ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: You may submit information by any of the following methods:

- Mail: Kaja Brix, National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Region, Protected Resources Division, P.O. Box 21668, 709 West 9th Street, Juneau, AK 99802.
- E-mail: kaja.brix@noaa.gov. Include the following identifier in the subject line of the e-mail: "Comments on the 5–year review for the eastern DPS of Steller sea lion."
- Fax: 907–586–7012, attention: Kaja Brix

Information received in response to this notice and review will be available for public inspection (by appointment, during normal business hours) at the address above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Lisa Rotterman (907–271–1692), lisa.rotterman@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under the ESA, a list of endangered and threatened wildlife and plant species (list) must be maintained. The list is published at 50 CFR 17.11 (for animals) and 17.12 (for plants). Section 4(c)(2)(A) of the ESA requires that we conduct a review of listed species at least once every 5 years. On the basis of such reviews under section 4(c)(2)(B), we determine whether or not any species should be removed from the list (delisted), or reclassified from endangered to threatened or from threatened to endangered. Delisting a species must be supported by the best available scientific and commercial data available and only considered if such data substantiates that the species is neither endangered nor threatened for one or more of the following reasons: (1) the species is considered extinct; (2) the species is considered to be recovered; and/or (3) the original data available when the species was listed, or the interpretation of such data, were in error. Any change in federal classification would require a separate rulemaking process. The regulations (50 CFR 424.21) require that we publish a notice in the Federal Register announcing those species currently under active review. This notice announces our active review of the eastern DPS of the Steller Sea Lion (Eumetopias jubatus) currently listed as threatened.

Public Solicitation of New Information

To ensure that the 5-year review is complete and based on the best available scientific and commercial information, we are soliciting new information from the public, concerned governmental agencies, tribes, the scientific community, industry, environmental entities, and any other interested parties concerning the status of the eastern DPS of the Steller Sea Lion (Eumetopias jubatus).

Five-year reviews consider the best scientific and commercial data and all new information that has become available since the listing determination or most recent status review. Categories of requested information include the following: (A) species biology, including, but not limited to, population trends, distribution, abundance, demographics, and genetics; (B) habitat conditions, including, but not limited to, amount, distribution, and suitability; (C) conservation measures that have been implemented that benefit the species; (D) status and trends of threats; and (E) other new information, data, or corrections, including, but not limited to, taxonomic or nomenclatural changes, identification of erroneous information contained in the list, and improved analytical methods.

The eastern DPS of the Steller Sea Lion is listed as a Distinct Population Segment of a vertebrate taxon. We will also be considering application of the DPS policy for vertebrate taxa. DPS is defined in the February 7, 1996, Policy Regarding the Recognition of Distinct Vertebrate in Population Segments (61 FR 4722). For a population to be listed under the ESA as a DPS, three elements are considered: (1) the discreteness of the population segment in relation to the remainder of the species to which it belongs; (2) the significance of the population segment to the species to which it belongs; and (3) the DPS’s conservation status in relation to the ESA’s standards for listing (i.e., is the population segment endangered or threatened?). DPSs of vertebrate species, as well as subspecies of all listed species, may be proposed for separate reclassification or for removal from the list.

If you wish to provide information for this 5-year review, you may submit your information and materials to Kaja Brix (see ADDRESSES). Our practice is to make submissions of information, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review during regular business hours. Respondents may request that we withhold a respondent’s identity, as allowable by law. If you wish to withhold your name or address, you must state this request clearly at the beginning of your submission. We will not, however, consider anonymous submissions. To the extent consistent with applicable law, we will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety. Information and materials received will be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours (see ADDRESSES). Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.


Angela Somma,
Chief, Endangered Species Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2010–15774 Filed 6–28–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–22–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[C–475–819]

Certain Pasta from Italy: Final Results of the 13th (2008) Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") has completed its administrative review of the countervailing duty ("CVD") order on certain pasta from Italy for the period January 1, 2008, through December 31, 2008. On April 13, 2010, we published the Preliminary Results of this review. See Certain Pasta From Italy: Preliminary Results of the 13th (2008) Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, 75 FR 18806 (April 13, 2010) ("Preliminary Results"). We did not receive any comments on the Preliminary Results and have made no revisions. We find that Pastificio Lucio Garofalo S.p.A. ("Garofalo") received countervailable subsidies and that F.lli De Cecco di Filippo Fara San Martino S.p.A. ("De Cecco Pastificio")/Molino e Pastificio De Cecco S.p.A. ("De Cecco Pescara"), members of the De Cecco group of companies, received de minimis countervailable subsidies. The final net subsidy rates for Garofalo and De Cecco Pastificio/De Cecco Pescara are listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

DATES: Effective Date: June 29, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anna Flaaten or Brandon Farlander, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230;
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In the Preliminary Results, we invited interested parties to submit briefs. No briefs were received.

