(1) Within 30 calendar days after workers perform a motor-cleaning operation, conduct biological monitoring for blood-lead and zinc protoporphyrin concentrations on every worker involved in that motor-cleaning operation. Blood-lead sample analysis must be performed by a laboratory licensed by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), or a laboratory that obtained a satisfactory grade in blood-lead proficiency testing from CDC within the prior 12 months and has an accuracy (to a confidence level of 95 percent) within ±15 percent or 6 μg/100 ml, whichever is greater.

(2) Ensure that blood-lead results remain at or below 40 μg lead/100 g whole blood.

(3) Whenever KSW assigns a new worker to perform the crane motor-cleaning operation, conduct biological monitoring of the worker prior to the worker beginning the cleaning operation.

(4) Not assign any worker to the crane motor-cleaning operation who declines to undergo the biological-monitoring procedures.

(e) Notifications. The applicant must/would:

(1) Provide written notification to affected workers of the results of their individual personal-exposure and biological-monitoring results in accordance with the requirements of the arsenic and lead standards (29 CFR 1910.1018(e)(5) and 29 CFR 1910.1025(d)(8)) within 15 working days from receipt of the results.

(2) Whenever personal-exposure monitoring results are at or above the action levels for lead (30 μg/m3) or arsenic (5 μg/m3), or blood-lead monitoring results are above 20 μg lead/100 g whole blood, provide these results to OSHA’s Peoria, IL, Area Office, OSHA’s Chicago, IL, Regional Office, and OSHA’s Office of Technical Programs and Coordination Activities within 15 working days of receiving the results, along with a written plan describing how KSW will reduce exposure levels or blood-lead levels.

(3) At least 15 calendar days prior to commencing any operation that involves using compressed air to clean crane motors, inform OSHA’s Peoria, IL, Area Office and OSHA’s Chicago, IL, Regional Office of the date and time the operation will commence.

(4) Notify in writing OSHA’s Office of Technical Programs and Coordination Activities as soon as KSW knows that it will:

(A) Cease to do business; or

(B) Transfer the activities covered by the variance to a successor company.

(f) Training. The applicant must/would implement the worker-training programs described in 29 CFR 1910.1018(o) and 29 CFR 1910.1025(l), including:

(A) Initial training of new workers prior to their beginning a crane motor-cleaning operation;

(B) Yearly refresher training of all other workers involved in crane motor-cleaning operations;

(C) Documentation of this training; and

(D) Maintenance of the training records.

(g) Miscellaneous program conditions. The applicant must/would implement the:

(A) Respiratory Protection Program that meets the requirements specified by 29 CFR 1910.134 and 29 CFR 1910.1025(f);

(B) Provisions of KSW’s Arsenic, Lead, & Cadmium Control Program; and

(C) Provisions of the Safe Job Procedure.

(g) Monitoring work practices. The applicant must/would ensure that supervisors:

(1) Observe and enforce applicable safe-work practices while workers are cleaning crane motors;

(2) Document these supervisor observations and enforcement activities; and

(3) Maintain these records.

(h) Record retention and availability. The applicant must/would:

(1) Retain any records generated under these conditions for a minimum period of five years, unless an applicable OSHA standard specifies a longer period;14 and

(2) Make these records available to OSHA, affected workers, and worker representatives on request.

V. Authority and Signature

David Michaels, PhD, MPH, Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC, directed the preparation of this notice. This notice is issued under the authority specified by Section 6(d) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (29 U.S.C. 655), Secretary of Labor’s Order No. 5–2007 (72 FR 31160), and 29 CFR part 1905.


David Michaels,
Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 2010–16070 Filed 6–30–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–26–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers by (TA–W) number issued during the period of June 7, 2010 through June 11, 2010.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for workers of a primary firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

1. Under Section 222(a)(2)(A), the following must be satisfied:

(A) A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers’ firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(B) The sales or production, or both, of such firm have decreased absolutely; and

(C) One of the following must be satisfied:

(A) Imports of articles or services like or directly competitive with articles produced or services supplied by such firm have increased; (B) Imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles into which one or more component parts produced by such firm are directly incorporated, have increased; (C) Imports of articles directly incorporating one or more component parts produced outside the United States that are like or directly competitive with imports of articles incorporating one or more component parts produced by such firm have increased; (D) Imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced directly using services produced or services supplied by such firm have increased.

14 As described by KSW’s Arsenic, Lead, & Cadmium Control Program.

15 Examples of safe-work practices include use of personal-protective equipment (including respirators, gloves, protective clothing) as defined by (a) KSW’s Respiratory Protection Program; (b) provisions of KSW’s Arsenic, Lead, & Cadmium Control Program; and (c) provisions of KSW’s Safe Job Procedure.

