submissions from Titan Tire Corporation, the petitioner in this proceeding, as well as Bridgestone Americas Tire Operations, LLC and Bridgestone Americas, Inc., a domestic interested party, expressing concerns about the bankruptcy proceeding of GPX International Tire Corp., an importer of respondent’s products and a related party during the period of review. According to the petitioner and the domestic interested party, the automatic stay provisions of the U.S. bankruptcy code precluded them from participating in this administrative review pending notice from the federal courts. Out of consideration for these parties’ concerns, the Department issued extensions of regulatory deadlines until after the concerns of petitioner and the domestic interested party had been resolved. See Memorandum to the File from Andrew Huston, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, “Due Date for Domestic Parties’ Submissions,” dated April 30, 2010, stating the Department’s conclusion that the concerns of the petitioner and the domestic party had been resolved and making a final extension of the regulatory deadlines to May 10, 2010.

As the sum of these extensions was more than three months, the Department requires additional time to conduct a thorough analysis of all information on the record, including information submitted by the petitioner and the domestic party. Therefore, the Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time limit and is extending the deadline for completion of the preliminary results of this administrative review by 120 days.

Additionally, as explained in the memorandum from the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll deadlines for the duration of the closure of the Federal Government due to snowstorms in February. See Memorandum to the Record from Ronald Lorentzen, DAS for Import Administration, regarding “Tolling of Administrative Deadlines As a Result of the Government Closure During the Recent Snowstorm,” dated February 12, 2010. Therefore, the total extension of the deadline for the preliminary results of this administrative review is 127 days, and the revised extended due date for the preliminary results is October 7, 2010.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(h)(1) of the Act.


John M. Andersen,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[C–351–829]
Certain Hot–Rolled Flat–Rolled Carbon–Quality Steel Products from Brazil: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jacqueline Arrowsmith or Myrna Lobo, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5255 and (202) 482–2371, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Background

On September 17, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the countervailing duty order on certain hot–rolled flat–rolled carbon–quality steel products from Brazil. See Agreement Suspending the Countervailing Duty Investigation on Hot–Rolled Flat–Rolled Carbon–Quality Steel From Brazil: Termination of Suspension Agreement and Notice of Countervailing Duty Order. (69 FR 56040, September 17, 2004). On October 26, 2009, in response to a timely request from Usinas Siderurgicas de Minas Gerais (Usiminas), and its subsidiary, Companhia Siderurgica Paulista (Cosipa), the Department initiated an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain hot–rolled flat–rolled carbon–quality steel products from Brazil. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 74 FR 54956 (October 26, 2009). This administrative review covers the period January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1), the Department shall issue preliminary results in an administrative review of a countervailing duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the order for which the administrative review was requested. However, if the Department determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within the aforementioned specified time limits, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2) allow the Department to extend the 245-day period to 365 days.

The preliminary results of this administrative review were originally due on June 2, 2010. On February 12, 2010, the Department exercised its discretion to toll deadlines because of the closure of the Federal Government due to snowstorms. Thus, all deadlines in this segment of the proceeding were extended by seven days. See Memorandum to the Record from Ronald Lorentzen, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, regarding “Tolling of Administrative Deadlines As a Result of the Government Closure During the Recent Snowstorm,” dated February 12, 2010. As a result, the deadline for the preliminary results was tolled to June 9, 2010.

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), we determine that it is not practicable to complete the results of this review within the original time limit. This is the first administrative review of this countervailing duty order, which was issued in 2004. The order was issued five years after the completion of the countervailing duty investigation, and after the termination of the agreement that suspended the investigation. See Suspension of Countervailing Duty Investigation: Certain Hot–Rolled Flat–Rolled Carbon–Quality Steel Products from Brazil, 64 FR 38797 (July 19, 1999); see also Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination: Certain Hot–Rolled Flat–Rolled Carbon–Quality Steel Products from Brazil, 64 FR 38742 (July 19, 1999). Because this administrative review is the first opportunity in more than ten years for the Department to examine assistance provided by the Government of Brazil to producers of certain hot–rolled flat–rolled carbon–quality steel products, the Department needs additional time to analyze the questionnaire responses and issue supplemental questionnaires. In accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department has decided to extend the time limit for the preliminary results from 245 days to 365 days; the preliminary results will now be due no later than October 7, 2010. Unless extended, the final results continue to
be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1).