Period of Review

The period of review ("POR") for which we are measuring subsidies is January 1, 2008, through December 31, 2008.

Scope of the Order

Imports covered by the order are shipments of certain non–egg dry pasta in packages of five pounds or less, whether or not enriched or fortified or containing milk or other optional ingredients such as chopped vegetables, vegetable purées, milk, gluten, diastase, vitamins, coloring and flavorings, and up to two percent egg white. The pasta covered by the scope of the order is typically sold in the retail market, in fiberboard or cardboard cartons, or polyethylene or polypropylene bags of varying dimensions.

Excluded from the scope of the order are refrigerated, frozen, or canned pastas, as well as all forms of egg pasta, with the exception of non–egg dry pasta containing up to two percent egg white. Also excluded are imports of organic pasta from Italy that are accompanied by the appropriate certificate issued by the Instituto Mediterraneo Di Certificazione, Bioagricoop S.r.l., QC&I International Services, Ecocert Italia, Consorzio per il Controllo dei Prodotti Biologici, Associazione Italiana per l’Agricoltura Biologica, or Codex S.r.l. In addition, based on publicly available information, the Department has determined that, as of August 4, 2004, imports of organic pasta from Italy that are accompanied by the appropriate certificate issued by Bioagricoop S.r.l. are also excluded from the order. See Memorandum from Eric B. Greynolds to Melissa G. Skinner, dated August 4, 2004, which is on file in the Department’s Central Records Unit ("CRU") in Room 1117 of the main Department building. In addition, based on publicly available information, the Department has determined that, as of March 13, 2003, imports of organic pasta from Italy that are accompanied by the appropriate certificate issued by Instituto per la Certificazione Etica e Ambiente are also excluded from the order. See Memorandum from Audrey Twymen to Susan Kuhbach, dated February 28, 2006, entitled “Recognition of Instituto per la Certificazione Etica e Ambiente (ICEA) as a Public Authority for Certifying Organic Pasta from Italy” which is on file in the Department’s CRU.

The merchandise subject to review is currently classifiable under items 1901.90.90.95 and 1902.19.20 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive.

Scope Rulings

The Department has issued the following scope rulings to date:

1. On August 25, 1997, the Department issued a scope ruling finding that multicolored pasta, imported in kitchen display bottles of decorative glass that are sealed with cork or paraffin and bound with raffia, is excluded from the scope of the antidumping ("AD") and CVD orders. See Memorandum from Edward Easton to Richard Moreland, dated August 25, 1997, which is on file in the CRU.

2. On July 30, 1998, the Department issued a scope ruling finding that multipacks consisting of six one–pound packages of pasta that are shrink–wrapped into a single package are within the scope of the AD and CVD orders. See Letter from Susan H. Kuhbach to Barbara P. Sidari, dated July 30, 1998, which is on file in the CRU.

3. On October 26, 1998, the Department self–initiated a scope inquiry to determine whether a package weighing over five pounds as a result of allowable industry tolerances is within the scope of the AD and CVD orders. On May 24, 1999, we issued a final scope ruling finding that, effective October 26, 1998, pasta in packages weighing or labeled up to (and including) five pounds four ounces is within the scope of the AD and CVD orders. See Memorandum from John Brinkmann to Richard Moreland, dated May 24, 1999, which is on file in the CRU.


Final Results of Review

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5), we calculated individual subsidy rates for the mandatory respondents, De Cecco Pastificio/De Cecco Pescara and Garofalo.

For the non–selected respondents, we have followed the Department’s practice to base the margin on an average of the margins calculated for those companies selected for individual review, excluding zero or de minimis rates or rates based entirely on adverse facts available ("AFA"). See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Light–Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Mexico, 73 FR 35649, 35651 (June 24, 2008); see also Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From India: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 73 FR 40492, 40495–98 (July 15, 2008), and Lightweight Thermal Paper From the People’s Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination, 73 FR 57323, 57325–26 (October 2, 2008). Therefore, we have assigned to the non–selected respondents in this review the rate calculated for Garofalo, which is the only rate in this review that is neither de minimis nor based entirely on AFA.

