which were released to interested parties for comment.

Based on our cost–of-production analysis, we disregarded below–cost sales by VMSA in the home market.

Analysis of Comments Received

In their case brief, the petitioners claim that the Department made a ministerial error by neglecting to reduce the U.S. gross unit price for movement expenses. See 19 CFR 351.212(b).

We reviewed the petitioners’ allegation and agree that correction of the error is appropriate. Accordingly, for the final results we have recalculated the net U.S. price for constructed export–price transactions by reducing the U.S. gross unit price for these movement expenses. See Final Analysis Memorandum, dated concurrently with this notice, for detailed information on this change.

Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, we determine that the weighted–average dumping margin for VMSA is 3.70 percent for the period February 1, 2008, through January 31, 2009.

Assessment Rates

The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we have calculated importer/customer–specific assessment rates for these final results of review. We divided the total dumping margins for the reviewed sales by the total entered value of those reviewed sales for each reported importer or customer. We will instruct CBP to assess the importer/customer–specific rate uniformly, as appropriate, on all entries of subject merchandise made by the relevant importer or customer during the POR. See 19 CFR 351.212(b).

The Department clarified its “automatic assessment” regulation on May 6, 2003. This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by VMSA for which VMSA did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries of VMSA–produced merchandise at the all–others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

The Department intends to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of these final results of review.

Cash–Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of SSB from Brazil entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash–deposit rate for VMSA will be 3.70 percent; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash–deposit rate will continue to be the company–specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less–than–fair–value investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash–deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer has its own rate, the cash–deposit rate will be the all–others rate for this proceeding, 19.43 percent. See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Bar From Brazil, 59 FR 66914 (December 28, 1994). These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Parties

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely notification of the destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These final results of administrative review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 1, 2010.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2010–16912 Filed 7–9–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1689]

Grant of Authority For Subzone Status Materials Science Technology, Inc. (Specialty Elastomers and Fire Retardant Chemicals) Conroe, Texas

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81n), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Foreign-Trade Zones Act provides for " * * * the establishment * * * of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes," and authorizes the Foreign-Trade Zones Board to grant to qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs and Border Protection ports of entry;

Whereas, the Foreign-Trade Zones Act provides for " * * * * the establishment of special-purpose subzones when existing zone facilities cannot serve the specific use involved, and when the activity results in a significant public benefit and is in the public interest;

Whereas, the City of Conroe, Texas, grantee of FTZ 265, has made application to the Board for authority to establish a special-purpose subzone at the specialty elastomer manufacturing and distribution facility of Materials Science Technology, Inc., located in Conroe, Texas, (FTZ Docket 46–2009, filed October 27, 2009);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment has been given in the Federal Register (74 FR 57149, 11/4/2009) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board’s regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner’s report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board’s regulations are satisfied, and
that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby
grants authority for subzone status for
activity related to the manufacturing
and distribution of specialty elastomers
and fire retardant chemicals at the
facility of Materials Science
Technology, Inc., located in Conroe,
Texas (Subzone 265C), as described in
the application and Federal Register
notice, subject to the FTZ Act and the
Board’s regulations, including Section
400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 22nd day
of June 2010.

Paul Piquado
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-
Trade Zones Board.

ATTEST:

Elizabeth Whiteman,
Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–16914 Filed 7–9–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric
Administration

RIN 0648–XR52

Marine Mammals; File No. 14534

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries
Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and
Atmospheric Administration (NOAA),
Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; issuance of permit.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that
NOAA Office of Science and
Technology, Silver Spring, MD
(Responsible Party: Ned Cyr, Director)
has been issued a permit to conduct
research on marine mammals in the
North Pacific Ocean.

ADDRESS: The permit and related
documents are available for review
upon written request or by appointment
in the following office(s):

Permits, Conservation and Education
Division, Office of Protected Resources,
NMFS, 1315 East-West Highway, Room
13705, Silver Spring, MD 20910; phone
(301) 713–2289; fax (301) 713–0376; and
Southeast Region, NMFS, 501 West
Republic Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930;
phone (978) 281–9328; fax (978) 281–
9394; and
Northeast Region, NMFS, 55 Great
Republic Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930;
phone (978) 281–9328; fax (978) 281–
9394; and
Southeast Region, NMFS, 263 13th
Avenue South, Saint Petersburg, Florida
33701; phone (727) 824–5312; fax (727)
824–5309.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kate
Swals or Carrie Hubard, (301)713–2289.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On
November 24, 2009, notice was
published in the Federal Register (74
FR 61331) that a request for a permit to
conduct research had been submitted by
the above-named applicant. The
requested permit has been issued under
the authority of the Marine Mammal
Protection Act of 1972, as amended (16
U.S.C. 1361 et seq.), the regulations
governing the taking and importing of
marine mammals (50 CFR part 216), the
Endangered Species Act of 1973, as
amended (ESA; 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.),
and the regulations governing the
taking, importing, and exporting of
endangered and threatened species (50
CFR parts 222–226).

The permit allows research on a
variety of marine mammals, and
involves studies of sound production,
diving, responses to sound, and other
behavior. The research is focused in the
waters within the U.S. Navy’s Southern
California Range Complex, and
primarily near the vicinity of San
Clemente Island. The experimental
design involves temporarily attaching
individual recording tags to measure
vocalization, behavior, and
physiological parameters as well as
sound exposure. Behavior will be
measured before, during, and after
carefully controlled exposures of sound
in conventional playback experiments.
The permit is valid for five years from
the date of issuance.

In compliance with the National
Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42
U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), an environmental
assessment (EA) was prepared analyzing
the effects of the permitted activities on
the human environment. Based on the
analyses in the EA, NMFS determined
that issuance of the permit would not
significantly impact the quality of the
human environment and that
preparation of an environmental impact
statement was not required. That
determination is documented in a
Finding of No Significant Impact
(FONSI), signed on June 29, 2010.

As required by the ESA, issuance of
this permit was based on a finding that
such permit: (1) was applied for in good
faith; (2) will not operate to the
disadvantage of such endangered
species; and (3) is consistent with the
purposes and policies set forth in
section 2 of the ESA.

Dated: July 6, 2010.

Tammy C. Adams,
Acting Chief, Permits, Conservation and
Education Division, Office of Protected
Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.
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