

exported from Vietnam to the United States are being processed by Angang and Quyky in Vietnam from pre-formed hangers and paper components allegedly provided by these companies' suppliers in the PRC. We find that the information presented by Petitioner regarding this criterion supports its requests to initiate an anti-circumvention inquiry.

The Department believes that Petitioner sufficiently addressed the factors described by section 781(b)(2) of the Act regarding whether the processing of pre-formed hangers in Vietnam is minor or insignificant. Specifically, in support of its argument, Petitioner relied on information from the LTFV investigation, the ITC report, and information in the FMR's report. Thus, we find that the information presented by Petitioner supports its requests to initiate an anti-circumvention inquiry. In particular, we find that Petitioner's submissions suggest that: (1) Little investment has been made by either Angang or Quyky company in their respective production of hangers in Vietnam; (2) Angang's and Quyky's Chinese affiliates have fully integrated production facilities in the PRC and, therefore, that research and development presumably takes place in the PRC rather than Vietnam; (3) the gluing of capes to shirt hangers or attaching tubes to strut hangers in Vietnam does not alter the fundamental characteristics of the hanger, nor whether it is subject to the scope of the *Hangers Order*; (4) Angang's and Quyky's facilities have a lower investment level by those companies than that required by the typical capital-intensive nature of the wire-drawing and hanger-forming processes; and (5) assembling paper components to pre-formed hangers adds little value to the merchandise imported to the United States. Our analysis will focus on Angang's and Quyky's assembly operations in Vietnam and, in the context of this proceeding, we will closely examine the manner in which these companies' processing materials are obtained, whether those materials are considered subject to the scope of the *Hangers Order*, and the extent of processing in Vietnam, as well as the manner in which production and sales relationships are conducted with the alleged PRC affiliates.

With respect to the value of the merchandise produced in the PRC, pursuant to section 781(b)(1)(D) of the Act, Petitioner relied on its information and arguments in the "minor or insignificant process" portion of its anti-circumvention requests to indicate that the value of the steel wire may be

significant relative to the total value of a finished hanger with paper accoutrements exported to the United States. We find that the information adequately meets the requirements of this factor, as discussed above, for the purposes of initiating an anti-circumvention inquiry.

Finally, Petitioner argues that, pursuant to section 781(b)(3) of the Act, the Department should also consider the pattern of trade, affiliation, and subsequent import volumes as factors in determining whether to initiate the anti-circumvention inquiry. The U.S. import data submitted by Petitioner suggests that imports of steel wire garment hangers from Vietnam have been rising significantly since the issuance of the *Hangers Order* in 2008, whereas in years prior to 2008, there were no such imports from Vietnam into the United States.

Accordingly, based on Petitioner's submissions, we have determined that we have a sufficient basis to initiate a formal anti-circumvention inquiry concerning the *Hangers Order*, pursuant to section 781(b) of the Act. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.225(l)(2), if the Department issues a preliminary affirmative determination, we will then instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to suspend liquidation and require a cash deposit of estimated duties on the merchandise.

These anti-circumvention inquiries cover Angang and Quyky only. If, within sufficient time, the Department receives a formal request from an interested party regarding potential circumvention of the *Hangers Order* by other Vietnamese companies, we will consider conducting additional inquiries concurrently.

The Department will, following consultation with interested parties, establish a schedule for questionnaires and comments on the issues. The Department intends to issue its final determination within 300 days of the date of publication of this initiation. This notice is published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 16, 2010.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2010-18000 Filed 7-21-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XX67

#### Marine Mammals; File Nos. 15498 and 15500

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice; issuance of permits.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the Chicago Zoological Society - Brookfield Zoo, 3300 Golf Road, Brookfield, IL 60513, and the Georgia Aquarium, 225 Baker Street, NW., Atlanta, GA 30313 have been issued permits to import Atlantic bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) for public display.

**ADDRESSES:** The permits and related documents are available for review upon written request or by appointment in the following office(s):

Permits, Conservation and Education Division, Office of Protected Resources, NMFS, 1315 East-West Highway, Room 13705, Silver Spring, MD 20910; phone (301) 713-2289; fax (301) 713-0376;

File No. 15498: Northeast Region, NMFS, 55 Great Republic Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930; phone (978) 281-9328; fax (978) 281-9394; and

File No. 15500: Southeast Region, NMFS, 263 13th Avenue South, Saint Petersburg, FL 33701; phone (727) 824-5312; fax (727) 824-5309.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jennifer Skidmore or Kristy Beard, (301) 713-2289.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On May 3, 2010, notice was published in the **Federal Register** (75 FR 23242) that requests for public display permits to import bottlenose dolphins had been submitted by the above-named organizations. File No. 15498 requested the importation of one male and one female captive born bottlenose dolphin from Dolphin Quest Bermuda, Hamilton, Bermuda, to the Brookfield Zoo, Brookfield, IL. File No. 15500 requested the importation of two male captive born bottlenose dolphins from Dolphin Experience, Ltd., Freeport, Grand Bahama Island, The Bahamas, and three female captive born bottlenose dolphins from Dolphin Quest Bermuda, Hamilton, Bermuda, to the Georgia Aquarium, Atlanta, Georgia. The requested permits have been issued under the authority of the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1361 *et seq.*), and

the regulations governing the taking and importing of marine mammals (50 CFR part 216).

In compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), a final determination has been made that the activity proposed is categorically excluded from the requirement to prepare an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement.

Dated: July 15, 2010.

**P. Michael Payne,**

*Chief, Permits, Conservation and Education Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 2010-17830 Filed 7-21-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-22-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Civil Nuclear Trade Advisory Committee Public Meeting

**AGENCY:** International Trade Administration, DOC.

**ACTION:** Notice of Federal Advisory Committee meeting.

**SUMMARY:** This notice sets forth the schedule and proposed agenda of a meeting of the Civil Nuclear Trade Advisory Committee (CINTAC). The members will discuss issues outlined in the following agenda.

**DATES:** The meeting is scheduled for Thursday, August 5, 2010, from 1 p.m. to 4 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time (EDT).

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held via a teleconference call.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Frank Caliva, Office of Energy & Environmental Industries, International Trade Administration, Room 4053, 1401 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230. (Phone: 202-482-8245; Fax: 202-482-5665; e-mail: [Frank.Caliva@trade.gov](mailto:Frank.Caliva@trade.gov)).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Background:** The CINTAC was established under the discretionary authority of the Secretary of Commerce and in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.), in response to an identified need for consensus advice from U.S. industry to the U.S. Government regarding the development and administration of programs to expand United States exports of civil nuclear goods and services in accordance with applicable United States regulations, including advice on how U.S. civil nuclear goods and services export policies, programs, and activities will affect the U.S. civil

nuclear industry's competitiveness and ability to participate in the international market.

**Topics to be considered:** The agenda for the August 5, 2010, CINTAC meeting is as follows:

#### Public Session

1. Opening remarks by Chairman.
2. Discussion of Subcommittee final reports on treaties and regulation; civil nuclear technology; domestic competitiveness; government advocacy; and talent and workforce development.
3. Public comment period.

Members of the public wishing to attend the meeting via teleconference must notify Mr. Frank Caliva at the contact information below by 5 p.m. EDT on Tuesday, August 3, 2010, in order to receive the dial-in instructions for the teleconference. Please specify any requests for reasonable accommodation at least five business days in advance of the meeting. Last minute requests will be accepted, but may be impossible to fill.

A limited amount of time will be available for pertinent brief oral comments from members of the public attending the meeting. To accommodate as many speakers as possible, the time for public comments will be limited to two (2) minutes per person, with a total public comment period of 30 minutes. Individuals wishing to reserve speaking time during the meeting must contact Mr. Caliva and submit a brief statement of the general nature of the comments and the name and address of the proposed participant by 5 p.m. EDT on Tuesday, August 3, 2010. If the number of registrants requesting to make statements is greater than can be reasonably accommodated during the meeting, the International Trade Administration (ITA) may conduct a lottery to determine the speakers.

Any member of the public may submit pertinent written comments concerning the CINTAC's affairs at any time before and after the meeting. Comments may be submitted to the Civil Nuclear Trade Advisory Committee, Office of Energy & Environmental Industries, Room 4053, 1401 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230. To be considered during the meeting, comments must be received no later than 5 p.m. EDT on Tuesday, August 3, 2010, to ensure transmission to the Committee prior to the meeting. Comments received after that date will be distributed to the members but may not be considered at the meeting.

Copies of CINTAC meeting minutes will be available within 90 days of the meeting.

Dated: July 16, 2010.

**Edward A. O'Malley,**

*Director, Office of Energy and Environmental Industries.*

[FR Doc. 2010-17919 Filed 7-21-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1694]

#### Reorganization of Foreign-Trade Zone 121 Under Alternative Site Framework Capital District, New York

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

*Whereas*, the Board adopted the alternative site framework (ASF) in December 2008 (74 FR 1170, 01/12/09; correction 74 FR 3987, 01/22/09) as an option for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones;

*Whereas*, the Capital District Regional Planning Commission, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 121, submitted an application to the Board (FTZ Docket 47-2009, filed 11-3-2009 and amended 5-5-2010) for authority to reorganize under the ASF with a service area of the New York counties of Albany, Columbia, Greene, Fulton, Montgomery, Rensselaer, Saratoga, Schenectady, Warren, and Washington, in and adjacent to the Albany Customs and Border Protection port of entry, FTZ 121's existing Sites 1, 2 and 3, and new Sites 5 and 6 would be categorized as magnet sites;

*Whereas*, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (74 FR 58002-58003, 11-10-09 and 75 FR 26198, 5-11-10) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

*Whereas*, the Board adopts the findings and recommendation of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

*Now, therefore*, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize FTZ 121 under the alternative site framework is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project, to a five-year ASF sunset provision for magnet sites that would terminate