

lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Syntech International, Inc. (n/k/a Avalon Technology Group, Inc.) because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended September 30, 1994.

The Commission is of the opinion that the public interest and the protection of investors require a suspension of trading in the securities of the above-listed companies.

Therefore, it is ordered, pursuant to section 12(k) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in the securities of the above-listed companies is suspended for the period from 9:30 a.m. e.d.t. on June 23, 2010, through 11:59 p.m. e.d.t. on July 7, 2010.

By the Commission.

Jill M. Peterson,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010-15585 Filed 6-23-10; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 500-1]

Channel America Television Network, Inc., EquiMed, Inc., Kore Holdings, Inc., Robotic Vision Systems, Inc. (n/k/a Acuity Cimatrix, Inc.), Security Investments Group, Inc., Shared Technologies Cellular, Inc., Shimoda Resources Holdings, Inc., Tri Star Holdings, Inc. (f/k/a Silver Star Foods, Inc.), and V-One Corp.; Order of Suspension of Trading

June 23, 2010.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Channel America Television Network, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended December 31, 1994.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of EquiMed, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended September 30, 1997.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Kore Holdings, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended September 30, 2005.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Robotic Vision Systems, Inc. (n/k/a Acuity

Cimatrix, Inc.) because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended June 30, 2004.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Security Investments Group, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended September 30, 1995.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Shared Technologies Cellular, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended September 30, 2001.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Shimoda Resources Holdings, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended August 31, 2003.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Tri Star Holdings, Inc. (f/k/a Silver Star Foods, Inc.) because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended December 31, 2003.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of V-One Corp. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended September 30, 2004.

The Commission is of the opinion that the public interest and the protection of investors require a suspension of trading in the securities of the above-listed companies. Therefore, it is ordered, pursuant to Section 12(k) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in the securities of the above-listed companies is suspended for the period from 9:30 a.m. EDT on June 23, 2010, through 11:59 p.m. EDT on July 7, 2010.

By the Commission.

Jill M. Peterson,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010-15586 Filed 6-23-10; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-62325; File No. SR-Phlx-2010-85]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ OMX PHLX, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to FLEX Equity Options

June 18, 2010.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)¹, and rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on June 15, 2010, NASDAQ OMX PHLX, Inc. (“Phlx” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to assess a transaction charge for members trading Flexible Exchange® Options (“FLEX Options”).³

While changes to the Exchange’s Fee Schedule pursuant to this proposal are effective upon filing, the Exchange has designated this proposal to be effective for trades settling on or after June 30, 2010.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site at <http://nasdaqtrader.com/micro.aspx?id=PHLXfilings>, at the principal office of the Exchange, at the Commission’s Public Reference Room, and on the Commission’s Web site at <http://www.sec.gov>.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ A FLEX option is a customized option that provides parties to the transaction with the ability to fix terms including the exercise style, expiration date, and certain exercise prices. See Exchange Rule 1079. FLEX Options are a trademark of the Chicago Board Options Exchange.

Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose.

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to establish a new fee for equity options transactions executed pursuant to Exchange Rule 1079 ("FLEX equity options"). The Exchange believes that the proposed fee reduction for trading FLEX equity options will encourage members to trade additional FLEX equity options contracts on the Exchange, resulting in additional order flow to the Exchange. Currently, the fees which members are assessed when trading FLEX equity options are the standard equity option fees.

Currently, members who trade FLEX equity options are assessed the standard equity options fees delineated in Section II of the Fee Schedule. The Exchange is proposing to reduce transaction fees to \$0.10 per contract side for FLEX equity options for all participants, except Customers.⁴ Specifically, the Exchange proposes to assess a \$.10 transaction charge on Professionals⁵, Specialists⁶, Registered Options Traders⁷, Streaming Quote Traders ("SQT")⁸, Remote Streaming Quote Traders ("RSQT")⁹, Broker-

⁴ At this time the Exchange is not proposing to otherwise amend its equity option fees.

⁵ Rule 1000(b)(14) provides in relevant part: "The term 'professional' means any person or entity that (i) is not a broker or dealer in securities, and (ii) places more than 390 orders in listed options per day on average during a calendar month for its own beneficial account(s).

⁶ A Specialist is an Exchange member who is registered as an options specialist pursuant to rule 1020(a).

⁷ A Registered Option Trader is defined in Exchange Rule 1014(b) as a regular member or a foreign currency options participant of the Exchange located on the trading floor who has received permission from the Exchange to trade in options for his own account. A ROT includes a SQT, a RSQT and a Non-SQT, which by definition is neither a SQT or a RSQT. See Exchange Rule 1014 (b)(i) and (ii).

⁸ An SQT is an Exchange Registered Options Trader ("ROT") who has received permission from the Exchange to generate and submit option quotations electronically through an electronic interface with AUTOM via an Exchange approved proprietary electronic quoting device in eligible options to which such SQT is assigned. See Exchange Rule 1014(b)(ii)(A).

⁹ An RSQT is an ROT that is a member or member organization with no physical trading floor presence who has received permission from the Exchange to generate and submit option quotations electronically through AUTOM in eligible options to which such RSQT has been assigned. An RSQT may only submit such quotations electronically

Dealers and Firms. Customers would continue to remain free in FLEX equity options as they currently are in equity option products.

