impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The proposed rule change also is designed to support the principles of Section 11(a)(1) 7 of the Act in that it seeks to assure fair competition among brokers and dealers and among exchange markets. The Exchange believes that the proposed amendments provide the Exchange with the necessary tools to ensure a fair and orderly reopening of a security following a market-wide Trading Pause.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The Exchange has filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act 8 and Rule 19b–4(f)(6) thereunder. 9 Because the proposed rule change does not have: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative prior to 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest, the proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii) thereunder. A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b–4(f)(6) 10 normally does not become operative prior to 30 days after the date of the filing. However, pursuant to Rule 19b4(f)(6)(iii), 11 the Commission may designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange has asked the Commission to waive the 30-day operative delay so that the proposal may become operative immediately upon filing. The Commission notes that the proposed rule change is clarifying how the Exchange handles Trading Pauses in the case of an early scheduled closing of the Exchange, and how indications will be published during all Trading Pauses. The proposed rule change does not raise any new substantive issues. For these reasons, the Commission believes that the waiver of the 30-day operative date is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. 12 At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
• Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–NYSE–2010–45 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSE–2010–45 on the subject line. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSE–2010–45 on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and copying in the Commission’s Public Reference Section, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090. Copies of the filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the NYSE’s principal office and on its Internet Web site at http://www.nyse.com. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSE–2010–45 and should be submitted on or before July 8, 2010.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 13
Florence E. Harmon,
Deputy Secretary.

1 For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay of this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule’s impact on efficiency, competition and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).
I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The ISE is proposing to amend the qualification standards for market makers to receive a rebate under the Exchange’s maker/taker pricing program. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site (http://www.ise.com), at the principal office of the Exchange, at the Commission’s Public Reference Room, and on the Commission’s Web site at http://www.sec.gov.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of this proposed rule change is to amend the qualification standards for market makers to receive a rebate under the Exchange’s maker/taker pricing program. The Exchange recently adopted transaction fees and rebates for adding and removing liquidity (“maker/taker fees”). The maker/taker fees currently apply to trading in a select number of options classes to the following categories of market participants: (i) Market Maker; (ii) Market Maker Plus; (iii) Non-ISE Market Maker; (iv) Firm Proprietary; (v) Customer (Professional); (vi) Priority Customer, 100 or more contracts; and (vii) Priority Customer, less than 100 contracts.

In order to promote and encourage liquidity in options classes that are subject to maker/taker fees, the Exchange currently offers a $0.10 per contract rebate for Market Maker Plus orders sent to the Exchange. A Market Maker Plus is currently defined by the Exchange as a market maker who is on the National Best Bid or National Best Offer 80% of the time for all series trading between $0.03 and $5.00 in premium.

The Exchange now proposes to amend the qualification standards in order for a maker to qualify for the $0.10 per contract rebate. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to define a Market Maker Plus as a market maker who is on the National Best Bid or National Best Offer 80% of the time for series trading between $0.03 and $5.00 in premium in each of the front two expiration months and 80% of the time for all series trading between $0.03 and $5.00 in premium for all expiration months for that symbol during the current trading month.

The Exchange currently determines whether a market maker qualifies as a Market Maker Plus at the end of each month by looking back at each market maker’s quoting statistics during that month. If at the end of the month, a market maker meets the current 80% criteria, the Exchange rebates $0.10 per contract for transactions executed by that market maker during that month. The Exchange will continue to monitor each market maker’s quoting statistics to determine whether a market maker qualifies for a rebate under the standards proposed herein.

The Exchange also currently provides market makers a report on a daily basis with quoting statistics so that market makers can determine whether or not they are meeting the 80% criteria. Again, the Exchange will continue to provide market makers a daily report so that market makers can determine whether or not they are meeting the Exchange’s new quoting requirement to qualify for a rebate.

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change will encourage market makers to post tighter markets in the options classes that are subject to maker/taker fees and thereby increase liquidity and attract order flow to the Exchange.

The Exchange has designated this proposal to be operative on June 1, 2010.

2. Statutory Basis

The basis under the Exchange Act for this proposed rule change is the requirement under Section 6(b)(4) that an exchange have an equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among its members and other persons using its facilities. The impact of the proposal upon the net fees paid by a particular market participant will depend on a number of variables, most important of which will be its propensity to add or remove liquidity in the options classes that are subject to the Exchange’s maker/taker fees. The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to another exchange if they deem fee levels at a particular exchange to be excessive. The Exchange believes that the fees it charges for options classes that are subject to the Exchange’s maker/taker fees remain competitive with fees charged by other exchanges and therefore continue to be reasonable and equitably allocated to those members that opt to direct orders to the Exchange rather than to a competing exchange. The Exchange further believes that amending the qualification standards for market makers to qualify for a rebate will encourage these market participants to post tighter markets in the options classes that are subject to the Exchange’s maker/taker fees and thereby increase liquidity and attract order flow to the Exchange.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The proposed rule change does not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

The Exchange has not solicited, and does not intend to solicit, comments on this proposed rule change. The Exchange has not received any
unsolicited written comments from members or other interested parties.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) of the Act9 and Rule 19b-4(f)(2)10 thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–ISE–2010–54 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Station Place, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–ISE–2010–54. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml).Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange.

All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make publicly available. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–ISE–2010–54 and should be submitted on or before July 8, 2010.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.11

Florence E. Harmon,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–14676 Filed 6–16–10; 8:45 am]
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Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by NYSE Amex LLC Amending NYSE Amex Equities Rule 80C To Clarify Reopening Procedures

June 11, 2010.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)1 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”)2 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,3 notice is hereby given that on June 10, 2010, NYSE Amex LLC (the “Exchange” or “NYSE Amex”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend NYSE Amex Equities Rule 80C to clarify reopening procedures. The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange, the Commission’s Public Reference Room, and http://www.nyse.com.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend NYSE Amex Equities Rule 80C to clarify the procedures applicable during a Trading Pause for the reopening of a security on the Exchange following the invocation of a Trading Pause.4 Rule 80C was approved by the Commission on June 10, 2010.5 Currently, Rule 80C states that indications “shall” be published as close to the beginning of the Trading Pause as possible and should be updated. While the clause “as possible” is intended to provide for those circumstances where it is not feasible to publish an indication prior to a reopening, to avoid confusion, the Exchange believes that section (b)(i) of the Rule should be clarified to state instead that indications may be published to the Consolidated Tape during a Trading Pause. The rule would be further amended to clarify that Floor Official approval is not required before publishing an indication, an indication does not need to be updated before reopening the security, and the security may reopen outside any prior indication. The Exchange also proposes to add a subsection to Rule 80C(b) to clarify that Floor Official approval under Rule 79A.20 is not required when reopening a security following a Trading Pause.

The Exchange believes that these clarifications are necessary to avoid inconsistent regulatory obligations. Similar in concept to Rule 48, which suspends the requirements for published indications or Floor Official approval during a market-wide volatility