For the period January 1, 2008, through December 31, 2008, we find the net subsidy rates for the producers/exporters under review to be that specified in the chart below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Producer/Exporter</th>
<th>Net Subsidy Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F.II De Cecco di Filippo</td>
<td>0.44% (de minimis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fara San Martino S.p.A./ Molino e Pastificio De Cecco</td>
<td>0.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pastificio Lucio Garofalo</td>
<td>0.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Matteis Agritalia S.p.A.</td>
<td>0.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agroalimentare S.p.A.</td>
<td>0.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Divella S.p.A.</td>
<td>0.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All–Others Rate</td>
<td>3.85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Listed below are the programs we examined in the review and our findings with respect to each of these programs. For a complete analysis of the programs found to be countervailable, not countervailable and terminated, see Preliminary Results.
I. Programs Determined to be Countervailable
A. Industrial Development Grants Under Law 64/86
B. Industrial Development Grants Under Law 488/92
C. Interest Contributions Under Law 488/92

II. Programs Determined to be Countervailable for Which There is No Measurable Benefit
A. Social Security Reductions and Exemptions - Sgravi
1) Law 407/90

III. Programs Determined to Not be Used
A. Industrial Development Loans Under Law 64/86
B. Grant Received Pursuant to the Law 64/86
A. Industrial Development Loans Under Law 64/86
B. Industrial Development Grants Under Law 662/96
C. Corporate Income Tax ("IRPEG")
D. Remission of Taxes on Export Credit Bonds
E. Certain Social Security Reductions and Exemptions Sgravi (including Law 223/91, Article 8, Paragraph 4 and Article 25, Paragraph 9; and Law 196/97)
F. Law 236/93 Training Grants
G. Law 1329/65 Interest Contributions on Debt Consolidation Loans (Formerly Debt Consolidation Law 341/95)
BB. Interest Grants Financed by IRI Bonds
CC. Article 44 of Law 448/01
DD. Law 289/02
1) Article 62 - Investments in Disadvantaged Areas
2) Article 63 - Increase in Employment
EE. Law 662/96 - Patti Territoriali
FF. Law 662/96 - Contratto di Programma

IV. Terminated Programs
A. Social Security Reductions and Exemptions - Sgravi
1) Law 196/97

V. Previously Terminated Programs
A. Regional Tax Exemptions Under IRAP
B. VAT Reductions Under Laws 64/86 and 675/55
C. Corporate Income Tax ("IRPEG")
D. Remission of Taxes on Export Credit Insurance Under Article 33 of Law 227/77
E. Export Marketing Grants Under Law 304/90
F. Tremonti Law 383/01
G. Social Security Reductions and Exemptions - Sgravi
1) Article 44 of Law 448/01
2) Law 337/90
3) Law 863/84

Assessment Rates
Because the CVD rate for De Cecco Pastificio/De Cecco Pescara is less than 0.5 percent and, thus, de minimis, the Department will instruct CBP to assess CVDs on all shipments at 0.5 percent and, thus, de minimis. For all other companies that were not reviewed (except Barilla G. e R. F.lli S.p.A. and Gruppo Agricoltura Sana S.r.l.), which are excluded from the order, and Pasta Lensi S.r.l. (which was revoked from the order), we will instruct CBP to assess CVDs on all shipments at the net subsidy rates listed above.

Cash Deposit Instructions
The Department also intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated CVDs in the amounts shown above with the exception of De Cecco Pastificio/De Cecco Pescara. For De Cecco Pastificio/De Cecco Pescara, no cash deposits of estimated duties are required because their rate is de minimis. For all non-reviewed firms (except Barilla G. e R. F.lli S.p.A. and Gruppo Agricoltura Sana S.r.l.), which are excluded from the order, and Pasta Lensi S.r.l. which was revoked from the order), we will instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated CVDs at the most recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company. These rates shall apply to all non-reviewed companies until a review of a company assigned these rates is requested. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.


Paul Piquado,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2010–15762 Filed 6–28–10; 8:45 am]
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National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
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Marine Mammals; File No. 14535

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; receipt of application for permit amendment.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that Coleman Reichman, Ph.D., University of California at Santa Cruz, Long Marine Laboratory, 100 Shaffer Road, Santa Cruz, CA, has applied in due form for