The Exchange currently waives the Firm equity options transaction fees for members executing facilitation orders pursuant to Exchange Rule 1064 when such members are trading in their own proprietary account.¹⁰ Similar to the equity option fees, which are currently subject to the aforementioned waiver, the Exchange would continue to apply the waiver to members executing facilitation orders pursuant to Exchange Rule 1064 to FLEX equity option transactions.

While changes to the Exchange's Fee Schedule pursuant to this proposal are effective upon filing, the Exchange has designated this proposal to be effective for trades settling on or after June 30, 2010.

2. Statutory Basis.

The Exchange believes that its proposal to amend its Fee Schedule is consistent with section 6(b) of the Act¹¹ in general, and furthers the objectives of section 6(b)(4) of the Act¹² in particular, in that it is an equitable allocation of reasonable fees and other charges among Exchange members. The Exchange believes that the proposed fees for FLEX options are equitable and reasonable because all participants will equally be assessed \$.10 per contract and Customers will continue to remain free for equity options transactions executed pursuant to Exchange Rule 1079.

Additionally, the Exchange's proposal to extend the current waiver for members executing facilitation orders pursuant to Exchange Rule 1064 to FLEX equity options is reasonable and equitable because it would continue to allow members the benefit of a waiver they receive today.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

from off the floor of the Exchange. See Exchange Rule 1014(b)(ii)(B).

¹⁰ The waiver does not apply to orders where a member is acting as agent on behalf of a non-member. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 60477 (August 11, 2009), 74 FR 41777 (August 18, 2009) (SR-Phlx-2009-67).

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act¹³ and paragraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b-4¹⁴ thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-Phlx-2010-85 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Station Place, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2010-85. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

¹⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make publicly available. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2010-85 and should be submitted on or before July 16, 2010.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁵

Florence E. Harmon,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010-15360 Filed 6-24-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-62316; File No. SR-ISE-2010-15]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; International Securities Exchange, LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment Nos. 1 and 2, Related to the Price Improvement Mechanism

June 17, 2010.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on May 28, 2010, the International Securities Exchange, LLC (the "Exchange" or the "ISE") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change, as described in Items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared by the Exchange. On June 10, 2010, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change. On June 17, 2010, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change.³ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change,

as modified by Amendment Nos. 1 and 2, from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 723 to allow Crossing Transactions to be entered into the Price Improvement Mechanism ("PIM") at a price that matches the ISE BBO in certain circumstances. The text of the proposed rule change is as follows (deletions are in [brackets]; additions are in italics):

* * * * *

Rule 723. Price Improvement Mechanism for Crossing Transactions

(a) No change.

(b) Crossing Transaction Entry. A Crossing Transaction is comprised of the order the Electronic Access Member represents as agent (the "Agency Order") and a counter-side order for the full size of the Agency Order (the "Counter-Side Order"). The Counter-Side Order may represent interest for the Member's own account, or interest the Member has solicited from one or more other parties, or a combination of both.

(1) *Except as provided in Supplementary Material.08 below, [A] a Crossing Transaction must be entered only at a price that is better than the ISE best bid or offer ("ISE BBO") and equal to or better than the national best bid or offer ("NBBO").*

(2) and (3) no change.

(c) and (d) no change.

Supplementary Material to Rule 723

.01 through .07 no change.

.08 When the ISE BBO is equal to the NBBO, a Crossing Transaction may be entered where the price of the Crossing Transaction is equal to the ISE BBO if the Agency Order is on the opposite side of the market from the ISE BBO. In this case, the Agency Order will be automatically executed against the ISE BBO. If the Agency Order is not fully executed after the ISE BBO is fully exhausted and is no longer at a price equal to the Crossing Transaction, the PIM will be initiated for the balance of the order as provided in Rule 723. With respect to any portion of an Agency Order that is automatically executed against the ISE BBO pursuant to this paragraph .08, the exposure requirements contained in Rule 717(d) and (e) will not be satisfied for the fact that the member utilized the Price Improvement Mechanism.

* * * * *

Rule 811. Directed Orders

(a) through (d) no change.

(e) Except as provided in this paragraph (e), when a Directed Order is released, the System processes the order in the same manner as any other order received by the Exchange. Directed Orders will not be automatically executed at a price that is inferior to the NBBO and, except as provided in subparagraph (e)(3), will be handled pursuant to Rule 803(c)(2) when the ISE best bid or offer is inferior to the NBBO.

(1) A marketable Directed Order *that is released, or entered into the PIM pursuant to Supplementary Material .08 to Rule 723*, will be matched against orders and quotes according to Rule 713 except that, at any given price level, the Directed Market Maker will be last in priority.

* * * * *

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

PIM is a process by which a member can provide price improvement opportunities for a transaction wherein the member seeks to execute an agency order as principal or execute an agency order against a solicited order (a Crossing Transaction).⁴ Currently under Rule 723, a Crossing Transaction may only be entered at a price that is better than the ISE best bid or offer ("ISE BBO") and equal to or better than the national best bid or offer ("NBBO").

The Exchange proposes to modify PIM so that members may enter transactions at a price that matches the ISE BBO and the NBBO if the agency order is on the opposite side of the market from the ISE BBO. In this case, the agency order will be automatically executed against the ISE BBO in the same manner as marketable orders entered directly. If the agency order is not fully executed after the ISE BBO is

⁴ ISE Rule 723(a).

¹⁵ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ Amendment No. 2 replaces and supersedes the original filing and Amendment No. 1 thereto in their entirety.