§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):


Effective Date
(a) This AD becomes effective July 6, 2010, to all persons except those persons to whom it was made immediately effective by AD 2009–15–16, issued on July 15, 2009, which contained the requirements of this amendment.

Affected ADs
(b) None.

Applicability

Subject
(d) Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 52: Doors.

Unsafe Condition
(e) This AD results from a report indicating that the current design of certain equipment of the flight deck door is defective. We are issuing this AD to prevent the failure of this equipment, which could jeopardize flight safety.

Compliance
(f) Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

Installation
(g) Within 30 days after the effective date of this AD, modify the flight deck door, in accordance with TIMCO Service Bulletin TSB–88–52–045, Revision E, dated November 6, 2008.

Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)
(h) (1) The Manager, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send information to ATTN: Linda Haynes, Aerospace Engineer, COS—Certificate Management Branch, ACE–102A, FAA, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office, 1701 Columbia Avenue, College Park, GA 30337; telephone 404–474–5525; fax 404–474–5600.

(2) To request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD, follow the procedures in 14 CFR 39.19. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your principal maintenance inspector (PMI) or principal avionics inspector (PAI), as appropriate, or lacking a principal inspector, your local Flight Standards District Office. The AMOC approval letter must specifically refer to this AD.

Material Incorporated by Reference
(i) You must use TIMCO Service Bulletin TSB–88–52–045, Revision E, dated November 6, 2008, to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise. (The revision date of this document is identified only on the title page and page I of the document; no other page of the document contains this information.)

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of this service information under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) For service information identified in this AD, contact TIMCO Aerosystems, 815 Radar Road, Greensboro, NC 27410–6221; telephone 336–668–4410, extension 3063; fax 336–662–8330; Internet: http://www.timco.aero.

(3) You may review copies of the service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

(4) You may also review copies of the service information that is incorporated by reference on the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 18, 2010.

Ali Bahrami,
Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
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Correction

In rule document 2010–14979 beginning on page 35613 in the issue of Wednesday, June 23, 2010, make the following correction:

On page 35613, in the second column under the “DATES:” heading, in the first line, “June 23, 2010” should read “July 28, 2010.”
Regulatory Information

The Coast Guard is issuing this final rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment pursuant to authority under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.” Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule. The Coast Guard finds that it would be impracticable to publish a NPRM with respect to this rule because the event would occur before the rulemaking process could be completed.

Basis and Purpose

In 2010, Fourth Mate Productions formally proposed placing six fixed mooring balls approximately 2,500 yards south of Barbers Point channel buoy #2. The mooring balls will be placed in a 133-yard (121-meter) circular design for preapproved vessel mooring purposes. For ease of identification, these mooring balls will be monitored by a small boat during daylight hours and will be illuminated with a single flashing white light on each of the six mooring balls during non-daylight hours. With the State of Hawaii’s permission and after preplanning meetings with various members of the maritime community including environmental officials, a safety zone was determined to be appropriate by the Captain of the Port (COTP) to ensure safe transit in and around the fixed mooring balls by vessels and the general public.

Discussion of Rule

The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary 400-yard (366-meter) radius safety zone around position 21°18′35.00″ N, 158°07′33.00″ W, approximately 2,500 yards south of Barbers Point Harbor channel buoy #2, Oahu, Hawaii. Entry of persons, vessels or other watercraft into this temporary safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port. This safety zone extends from the surface of the water to the ocean floor. All vessels are requested to pass to the west, or makai side, of the Safety Zone to avoid grounding on the shallow and live coral reef area between the safety zone and the shoreline. Vessels desiring to transit through the safety zone can request permission by contacting the Honolulu Captain of the Port at 808–563–9906 or 808–842–2600. The safety zone will be enforced from 6 a.m. on July 1, through 6 p.m. on July 21, 2010. The COTP may cease enforcement of the zone earlier if conditions warrant.

Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on 13 of these statutes or executive orders.

Regulatory Planning and Review

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order.

Although this rule restricts access to the waters encompassed by the safety zone, the effect of this rule will not be significant because vessels will be able to transit around the safety zone. Vessels may also transit through the safety zone with permission from the COTP.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. While the safety zone is being enforced, vessels will be able to transit around the safety zone. Furthermore, vessels will be allowed to transit through the temporary safety zone if permission to enter is granted from the COTP.

Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–121), we offer to assist small entities in understanding this rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking. Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency’s responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

Collection of Information

This rule would call for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132. Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of $100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

Taking of Private Property

This rule would not cause a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not
an economically significant rule and would not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that might disproportionately affect children.

Indian Tribal Governments
This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Energy Effects
We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a “significant energy action” under that order because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

Technical Standards
The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

Environment
We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023-01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have concluded this action is one of a category of actions which do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(h), of the Instruction. This rule involves the establishment of a safety zone. An environmental analysis checklist and a categorical exclusion determination are available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165
Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard is amending 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

§ 165. T14–204 Safety Zone; Fixed Mooring Balls, South of Barbers Pt Harbor Channel, Oahu, Hawaii.

(a) Location. The following area is a safety zone: All waters contained within a 400-yard radius (366-meter) radius around position 21°18′35.00″ N., 158°07′33.00″ W. This position is approximately 2,500 yards south of Barbers Point Harbor channel buoy #2, Oahu, Hawaii. This Safety Zone will have six (6), 24-inch white mooring balls with a single blue reflective stripe. The mooring balls will be placed 133 yards (121 meters) in a circular design for preapproved vessel mooring purposes. This safety zone extends from the surface of the water to the ocean floor. These coordinates are based upon the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Coast Survey, Pacific Ocean, Oahu, Hawaii, chart 19357.

(b) Regulations. (1) Entry into or remaining in the safety zone described in paragraph (a) of this section is prohibited unless authorized by the Coast Guard Captain of the Port Honolulu zone.

(2) Persons desiring to transit the safety zone must contact the Honolulu Captain of the Port on VHF channel 81A (157.075 MHz), VHF channel 16 (156.800 MHz), or at telephone numbers 1–808–563–9906 or 808–842–2600 to seek permission to transit the area with a designated escort vessel. If permission is granted, all persons and vessels must comply with the instructions of the Captain of the Port or his or her designated representative. All other vessels are requested to pass to the west, or makai side, of the Safety Zone to avoid grounding on the shallow and live coral reef area located between the safety zone and the shoreline.

(c) Enforcement period. This rule will be enforced from 6 a.m. on July 1, 2010 until 6 p.m. on July 21, 2010 or unless canceled earlier by the Captain of the Port.

(d) Regulations. In accordance with the general regulations in 33 CFR part 165, Subpart C, no person or vessel may enter or remain in the zone except for support vessels/aircraft and support personnel, or other vessels authorized by the Captain of the Port or his designated representatives.

(e) Penalties. Vessels or persons violating this rule are subject to the penalties set forth in 33 U.S.C. 1232 and 50 U.S.C. 192.

Dated: June 18, 2010.
B. A. Compagnoni,
Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Honolulu.
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Safety Zones; Annual Firework Displays Within the Captain of the Port, Puget Sound Area of Responsibility

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of enforcement of regulation.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard will enforce the safety zones for annual firework displays in the Captain of the Port, Puget Sound area of responsibility during the dates and times noted below. This action is necessary to prevent injury and to protect life and property of the maritime public from the hazards associated with the firework displays. During the enforcement periods, entry into, transit through, mooring, or anchoring within these zones is prohibited unless authorized by the