

a requirement that each procurement action, above a reasonable level, be fully documented by maintaining the bids received and the approvals given. This would include written justification for sole source purchases above a certain level. *Response:* In response to this comment a new No. 12 has been added to Appendix D, incorporating the suggestion.

Another LSC OIG comment questioned what “properly executed” means in Appendix VII E (Legal Consultants/Contract Services.) *Response:* In response to this comment we have changed No. 2. in Legal Consultants/Contract Services from “Are contracts written so that the services to be rendered are clearly defined and properly executed?” to the following three sentences: “Are contracts written so that the services to be rendered are clearly defined?”; “Are contracts properly signed by authorized persons?” and “Have all contract terms and modifications been complied with?”

An LSC OIG comment suggested adding to Appendix VII G1 No. 7 that the check should be marked as void or defaced in a manner that would prevent future use of the check. *Response:* In response to this comment Appendix VII G1 No. 7 has been changed to include the recommended language.

An LSC OIG comment pointed out that there was no reference in Appendix VII H (Controls Over Cash Receipts) to cash received from an individual while in the office, as opposed to receiving money through the mail. *Response:* In response to this comment, we have added new Nos. 8–12 in Appendix VII H, to include the questions addressing cash received from an individual while in the office.

The LSC OIG also commented that No. 15 should provide that the client is entitled to a receipt for cash provided and that if a receipt is not provided that the client should see a supervisor. *Response:* In response to this comment Appendix VII H No. 15 was changed to include the recommended language.

A comment received from the Legal Aid and Defender Association, Detroit, Michigan, questions the segregation of duties guidelines found in Appendix VII, Section J (Segregation of Duties). There is a fear that if duties were assigned to staff outside the accounting department, this staff person may have access to confidential information. *Response:* Appendix VII J contains guidelines for the management of a recipient’s financial systems. The objective of Section J is to provide the maximum safeguards possible under the circumstances. Accounting duties should be segregated to ensure that no

individual simultaneously has both the physical control and the record keeping responsibility for any asset, including, but not limited to, cash, client deposits, supplies and property. Duties must be segregated so that no individual can initiate, execute, and record a transaction without a second independent individual being involved in the process. In response to this comment and to clarify the inquiry, we have changed the question to: “Are checks, after being signed, controlled and mailed out by an individual who does not have any other payables duties?”

An LSC OIG comment suggested that Appendix VII K (Petty Cash Controls) be changed to add procedures regarding access to and physical control over the petty cash box during and after work hours. *Response:* In response to this comment a new No. 14 has been added to Appendix VII K to include language regarding access to and physical control over the petty cash box during and after work hours.

Dated: July 13, 2010.

Victor M. Fortuno,

President, Legal Services Corporation.

[FR Doc. 2010-17737 Filed 7-21-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7050-01-P

NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

Advisory Committee on Presidential Library-Foundation Partnerships.

AGENCY: National Archives and Records Administration.

ACTION: Renewal of Advisory Committee on Presidential Library-Foundation Partnerships.

SUMMARY: This notice is published in accordance with the provisions of section 9(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, 5 U.S.C., App.) and advises of the renewal of the National Archives and Records Administration’s (NARA) Advisory Committee on Presidential Library-Foundation Partnerships. In accordance with Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-135, OMB approved the inclusion of the Advisory Committee on Presidential Library-Foundation Partnerships in NARA’s ceiling of discretionary advisory committees.

NARA has determined that the renewal of the Advisory Committee is in the public interest due to the expertise and valuable advice the Committee members provide on issues affecting the functioning of existing Presidential

libraries and library programs and the development of future Presidential libraries. NARA will use the Committee’s recommendations in its implementation of strategies for the efficient operation of the Presidential libraries.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: NARA’s Committee Management Officer is Mary Ann Hadyka. She can be reached at 301-837-1782.

Dated: July 16, 2010.

David S. Ferriero,

Archivist of the United States.

[FR Doc. 2010-17997 Filed 7-21-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-461; NRC-2010-0252]

Exelon Generation Company, LLC; Clinton Power Station; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering changes to the Emergency Plan, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.54, “Conditions of licenses,” paragraph (q), for Facility Operating License No. NPF-62, issued to Exelon Generation Company, LLC (the licensee), for operation of the Clinton Power Station, located in Clinton, Illinois. In accordance with 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC prepared an environmental assessment documenting its finding. The NRC concluded that the proposed action will have no significant environmental impact.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action is NRC approval of a licensee’s request to revise the staffing requirements for the Exelon Nuclear Radiological Emergency Plan Annex for Clinton Station, Table B-1, “Minimum Staffing Requirements for the On-Shift Clinton Station Emergency Response Organization (ERO),” to allow an increase in the Non-Licensed Operator (NLO) staffing from two to four, allow in-plant protective actions to be performed by personnel assigned other functions, and replace a Mechanical Maintenance person with a NLO. The regulation at 10 CFR 50.54(q) states that, “The nuclear power reactor licensee may make changes to these plans without Commission approval only if the changes do not decrease the effectiveness of the plans.” The licensee concluded that the proposed action constituted a decrease in the plant’s