16 For example, § 1910.1025(n)(1)(iii) and (n)(2)(iv) require employers to retain lead exposure-monitoring records and medical records for at least 40 years or for the duration of employment plus 20 years, whichever is longer.
The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(B) (shift in production or services) of the Trade Act have been met.
The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(c) (supplier to a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA) of the Trade Act have been met.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TA–W No.</th>
<th>Subject firm</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Impact date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>73,312</td>
<td>AT&amp;T Mobility Services, LLC, Consumer Centers, Sales, Mobility Customer, Quality Observation.</td>
<td>Atlanta, GA</td>
<td>January 19, 2009.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,520</td>
<td>Gildan Activewear, Inc., Leased Workers of Debbie’s Staffing and Amerstaff</td>
<td>Martinsville, VA</td>
<td>February 16, 2009.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,664</td>
<td>Coloplast Manufacturing US, LLC, Global Operations, Leased Workers from Randstad USA, etc.</td>
<td>Vadnais Heights, MN</td>
<td>March 8, 2009.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,682</td>
<td>Hartford Financial Services Group, Incorporated, Medical Bill Processing and Production Center Support.</td>
<td>Aurora, IL</td>
<td>March 10, 2009.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,682A</td>
<td>Hartford Financial Services Group, Incorporated, Medical Bill Processing and Production Center Support.</td>
<td>Syracuse, NY</td>
<td>March 10, 2009.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,694</td>
<td>The Travelers Indemnity Company, Claim Services Department, Business Intelligence and Analytics Subdivision.</td>
<td>Hartford, CT</td>
<td>March 8, 2009.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,762</td>
<td>Rain Bird Corporation, Arizona Molding Division, Leased Workers from Lumea Staffing Services, etc.</td>
<td>Tucson, AZ</td>
<td>March 18, 2009.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,884</td>
<td>Land’s End, Inc., Merchandising and Planning</td>
<td>Dodgeville, WI</td>
<td>April 7, 2009.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,968</td>
<td>Hospira, Inc., Leased Workers from Kelly Services</td>
<td>North Chicago, IL</td>
<td>April 19, 2009.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,993</td>
<td>Springer Science+Business Media, LLC</td>
<td>Norwell, MA</td>
<td>April 22, 2009.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,997</td>
<td>Citicorp Credit Services, Inc., (USA) (“CCSI”), Transaction Services Department, Payment Processing Data Entry.</td>
<td>Urbandale, IA</td>
<td>April 21, 2009.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74,080</td>
<td>General Electric Dothan Motor Plant, GE Energy Division</td>
<td>Dothan, AL</td>
<td>May 10, 2009.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the eligibility criteria for worker adjustment assistance have not been met for the reasons specified.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TA–W No.</th>
<th>Subject firm</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Impact date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>73,739</td>
<td>World Wide Technology</td>
<td>Greensboro, NC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,884A</td>
<td>Integrated Silicon Solution, Inc., Document Control Department, Leased Workers from ATR International</td>
<td>San Jose, CA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,884B</td>
<td>Integrated Silicon Solution, Inc., Sales Departments, Leased Workers from ATR International</td>
<td>San Jose, CA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,884C</td>
<td>Integrated Silicon Solution, Inc., Engineering Departments, Leased Workers from ATR International</td>
<td>San Jose, CA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74,030</td>
<td>DaveCo</td>
<td>Eureka, CA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following determinations applying for TAA of the Trade Act have not been met.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TA–W No.</th>
<th>Subject firm</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Impact date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>71,916</td>
<td>Cymer, Inc</td>
<td>San Diego, CA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72,032</td>
<td>Marshfield DoorSystems, Inc</td>
<td>Marshfield, WI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72,200</td>
<td>Varco Pruden Buildings, BlueScope Buildings North America, BlueScope Steel Corporate</td>
<td>Kennesville, NC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72,476</td>
<td>ITW Shippers Products, Illinois Tool Works</td>
<td>Mt. Pleasant, TN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72,612</td>
<td>Corrosion Control, Inc., DBA Pikotek, Enpro Industries</td>
<td>Wheat Ridge, CO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,009</td>
<td>Sunoco, Inc., Refinery and Supply Division, DBA Eagle Point Refinery</td>
<td>Westville, NJ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,015</td>
<td>Mohawk ESV, Incorporated, Landrum Plant-Commercial Flooring</td>
<td>Landrum, SC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,399</td>
<td>National Oilwell Varco, Rig Solutions Division</td>
<td>Odessa, TX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,636</td>
<td>Tele-Response Center, Inc., D.B.A. 121 Direct Response</td>
<td>Wellston, OH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Determinations Terminating Investigations of Petitions for Worker Adjustment Assistance

