicated in the chart below. These instructions suspending liquidation will remain in effect until further notice.

##### Antidumping Duty Order

On July 13, 2010, in accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, the ITC notified the Department of its final determination, pursuant to section 735(b)(1)(A)(i) of the Act, that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of LTFV imports of subject merchandise from the PRC. Therefore, in accordance with section 736(a)(1) of the Act, the Department will direct CBP to assess, upon further instruction by the Department, antidumping duties equal to the amount by which the normal value of the merchandise exceeds the export price (or constructed export price) of the merchandise for all relevant entries of steel grating from the PRC. These antidumping duties will be assessed on unliquidated entries of steel grating from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from the warehouse, for consumption on or after January 6, 2010, the date on which the Department published its *Preliminary Determination*.

Effective on the date of publication of the ITC's final affirmative injury determination, CBP will require, at the same time as importers would normally deposit estimated duties on this merchandise, a cash deposit equal to the