health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a “significant energy action” under that order because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

Technical Standards

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed and adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M1647.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have concluded this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction. This rule involves the establishment of a safety zone. An environmental analysis checklist and a categorical exclusion determination are available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine Safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:


2. Add §165.T13–150 to read as follows:

§165.T13–150 Safety Zone; Annual Kennewick, Washington, Columbia Unlimited Hydroplane Races, Kennewick, WA

(a) Location. The following area is a safety zone: All waters encompassed within the area bounded by two lines drawn from shore to shore on the Columbia River with the first line running between position 46°14′07″ N. 119°10′42″ W. and position 46°13′42″ N. 119°10′51″ W. and the second line running between position 46°13′35″ N. 119°07′34″ W. and position 46°13′10″ N. 119°07′47″ W.

(b) Regulations. In accordance with the general regulations in 33 CFR Part 165, Subpart C, no person may enter or remain in the safety zone created by this section or bring, cause to be brought, or allow to remain in the safety zone created by this section any vehicle, vessel, or object unless authorized by the Captain of the Port or his designated representative. See 33 CFR Part 165, Subpart C, for additional information and requirements.

(c) Enforcement Period. The safety zone created by this section will be enforced from 8:30 a.m. to 7:30 p.m. on July 23, July 24, and July 25, 2010.


F.G. Myer,
Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Portland.

[FR Doc. 2010–17472 Filed 7–16–10; 8:45 am]
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Safety Zone; Mississippi River, Mile 840.0 to 839.8

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone for all waters of the Upper Mississippi River, Mile 840.0 to 839.8, extending the entire width of the river. This safety zone is needed to protect persons and vessels from safety hazards associated with the barge based firework display occurring on the Upper Mississippi River. Entry into this zone is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port Upper Mississippi River or a designated representative.

DATES: This rule is effective from 11 a.m. until 4 p.m. on July 24, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket are part of docket USCG–2010–0552 and are available online by going to http://www.regulations.gov, inserting USCG–2010–0552 in the “Keyword” box, and then clicking “Search.” They are also available for inspection or copying at the Docket Management Facility (M–30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this temporary rule, call or e-mail Lieutenant (LT) Rob McCaskey, Sector Upper Mississippi River Response Department at telephone 314–269–2541, e-mail Rob.E.McCaskey@uscg.mil. If you have questions on viewing the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202–366–9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Regulatory Information

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary final rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment pursuant to authority under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.” The Coast Guard finds that it would be impracticable to publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because the event would occur before the rulemaking process could be completed.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the Federal Register. Publishing a NPRM and delaying its effective date would be impracticable because immediate action is needed to protect vessels and mariners from the safety hazards associated with a barged based fireworks display.

Basis and Purpose

On July 24, 2010 the Red Bull North America will be conducting a flying aircraft regatta at mile 839.9 on the Upper Mississippi River. This event presents safety hazards to the navigation of vessels between Mile 840.0 and Mile 839.8, extending the entire width of the river. A safety zone around the launch site is necessary to protect spectators, vessels, and other property from the hazards associated with the fireworks. The Captain of the Port Upper Mississippi River will inform the public of all safety zone changes through broadcast notice to mariners.

Discussion of Rule

The Coast Guard is establishing a safety zone for all waters of the Upper Mississippi River, Mile 840.0 to 839.8, extending the entire width of the river. Entry into this zone will be prohibited to all vessels and persons except participants and those persons and vessels specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port Upper Mississippi River. This rule will be effective from 11 a.m. until 4 p.m. on July 24, 2010. The Captain of the Port Upper Mississippi River will inform the public through broadcast notice to mariners of all safety zone changes and enforcement periods.

Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on 13 of these statutes or executive orders.

Regulatory Planning and Review

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order.

Although this rule restricts access to the waters encompassed by the safety zone, the effect of this rule will not be significant because of the very brief duration of the effective period of the zone. Furthermore, the local waterway users will be notified via public Broadcast Notice to Mariners to ensure the safety zone will result in minimum impact.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule will affect the following entities, some of which may be small entities: the owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the Mississippi River, Mile 840.0 to 839.8, from 11 a.m. until 4 p.m. on July 24, 2010. This rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reasons: (1) This rule will only be in effect for a limited period of time; and (2) the local waterway users will be notified via public Broadcast Notice to Mariners.

Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we offer to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency’s responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132. Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of $100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

Taking of Private Property

This rule will not cause a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not
an economically significant rule and
does not create an environmental risk to
health or risk to safety that may
disproportionately affect children.

Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal
implications under Executive Order
13175, Consultation and Coordination
with Indian Tribal Governments,
because it does not have a substantial
direct effect on one or more Indian
tribes, on the relationship between the
Federal Government and Indian tribes,
or on the distribution of power and
responsibilities between the Federal
Government and Indian tribes.

Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under
Executive Order 13211, Actions
Concerning Regulations That
Significantly Affect Energy Supply,
Distribution, or Use. We have
determined that it is not a “significant
energy action” under that order because
it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not
likely to have a significant adverse effect
on the supply, distribution, or use of
energy. The Administrator of the Office
of Information and Regulatory Affairs
has not designated it as a significant
energy action. Therefore, it does not
require a Statement of Energy Effects
under Executive Order 13211.

Technical Standards

The National Technology Transfer
and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15
U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntory consensus standards in their
regulatory activities unless the agency
provides Congress, through the Office
of Management and Budget, with an
explanation of why using these
standards would be inconsistent with
applicable law or otherwise impractical.
Voluntary consensus standards are
technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or
operation; test methods; sampling
procedures; and related management
systems practices) that are developed or
adopted by voluntary consensus
standards bodies.

This rule does not use technical
standards. Therefore, we did not
consider the use of voluntary consensus
standards.

Environment

We have analyzed this rule under
Department of Homeland Security
Management Directive 025–01 and
Commandant Instruction M16475.1D,
which guide the Coast Guard in
complying with the National
Environmental Policy Act of 1969
(NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and
have concluded this action is one of a
category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a
significant effect on the human
environment. This rule is categorically
excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph
(34)(g), of the Instruction. This rule
involves establishing, disseminating, or
changing Regulated Navigation Areas
and security or safety zones.

This rule involves an environmental
analysis checklist and a categorical
exclusion determination is available in
the docket where indicated under
ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation
(water), Reporting and recordkeeping
requirements, Security measures,
Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the
preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33
CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION
AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165
continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1226, 1231; 46 U.S.C.
Chapter 701, 3306, 3703; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195;
33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5;

2. Add § 165.T08–0552 to read as follows:

§165.T08–0552 Safety Zone; Upper
Mississippi River, Mile 840.0 to 839.8.

(a) Location. The following area is a
safety zone: all waters of the Upper
Mississippi River, Mile 840.0 to 839.8
extending the entire width of the
waterway.

(b) Effective date. This rule is effective
from 11 a.m. until 4 p.m. on July 24,
2010.

(c) Periods of Enforcement. This rule
will be enforced from 11 a.m. until 4
p.m. on July 24, 2010. The Captain of
the Port Upper Mississippi River will
inform the public through broadcast
notice to mariners of all safety zone
changes and enforcement periods.

(d) Regulations. (1) In accordance
with the general regulations in §165.23
of this part, entry into this zone is
prohibited unless authorized by the
Captain of the Port Upper Mississippi
River or a designated representative.

(2) Persons or vessels requiring entry
into or passage through the zone must
request permission from the Captain of
the Port Upper Mississippi River or a
designated representative. The Captain
of the Port Upper Mississippi River
representative may be contacted at 314–
260–2332.

(3) All persons and vessels shall
comply with the instructions of the
Captain of the Port Upper Mississippi
River or their designated representative.
Designated Captain of the Port
representatives include United States
Coast Guard commissioned, warrant,
and petty officers of the U.S. Coast
Guard.

Dated: July 6, 2010.

S.L. Hudson,
Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the
Port Upper Mississippi River.

[FR Doc. 2010–17474 Filed 7–16–10; 8:45 am]
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47 CFR Part 73

(DA–10–1147; MB Docket No. 10–63; RM–
11597)

FM Table of Allotments, Amboy,
California

AGENCY: Federal Communications
Commission

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Audio Division, at the
request of Sunnylands Broadcasting,
LLC, allots FM Channel 284A at Amboy,
California. Channel 284A can be allotted at
Amboy, consistent with the minimum
distance separation requirements of the
Commission’s rules, at coordinates
34–36–00 NL and 115–40–52 WL, with a
site restriction of 7.5 km (4.6 miles)
northeast of the community.

Concurrence in the allotment by the
Government of Mexico is required
because the proposed allotment is
located within 320 kilometers (199
miles) of the U.S.–Mexican border.

Although Mexican concurrence has
been requested, notification has not
been received. If a construction permit
for Channel 284A at Amboy, California,
is granted prior to receipt of formal
concurrence by the Mexican
government, the authorization will
include the following condition:
“Operation with the facilities specified
herein for Amboy, California, is subject
to modification, suspension, or
termination without right to hearing, if
found by the Commission to be
necessary in order to conform to the
Mexico–United States FM Broadcast
Agreement, or if specifically objected to
by the Government of Mexico.” See
Supplementary Information infra.

DATES: Effective August 18, 2010.