the Secretary of Commerce on July 13, 2010. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4168 (July 2010), entitled Certain Steel Grating from China: Investigation Nos. 701–TA–465 and 731–TA–1161 (Final).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 14, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010–17498 Filed 7–16–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 701–TA–465 and 731–TA–1161 (Final)]

Certain Steel Grating From China

Determination

On the basis of the record 1 developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to sections 705(b) and 735(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b)) and (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)) (the Act), that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of certain steel gratings from China, provided for in subheading 7308.90.70 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that the U.S. Department of Commerce has determined are subsidized and sold in the United States at less than fair value.2

Background

These investigations were instituted in response to a petition filed on May 29, 2009, by Alabama Metal Industries, Birmingham, AL and Fisher & Ludlow, Wexford, PA. The final phase of the investigations was scheduled by the Commission following notification of preliminary determinations by Commerce that imports of certain steel gratings from China were being subsidized within the meaning of section 703(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(b)) and dumped within the meaning of 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission’s investigations and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC. and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on February 25, 2010 (75 FR 8746). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on May 25, 2010, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these investigations to the Secretary of Commerce on July 13, 2010. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4168 (July 2010), entitled Certain Steel Grating from China: Investigation Nos. 701–TA–465 and 731–TA–1161 (Final).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 14, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010–17498 Filed 7–16–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–728]

In the Matter of Certain Collaborative System Products and Components Thereof (II); Notice of Investigation


ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on June 15, 2010, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of eInstruction Corporation, Denton, Texas. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain collaborative system products and components thereof that infringe one or more of claims 1–24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,930,673, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337:

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: eInstruction Corporation, 308 N. Carroll Boulevard, Denton, Texas 76201.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served: Promethean Inc., 1165 Sanctuary Parkway, Suite 400, Alpharetta, Georgia 30009, Promethean Technology Shenzhen Ltd., Room 2756, 27/F, K. Wah Center, No. 1010 Huaihai Zhong Road, Xuhui District, Shanghai, China.

(c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is Thomas S. Fusco, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern, Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

For further information contact:


Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on July 12, 2010, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain collaborative system products or components thereof that infringe one or more of claims 1–24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,930,673, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337:

For the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: eInstruction Corporation, 308 N. Carroll Boulevard, Denton, Texas 76201.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served: Promethean Inc., 1165 Sanctuary Parkway, Suite 400, Alpharetta, Georgia 30009, Promethean Technology Shenzhen Ltd., Room 2756, 27/F, K. Wah Center, No. 1010 Huaihai Zhong Road, Xuhui District, Shanghai, China.

(c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is Thomas S. Fusco, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern, Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

1 The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

2 All six Commissioners voted in the affirmative.
Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)–(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 13, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010–17468 Filed 7–16–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–727]

In the Matter of Certain Underground Cable and Pipe Locators; Notice of Investigation


ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.


Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on July 12, 2010, Ordered That—

1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain underground cable and pipe locators by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,268,731. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is:
Radiodetection, Ltd., Western Drive, Bristol BS14 0AF, United Kingdom.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:
Vivax-Metrotech Corp., 3251 Olcott St., Santa Clara, CA 95054.
SebaKMT, Dr.-Herbert-Iann-Str. 6, 96148 Baunach, Germany.
Leidi Utility Supply Ltd., Rm. 405 3rd Building No. 641 Tianshan Rd., Shanghai 200336, China.

(c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is Christopher G. Paulraj, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern, Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with § 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)–(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: July 12, 2010.