Capacity Building grants and all information needed to apply for this funding opportunity are available at: http://www.grants.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Any questions regarding this solicitation for grant applications should be directed to Cynthia Bencheck, Program Analyst, e-mail address: benchec.cindy@ dol.gov, tel: 847–759–7700 (note this is not a toll-free number), or Jim Barnes, Director, Office of Training and Educational Programs, e-mail address: barnes.jim@dol.gov, tel: 847–759–7700 (note this is not a toll-free number.)

To obtain further information on the Susan Harwood Training Program Grant of the U.S. Department of Labor, visit the OSHA Web site at: https://www.osha.gov, select “Training” under the “Top Links” section, and then select “Susan Harwood Training Grant Program”.


Signed at Washington, DC, this 21st day of May 2010.

David Michaels,
Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 2010–12692 Filed 5–26–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–26–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Mine Safety and Health Administration

Petitions for Modification

AGENCY: Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice of petitions for modification of existing mandatory safety standards.

SUMMARY: Section 101(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 (Mine Act) allows the mine operator or representative of miners to file a petition to modify the application of any mandatory safety standard to a coal or other mine if the Secretary determines that: (1) An alternative method of achieving the result of such standard exists which will at all times guarantee no less than the same measure of protection afforded the miners of such mine by such standard; or (2) that the application of such standard to such mine will result in a diminution of safety to the miners in such mine. In addition, the regulations at 30 CFR 44.10 and 44.11 establish the requirements and procedures for filing petitions for modification.

DATES: All comments on the petitions must be received by the Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances on or before June 28, 2010.

ADDRESSES: You may submit your comments, identified by “docket number” on the subject line, by any of the following methods:
1. Electronic Mail: Standards-Petitions@dol.gov.

MSHA will consider only comments postmarked by the U.S. Postal Service or proof of delivery from another delivery service such as UPS or Federal Express on or before the deadline for comments. Individuals who submit comments by hand-delivery are required to check in at the receptionist desk on the 21st floor.

Individuals may inspect copies of the petitions and comments during normal business hours at the address listed above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Barbara Barron, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances at 202–693–9447 (Voice), barron.barbara@ dol.gov (E-mail), or 202–693–9441 (Telefax). [These are not toll-free numbers.]

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Section 101(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 (Mine Act) allows the mine operator or representative of miners to file a petition to modify the application of any mandatory safety standard to a coal or other mine if the Secretary determines that: (1) An alternative method of achieving the result of such standard exists which will at all times guarantee no less than the same measure of protection afforded the miners of such mine by such standard; or (2) that the application of such standard to such mine will result in a diminution of safety to the miners in such mine. In addition, the regulations at 30 CFR 44.10 and 44.11 establish the requirements and procedures for filing petitions for modification.

II. Petitions for Modification


Petitioner: Rosebud Mining Company, 301 Market Street, Kittanning, Pennsylvania 16201.


Regulation Affected: 30 CFR 75.1909(b)(6) (Non-permissible diesel-powered equipment: design and performance requirements).

Modification Request: The petitioner requests a modification of the existing standard to permit the Getman Roadbuilder, Model RDG–1504S, with six wheels; (2) the machine has dual brake systems on the four rear wheels, and is designed to prevent loss of braking due to a single component failure; (3) seventy-four percent (74%) of the machines total weight is over the four (4) rear wheels; and (4) with the weight distribution, brakes on the rear of the machine are sufficient to safely stop the machine. The petitioner further states that: (1) Training will be provided to the grader operators to lower the moldboard to provide additional stopping capability in emergency situations and recognize the appropriate speeds to use on different roadway conditions; and (2) limit the maximum speed to 10 miles per hour. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method will provide no less than the same measure of protection at the Sentinel Mine than would be provided with compliance with the existing standard.


Petitioner: Rosebud Mining Company, 301 Market Street, Kittanning, Pennsylvania 16201.


Regulation Affected: 30 CFR 75.503 (Permissible electric face equipment; maintenance) and 30 CFR 18.35 (Portable trailing cables and cords).