After notice of the petitions was published in the Federal Register and on the Department’s website, as required by Section 221 of the Act (19 USC 2271), the Department initiated investigations of these petitions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TA–W No.</th>
<th>Subject firm</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Impact date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>73,349</td>
<td>Freres Lumber Company, Inc.</td>
<td>Lyons, OR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,488</td>
<td>Hewlett Packard (HP), Global Product Development, General Motors (GM)</td>
<td>Mt. Laurel, MI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,511</td>
<td>PTC Alliance</td>
<td>Alliance, OH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,631</td>
<td>Matsu Ohio, Formerly Known As Midwest Stamping, LLC</td>
<td>Edgerton, OH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,669</td>
<td>Lazar Industries, LLC</td>
<td>Los Angeles, CA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,669A</td>
<td>Lazar Industries, LLC</td>
<td>Siler City, NC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,893</td>
<td>Sensata Technologies, Power Controls Division, Formerly Known As Airpax Corporation</td>
<td>Cambridge, MD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,920</td>
<td>Carestream Technologies, Power Controls Division, Formerly Known As Airpax Corporation</td>
<td>Windsor, CO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,944</td>
<td>Pentair Filtration</td>
<td>Sheboygan, WI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following determinations applying for TAA of the Trade Act have been met by at least three individuals of the petitioning worker group. Petitioners separated more than one year prior to...
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers by (TA-W) number issued during the period of June 14, 2010 through June 18, 2010.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for workers of a primary firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Under Section 222(a)(2)(A), the following must be satisfied:

1. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;
2. The sales or production, or both, of such firm have decreased absolutely; and
3. One of the following must be satisfied:
   A. Imports of articles or services like or directly competitive with articles produced or services supplied by such firm have increased;
   B. Imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles into which one or more component parts produced outside the United States that are like or directly competitive with imports of articles

II. Under Section 222(a)(2)(B), the following must be satisfied:

1. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;
2. The sales or production, or both, of such firm have decreased absolutely; and
3. One of the following must be satisfied:
   A. Imports of articles or services like or directly competitive with articles produced or services supplied by such firm have increased;
   B. Imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles into which one or more component parts produced outside the United States that are like or directly competitive with imports of articles

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued because the petitions are the subject of ongoing investigations under petitions filed earlier covering the same petitioners.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TA-W No.</th>
<th>Subject firm</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Impact date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>73,864</td>
<td>Super Media, LLC</td>
<td>Chadds Ford, PA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,865</td>
<td>Super Media, LLC</td>
<td>Monroeville, PA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,866</td>
<td>Super Media, LLC</td>
<td>Bethlehem, PA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,867</td>
<td>Super Media, LLC</td>
<td>Harrisburg, PA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74,147</td>
<td>ABB, Inc.</td>
<td>Mount Pleasant, PA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued because the petitioning groups of workers are covered by active certifications. Consequently, further investigation in these cases would serve no purpose since the petitioning group of workers cannot be covered by more than one certification at a time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TA-W No.</th>
<th>Subject firm</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Impact date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72,197</td>
<td>Manpower, Inc., Workers Employed</td>
<td>Harrisburg, PA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,422</td>
<td>AT&amp;T Mobility Services, LLC, Consumer Centers Sales, Mobility Customer, Quality</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,435</td>
<td>AT&amp;T Mobility Services, LLC, Consumer Centers Sales, Mobility Customer, Quality</td>
<td>Harrisburg, PA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,440</td>
<td>AT&amp;T Mobility Services, LLC, Consumer Centers Sales, Mobility Customers, Quality</td>
<td>Orlando, FL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,456</td>
<td>AT&amp;T Mobility Services, LLC, Consumer Centers Sales, Mobility Customer, Quality</td>
<td>Portland, OR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74,061</td>
<td>Plastic Omnium Auto Exteriors, LLC</td>
<td>Troy, MI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of June 7, 2010 through June 11, 2010. Copies of these determinations may be requested under the Freedom of Information Act. Requests may be submitted by fax, courier services, or mail to FOIA Disclosure Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance (ETA), and U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 or tofoiarequest@dol.gov. These determinations also are available on the Department's Web site at http://www.doleta.gov/tradeact under the searchable listing of determinations.

Dated: June 24, 2010.

Elliott S. Kushner,
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.