

site south of Douglas Road and adjacent to the west side of Grant Line Road. The applicant proposes to fill approximately 13.9 acres of waters of the U.S., including wetlands, to construct 906 houses, roadways, and other infrastructure. The permittee proposes to preserve 42 acres of wetlands on-site. According to the Biological Opinion issued for the project, approximately 12 acres of wetland habitat would be created and 22.5 acres of wetland habitat preservation would occur off-site. Mitigation is expected to occur within Gill Ranch Open Space Preserve, a 10,400-acre preserve in eastern Sacramento County. The applicant for this project is Pappas Investments.

The Draft EIS includes an evaluation of a reasonable range of alternatives. The Draft EIS considers several on-site and off-site alternatives. Three alternatives were carried through for detailed analysis: (1) The no action alternative, (2) the proposed action (the applicants' preferred projects), and (3) a reduced footprint alternative. The no action alternative is limited to development in uplands, avoiding all waters of the United States. The reduced development footprint alternative involves less development with fewer impacts to waters of the United States.

Comments on the Draft EIS must be submitted to the Corps by August 15, 2010. The public and affected Federal, State, and local agencies, Native American Tribes, and other organizations and parties are invited to comment. Electronic copies of the Draft EIS may be found on the Corps' Web site at <http://www.spk.usace.army.mil/organizations/cespk-co/regulatory/EISs/EIS-index.html>. A hard copy of the Draft EIS will be available for review at the Corps office during normal business hours. To view the hard copy, please contact Michael Jewell to schedule a time to visit the Corps office.

The Corps will also hold two public meetings for the Draft EIS. The meetings will be held on July 27, 2010, with the first from 5 p.m. to 6 p.m. and the second from 7 p.m. to 8 p.m. The location of the meetings is at the Rancho Cordova City Hall, 2729 Prospect Park Drive, American River Room—South, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670. Interested parties can provide oral and written comments at these meetings.

In addition to notices in the **Federal Register**, the Corps will issue public notices advising interested parties of the availability of the Draft EIS and Final EIS. Interested parties may register for the Corps' public notices at: <http://www.spk.usace.army.mil/organizations/cespk-co/regulatory/pnlist.html>.

Dated: June 22, 2010.

Thomas C. Chapman,

Colonel, Corps of Engineers, District Engineer.

[FR Doc. 2010-16138 Filed 7-1-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3720-58-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

Army Science Board Plenary Meeting

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended), the Sunshine in the Government Act of 1976 (U.S.C. 552b, as amended) and 41 Code of the Federal Regulations (CFR 102-3. 140 through 160, the Department of the Army announces the following committee meeting:

Name of Committee: Army Science Board (ASB).

Date(s) of Meeting: July 21, 2010.

Time(s) of Meeting: 0800-1330.

Location: Beckman Center, 100 Academy, Irvine, CA 92617.

Purpose: Adopt the findings and recommendations for phase one of the following studies: *Strengthening Sustainability and Resiliency of a Future Force, Tactical Non-cooperative Biometric Systems and Soldier Resilience and Performance Sustainment.*

Purposed Agenda:

Wednesday 21 July:

0800-0930 Study results for *Strengthening Sustainability and Resiliency of a Future Force* are presented to the ASB. The ASB deliberates and votes to adopt the findings and recommendations on the first phase of the study.

0930-0945 Break.

0945-1115 Study results for the *Tactical Non-Cooperative Biometric Systems* are presented to the ASB. The ASB deliberates and votes to adopt the findings and recommendations on the first phase of the study.

1115-1200 Lunch Break for the ASB Members.

1200-1330 Study results for *Soldier Resilience and Performance Sustainment* are presented to the ASB. The ASB deliberates and votes to adopt the findings and recommendations on the first phase of the study.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information please contact Mr. Justin Bringhurst at justin.bringhurst@us.army.mil or (703) 604-7468 or Carolyn German at

carolyn.t.german@us.army.mil or (703) 604-7490.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: None.

Brenda S. Bowen,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2010-16136 Filed 7-1-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Meetings of the Naval Research Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of closed meetings.

SUMMARY: The Naval Research Advisory Committee (NRAC) will meet from July 19-23, 2010, and July 26-30, 2010, to discuss materials presented at the NRAC Summer Study. All sessions on Monday, July 19, 2010, and the Executive Sessions led by Panel Chair and Vice Chair from 8 a.m. to 8:30 a.m. on July 20-23, 2010, will be open to the public. The sessions from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. on July 20-23, 2010, and all of the sessions on July 26-30, 2010, will be closed to the public. The closed sessions will be devoted to discussions and technical examination of classified information, For Official Use Only (FOUO) information, and vendor proprietary briefings related to the study: "Status and Future of Naval Research & Development Enterprise." These closed session discussions will assess the current technical core competencies of the Warfare Centers employed by the Systems Commands (SYSCOMs) and Program Executive Offices (PEOs) (as well as the stewardship provided for those competencies and the technical core competencies that are provided by the Navy University Affiliated Research Centers (UARCs)); will consider the technical quality of the workforce and physical infrastructure; will review proprietary information regarding technology applications and systems under development in the private sector between competing companies; will assess emerging concepts of operations in each of these areas and evaluate appropriate options in such areas as: Personnel, training, R&D funding allocation, technology monitoring, progress assessments, probable time frames for transformation and implementation; and will assess challenges with the utilization and fielding of various technology applications.