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 1, 2010.

John M. Andersen.
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2010–13584 Filed 6–4–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CPSC–2010–0046]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Consumer Focus Groups

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Consumer Product Safety Commission (“CPSC” or “Commission”) is announcing an opportunity for public comment on the proposed collection of certain information by the agency. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (“the PRA”), Federal agencies are required to publish notice in the Federal Register concerning each proposed collection of information, including each proposed extension of an existing collection of information, and to allow 60 days for public comment in response to the notice. This notice solicits comments on a proposed collection of information from persons who may participate in Consumer Focus Groups.

DATES: Submit written or electronic comments on the collection of information by August 6, 2010.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. CPSC–2010–0046 by any of the following methods:

Electronic Submissions
Submit electronic comments in the following way:
Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. To ensure timely processing of comments, the Commission is no longer accepting comments submitted by electronic mail (e-mail) except through http://www.regulations.gov.

Written Submissions
Submit written submissions in the following way:
Mail/Hand delivery/Courier (for paper, disk, or CD-ROM submissions), preferably in five copies, to: Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 502, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone (301) 504–7923.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this notice. All comments received may be posted without change, including any personal identifiers, contact information, or other personal information provided, to http://www.regulations.gov. Do not submit confidential business information, trade secret information, or other sensitive or protected information electronically. Such information should be submitted in writing.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to http://www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Linda Glatz, Division of Policy and Planning, Office of Information Technology, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814, 301–504–7671, lglatz@cpsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520), Federal agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) for each collection of information they conduct or sponsor. “Collection of information” is defined in 44 U.S.C. 3502(3) and 5 CFR 1320.3(c) and includes agency requests or requirements that members of the public submit reports, keep records, or provide information to a third party. Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)) requires Federal agencies to provide a 60-day notice in the Federal Register concerning each proposed collection of information, including each proposed extension/reinstatement of an existing collection of information, before submitting the collection to OMB for approval. To comply with this requirement, the CPSC is publishing notice of the proposed collection of information set forth in this document.

With respect to the following collection of information, the CPSC invites comments on these topics: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of CPSC’s functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of CPSC’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques, when appropriate, and other forms of information technology.

Title: Consumer Focus Groups (OMB Control Number 3041–0136—Extension). Description: The Commission is authorized, under section 5(a) of the Consumer Product Safety Act (“CPSA”), 15 U.S.C. 2054(a), to collect information, conduct research, perform studies and investigations relating to the causes and prevention of deaths, accidents, injuries, illnesses, other health impairments, and economic losses associated with consumer products. Section 5(b) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2054(b), further provides that the Commission may conduct research, studies and investigations on the safety of consumer products or test consumer products and develop product safety test methods and testing devices.

To better identify and evaluate the risks of product-related incidents, the Commission staff invites and obtains direct feedback from consumers on issues related to product safety such as recall effectiveness, product use, and perceptions regarding safety issues. Through participation in certain focus groups, consumers answer questions and provide information regarding their actual experiences, opinions and/or perceptions on the use or pattern of use of a specific product or type of product, including recalled products. The information collected from the Consumer Focus Groups will help inform the Commission’s evaluation of consumer products and product use by providing insight and information into consumer perceptions and usage patterns. Such information also may assist the Commission’s efforts to support voluntary standards activities and help identify areas regarding consumer safety issues that need additional research. In addition, the information will assist with forming new ways of providing user friendly data to consumers through CPSC’s Web site and information and education campaigns.

If this information is not collected, the Commission may not have available certain useful information regarding consumer experiences, opinions, and perceptions related to product use in its ongoing efforts to improve the safety of consumer products and safety.