Modification Request: The petitioner requests a modification of the existing standard to permit the maximum length of the 480-volt trailing cables for supplying power to the Fletcher Roof Ranger II Twin Boom Roof Bolters to be 950 feet. The petitioner states that: (1) The trailing cables for the 480-volt Fletcher Roof Ranger II Roof Bolter will
not be smaller than No. 2 American Wire Gauge (AWG) cable; (2) all circuit breakers used to protect the No. 2 AWG trailing cables exceeding 700 feet in length will have instantaneous trip units calibrated to trip at 500 amperes. The trip setting of these circuit breakers will be sealed to ensure that the settings on the breakers cannot be changed, and will have permanent, legible labels. Each label will identify the circuit breaker as being suitable for protecting the No. 2 AWG cables; (3) replacement circuit breakers and/or instantaneous trip units, used to protect the No. 2 AWG trailing cables will be calibrated to trip at 500 amperes, and the setting to be sealed; (4) all components that provide short-circuit protection will have a sufficient interruption rating in accordance with the maximum calculated fault current available; (5) the No. 2 AWG cables and the circuit breakers will be examined in accordance with all 30 CFR provisions during each production day; (6) permanent warning labels will be installed and maintained on the load center identifying the location of each short-circuit protective device. These labels will warn miners not to change or alter the settings of these devices; (7) the affected trailing cables will be de-energized and repaired if the cables are damaged in any way during the shift; (8) the alternative method will not be implemented until after all miners who have been designated to operate the Roof Ranger II, or any other person designated to examine the trailing cables or trip settings on the circuit breakers have received proper training as to the performance of their duties; and (9) within sixty (60) days after the Proposed Decision and Order becomes final, proposed revisions for its approved 30 CFR part 48 training plan will be submitted to the District Manager. The proposed revisions will specify task training for miners designated to examine the trailing cables for safe operating conditions and verify that the short-circuit settings of the circuit interrupting device(s) that protect the affected trailing cables do not exceed the specified setting(s) in Item No. 3. Training will include the following elements: (a) The hazards of setting the short-circuit interrupting device(s) too high to adequately protect the trailing cables; (b) how to verify that the interrupting device(s) protecting the trailing cable(s) are properly set and maintained; (c) mining methods and operating procedures that will protect the trailing cables against damage; and (d) the proper procedure for examining the trailing cable to insure that the cable(s) are in safe operating condition by a visual inspection of the entire cable, observing the insulation, the integrity of the splices, nicks and abrasions. The petitioner states that the procedures as specified in 30 CFR 48.3 for approval of proposed revisions to approved training plans will apply. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method will at all times guarantee no less than the same measure of protection afforded the miners by such standard with no diminution of safety to miners.

Dated: May 21, 2010.

Patricia W. Silvey, Director, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert G. Carpenter, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, telephone: (301) 251–7483 or e-mail Robert.Carpenter@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing for public comment a draft guide in the agency’s “Regulatory Guide” series. This series was developed to describe and make available to the public such information as methods that are acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the NRC’s regulations, techniques that the staff uses in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and data that the staff needs in its review of applications for permits and licenses. The draft regulatory guide (DG), entitled, “Nuclear Power Plant Simulation Facilities for Use in Operator Training, License Examinations, and Applicant Experience Requirements,” is temporarily identified by its task number, DG–1248, which should be mentioned in all related correspondence. DG–1248 is proposed Revision 4 of Regulatory Guide 1.149, dated October 2001. This guide describes methods acceptable to the staff of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) for complying with those portions of the Commission’s regulations associated with approval or acceptance of a nuclear power plant simulation facility for use in operator and senior operator training, license examination operating tests, and meeting applicant experience requirements.

II. Further Information

The NRC staff is soliciting comments on DG–1248. Comments may be accompanied by relevant information or supporting data and should mention DG–1248 in the subject line. Comments submitted in writing or in electronic form will be made available to the public in their entirety through the NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS).

Because your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly disclosed.

The NRC requests that any party soliciting or aggregating comments received from other persons for submission to the NRC inform those persons that the NRC will not edit their comments to remove any identifying or contact information, and therefore, they should not include any information in their comments that they do not want publicly disclosed. You may submit comments by any of the following methods:


3. Fax comments to: Rules, Announcements, and Directives Branch, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission at (301) 492–3446.

Comments would be most helpful if received by August 27, 2010. Comments received after that date will be...