dehydration, plastic embedding and thin-sectioning of biological materials. **Justification for Duty-Free Entry:** No instruments of same general category are manufactured in the United States. **Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:** April 30, 2010.  
**Docket Number:** 10–012. **Applicant:** California Institute of Technology, 1200 E. California Blvd., M/C 127–72, Pasadena, CA 91125. **Instrument:** Electron Microscope. **Manufacturer:** FEI Company, Czech Republic. **Intended Use:** The instrument will be used to improve research and understanding of the structural dynamics of materials like graphite, as well as ultrafast structural changes over time in microscopy. Techniques used with the instrument include imaging, both in real space and using diffraction. Imaging is done using light as opposed to thermal heating or using diffraction. Imaging is done using a magnification beyond that required to observe and analyses of samples at both room and liquid-nitrogen temperature. **Justification for Duty-Free Entry:** There are no domestic manufacturers of this type of electron microscope. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: May 4, 2010.  
**Docket Number:** 10–013. **Applicant:** Howard Hughes Medical Institute, 4000 Jones Bridge Road, Chevy Chase, MD 20815. **Instrument:** Electron Microscope. **Manufacturer:** FEI Company, Czech Republic. **Intended Use:** The instrument will be used to examine portions of vertebrate and invertebrate organisms embedded in plastic resins and cut into thin sections mounted on support grids for examination. The objective is to examine, at high resolution, the ultrastructural organization of complex biological structures to help elucidate function. The instrument can be used for 2D and 3D imaging of stained or even unstained, low-contrast samples. The instrument also allows for observation and analyses of samples at both room and liquid-nitrogen temperature. **Justification for Duty-Free Entry:** There are no domestic manufacturers of this type of electron microscope. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: May 12, 2010.  
**Docket Number:** 10–014. **Applicant:** Howard Hughes Medical Institute, 4000 Jones Bridge Road, Chevy Chase, MD 20815. **Instrument:** Electron Microscope. **Manufacturer:** FEI Company, Czech Republic. **Intended Use:** The instrument will be used to examine portions of vertebrate and invertebrate organisms embedded in plastic resins and cut into thin sections mounted on support grids for examination. The objective is to examine, at high resolution, the ultrastructural organization of complex biological structures to help elucidate function. The instrument can be used for 2D and 3D imaging of stained or even unstained, low-contrast samples. The instrument also allows for observation and analyses of samples at both room and liquid-nitrogen temperature. **Justification for Duty-Free Entry:** There are no domestic manufacturers of this type of electron microscope. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: May 12, 2010.  
**Docket Number:** 10–015. **Applicant:** Texas Tech University, Department of Mechanical Engineering, 7th Street and Boston Ave., Lubbock, TX 79409–1021. **Instrument:** Electron Microscope. **Manufacturer:** Japanese Electron-Optics, Limited (JEOL), Japan. **Intended Use:** The instrument will be used to probe the crystalline structure of materials at a magnification beyond that required to image dislocation behavior of fully crystalline nanostructured metals. The instrument will provide detailed surface structures and faceting information. **Justification for Duty-Free Entry:** No instruments of same general category are manufactured in the United States. **Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:** May 17, 2010.  
**Docket Number:** 10–016. **Applicant:** Howard Hughes Medical Institute, 4000 Jones Bridge Road, Chevy Chase, MD 20815. **Instrument:** Electron Microscope. **Manufacturer:** FEI Company, Czech Republic. **Intended Use:** The instrument will be used to examine portions of vertebrate and invertebrate organisms embedded in plastic resins and cut into thin sections mounted on support grids for examination. The objective is to examine, at high resolution, the ultrastructural organization of complex biological structures to help elucidate function. The instrument can be used for 2D and 3D imaging of stained or even unstained, low-contrast samples. The instrument also allows for observation and analyses of samples at both room and liquid-nitrogen temperature. **Justification for Duty-Free Entry:** There are no domestic manufacturers of this type of electron microscope. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: May 12, 2010.  
**Dated:** May 24, 2010.  
**Christopher Cassel,**  
Director, IA Subsidies Enforcement Office.  
[FR Doc. 2010–12962 Filed 5–27–10; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**Foreign-Trade Zones Board**

**[Order No. 1679]**

**Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 272; Lehigh Valley, Pennsylvania**

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81t), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:  
**Whereas,** the Lehigh Valley Economic Development Corporation, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 272, submitted an application to the Board for authority to expand FTZ 272 to include a site in Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, adjacent to the Philadelphia Customs and Border Protection port of entry (FTZ Docket 37–2010, filed 9/9/2009);  
**Whereas,** notice inviting public comments has been given in the Federal Register (74 FR 47920–47921, September 18, 2009) and the application...
has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board’s regulations; and, Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner’s report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board’s regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest; Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders: The application to expand FTZ 272 is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board’s regulations, including Section 400.28, and to the Board’s standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project, and further subject to a sunset provision that would terminate authority on May 31, 2017 for Site 9 if no activity has occurred under FTZ procedures before that date. Signed at Washington, DC, this 13th day of May 2010.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Attest:
Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

A[–475–826]

Certain Cut–to–Length Carbon–Quality Steel Plate Products From Italy: Extension of the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration. International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 29, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cut–to-length carbon–quality steel plate products from Italy. See Certain Cut–to–Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate Products From Italy: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 75 FR 4779 (January 29, 2010). The review covers the period February 1, 2008, through January 31, 2009. The final results of this administrative review were originally due no later than May 29, 2010. As explained in the memorandum from the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll deadlines for the duration of the closure of the Federal Government from February 5 through February 12, 2010. Thus, the deadline for the final results of this administrative review has been extended by seven days, until June 5, 2010. See Memorandum to the Record from Ronald Lorentzen, DAS for Import Administration, regarding “Tolling of Administrative Deadlines As a Result of the Government Closure During the Recent Snowstorm,” dated February 12, 2010.

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue the final results of an administrative review within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the final results up to 180 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published.

The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete this review by June 5, 2010, because the Department requires additional time to consider the extensive comments submitted by the interested parties in relation to the preliminary results of this review. Consequently, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the final results of this administrative review by 60 days to August 4, 2010.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).


John M. Andersen,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration. International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (“the Department”) has received requests to conduct administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with April anniversary dates. In accordance with the Department’s regulations, we are initiating those administrative reviews.

DATES: Effective Date: May 28, 2010.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department has received timely requests, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), for administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with April anniversary dates.

Change to the Deadlines for No-Shipment Letters and Separate Rate Certifications

Effective with this Federal Register notice, the Department is changing the deadline for submission of No-Shipment Letters and Separate Rate Certifications from 30-days after initiation to 60-days after initiation, as indicated in the relevant sections of this Federal Register notice. The Department requires that a company under review, which currently has a separate rate, submit either a No-Shipment Letter or a Separate Rate Certification, as relevant to the company’s situation, as described in the relevant sections of this Federal Register notice, below. If a company under review that currently has a separate rate fails to submit either a No-Shipment Letter, a Separate Rate Certification, or a Separate Rate Application (as appropriate) for this POR, the company will not have demonstrated its eligibility to retain its separate rate status and will be considered to be part of the China-wide entity for purposes of this administrative review. The Department’s practice remains unchanged for companies that do not