Corporations) submit management information for evaluation of all major areas of a participant’s management operations. The information is used to assess the management performance of PHAs.

**DATES:** Comments Due Date: August 6, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB approval number (2535–0106) and should be sent to: HUD Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; fax: 202–395–8060.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Leroy McKinney Jr., Reports Management Officer, QDAM, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410; e-mail Leroy McKinney Jr. at Leroy.McKinneyjr@hud.gov or telephone (202) 402–5564. This is not a toll-free number. Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Mr. McKinney.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice informs the public that the Department of Housing and Urban Development has submitted to OMB a request for approval of the Information collection described below. This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affecting agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

**This Notice Also Lists the Following Information**

**Title of Proposal:** Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Family Self-Sufficiency (FSS) Program.

**OMB Approval Number:** 2577–0178.


**Description of the Need for the Information and Its Proposed Use:** The FSS program, which was established in the National Affordable Housing Act of 1990, promotes the development of local strategies that coordinate the use of public housing assistance and assistance under the Section 8 rental certificate and voucher programs (now known as the Housing Choice Voucher Program) with public and private resources to enable eligible families to achieve economic independence and self-sufficiency. Housing agencies consult with local officials to develop an Action Plan; enter into a Contract of Participation with each eligible family that opts to participate in the program; compute an escrow credit for the family, report annually to HUD on implementation of the FSS program, and complete a funding application for the salary of an FSS program coordinator.

**Frequency of Submission:** State, Local or Tribal Government.

Total Estimated Burden Hours: 34,700.

Status: Extension of currently approved collection.


Dated: June 30, 2010.

Leroy McKinney, Jr.,
Departmental Reports Management Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2010–16503 Filed 7–6–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR–5376–N–62]

Public Housing Assessment System (PHAS): Management Operations Certification

**AGENCY:** Office of the Chief Information Officer, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The proposed information collection requirement described below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

PHAs (or Resident Management Corporations) submit management information for evaluation of all major

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Annual responses</th>
<th>Hours per response</th>
<th>= Burden hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.758</td>
<td>34,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Estimated Burden Hours: 34,700.

Status: Extension of currently approved collection.


Dated: June 30, 2010.

Leroy McKinney, Jr.,
Departmental Reports Management Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2010–16503 Filed 7–6–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR–5376–N–62]

Public Housing Assessment System (PHAS): Management Operations Certification

**AGENCY:** Office of the Chief Information Officer, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The proposed information collection requirement described below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is solicit
**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

Fish and Wildlife Service


Crane Meadows National Wildlife Refuge, Morrison County, MN

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability: Draft comprehensive conservation plan and environmental assessment; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce the availability of a draft comprehensive conservation plan (CCP) and draft environmental assessment (EA) for Crane Meadows National Wildlife Refuge (NWR) for public review and comment. In this draft CCP/EA we describe how we propose to manage the refuge for the next 15 years.

**DATES:** To ensure consideration, we must receive your written comments by August 6, 2010. An open house style meeting will be held during the comment period to receive comments and provide information on the draft plan. Special mailings, newspaper articles, internet postings, and other media announcements will inform people of the meeting and opportunities for written comments.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments or requests for more information can be sent by any of the following methods. You may also drop off comments in person at Crane Meadows NWR.

1. **Agency Web site:** View or download a copy of the document and comment at http://www.fws.gov/midwest/planning/CraneMeadows/index.html.

2. **E-mail:** r3planning@fws.gov. Include “Crane Meadows Draft CCP/EA” in the subject line of the message.

3. **Fax:** 763–389–3493.

4. **Mail:** Attention: Refuge Manager, Sherburne-Crane Meadows National Wildlife Refuge Complex, 17076 293 Avenue, Zimmerman, Minnesota 55398.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Anne Sittauer, 763–389–3323.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Introduction**

With this notice, we continue the CCP process for Crane Meadows NWR, which we began by publishing a notice of intent on (73 FR 76677–76678, December 17, 2008). For more information about the planning process, see that notice.

Crane Meadows NWR was established in 1992 for "* * * the conservation of the wetlands of the Nation * * *" under the Emergency Wetland Resources Act of 1986. The Service owns and manages approximately 1,800 acres of 13,540 acres proposed for acquisition. The unique wetland complex contains rare and declining habitat types, important archaeological resources, a diversity of local and migratory species, and an abundance of recreational opportunities.

**Background**

**The CCP Process**

The National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966, as amended by the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997 (16 U.S.C. 668dd–668ee), requires us to develop a comprehensive conservation plan for each national wildlife refuge. The purpose in developing a CCP is to provide refuge managers with a 15-year strategy for achieving refuge purposes and contributing toward the mission of the National Wildlife Refuge System, consistent with sound principles of fish and wildlife management, conservation, legal mandates, and Service policies. In addition to outlining broad management direction on conserving wildlife and their habitats, plans identify wildlife-dependent recreational opportunities available to the public, including opportunities for hunting, fishing, wildlife observation, wildlife photography, and environmental education and interpretation.

**CCP Alternatives and Our Preferred Alternative**

**Priority Issues**

During the public scoping process, we, other stakeholders and partners, and the public identified several priority issues related to habitat, land acquisition, water resources, wildlife, visitor services, archaeological resources, and support for the Refuge. To address these issues, we developed and evaluated the following alternatives during the planning process.

**Alternative A: Current Management Direction (No Action)**

The current management direction of Crane Meadows NWR would be maintained under this alternative. Required by NEPA, this is referred to as the “No Action” alternative. Land acquisition is minimal, conservation work on private lands is extensive, and both occur opportunistically. As land is acquired quality habitats are maintained in their current state, degraded habitats are improved or restored, and all habitat types are considered to have relatively equal priority. There is an active prescribed fire program, little involvement with local water resources, minimal active wildlife management, and monitoring efforts follow existing, broader state and federal efforts. Visitor use is concentrated on the Headquarters Unit, and consists of wildlife observation, photography, hiking, cross-country skiing, and snowshoeing as seasons and trail conditions allow. No hunting or fishing is permitted.

**Alternative B: Habitat Restoration to Pre-Settlement Benchmark Conditions and Increased Provision of Visitor Services (Preferred Alternative)**

Alternative B portrays a long-term vision for habitat restoration to near-historic benchmark conditions and increases recreation opportunities for visitors. Historic ecological data is used to set habitat restoration priorities favoring savanna and wetland habitats over existing prairies and woodlands. This alternative includes active participation in monitoring and improving local water resources, a well-developed prescribed fire plan, increased land acquisition efforts in high priority areas, additional inventories related to habitat, plant and animal species, recreational opportunities in a greater number of