

## Public Involvement

Public involvement and comments have been requested, considered, and incorporated throughout the EIS process. The Notice of Intent to prepare an EIS for a proposed land exchange in the Refuge was published in the **Federal Register** on October 19, 2005 (70 FR 60845). The notice of public scoping meetings was published in the **Federal Register** on March 3, 2006 (71 FR 10988). Public scoping meetings were held in local communities within the Refuge and surrounding areas. The Service distributed newsletters with project updates discussing opportunities for public involvement and results of public input. Comments and concerns received during this time were used to identify issues and draft alternatives for evaluation in the Draft EIS.

The Notice of Availability for the Draft EIS was published in the **Federal Register** on January 25, 2008 (73 FR 4617). Public hearings were held in each local community affiliated with the Refuge, plus Fairbanks and Anchorage. From May to July 2008, government-to-government consultations were also held with Tribal Councils who requested them. In response to numerous requests for additional time to review and comment, the comment period was reopened and extended via a **Federal Register** notice published April 18, 2008 (73 FR 20931). We received more than 100,000 comments during the full comment period. The vast majority of comments, including those from several area tribal governments, opposed the proposed exchange. The Responses to Comments are contained in Volume 2 of the Final EIS.

The Notice of Availability for the Final EIS was published in the **Federal Register** on March 12, 2010 (75 FR 11905). Comments from tribal governments, Alaska Native and conservation organizations, and individuals expressed support for the Service's designation of the No Action Alternative as the preferred alternative.

## Findings and Basis for Decision

In making its decision, the Service reviewed and carefully considered the impacts identified in the draft and final *Environmental Impact Statement*; relevant issues and concerns; public input received throughout the EIS process, including comments on the draft and final *Environmental Impact Statement*; and other factors including refuge purposes and relevant laws, regulations, and policies. For the following reasons, the Service selected the No Land Exchange Alternative.

First, the Service has a limited understanding of the effects that oil and gas development would have on the hydrology of lands exchanged to Doyon and lands that would be retained by the Service. Second, the exchange would create a private lands corridor that would almost split the Refuge into two parcels, resulting in habitat fragmentation, and that could degrade the biological integrity, diversity, and environmental health of the Refuge. Third, the Service is concerned that the proposed land exchange could magnify projected changes to Refuge resources from climate change. Fourth, infrastructure associated with access corridors from the proposed exchange would increase human use of the Refuge. Fifth, there is concern that the lands proposed for acquisition by the Service are more likely to be adjacent to prospective areas of development (based on revised U.S. Geological Survey oil and gas data). Impacts from adjacent development would make those lands less desirable to the Service. This has cast doubts on the benefits of the exchange to all involved. The adoption of the No Land Exchange Alternative is effective immediately.

Dated: May 19, 2010.

**Geoffrey L. Haskett,**

*Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Anchorage, Alaska.*

[FR Doc. 2010-12629 Filed 5-25-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### Special Resource Study and Environmental Impact Statement, Coltsville, Hartford, CT

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Termination of the Environmental Impact Statement for the Coltsville Special Resource Study in Hartford, Connecticut.

**SUMMARY:** As directed by the US Congress in Public Law 108-94, the National Park Service (NPS) undertook a special resource study (SRS) of the Coltsville Historic District in Hartford, Connecticut. In accordance with NPS policy, the Coltsville SRS was initially undertaken as an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) process in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA). A Notice of Intent to Prepare an EIS was published in the **Federal Register** on September 4, 2004. The purpose of an SRS is to determine the degree and kind

of federal actions that may be desirable for the management and protection of an area considered to have potential for addition to the national park system. The EIS assesses the impacts of the management alternatives examined in the SRS.

The SRS examines a site in terms of:

- National significance of the resources;
- Determination of suitability of the site for inclusion within the national park system in comparison to other protected sites with similar resources or themes;
- Determination of feasibility for the NPS to own, manage or participate in conservation and interpretation in the study area;
- Need for NPS management measured against other alternatives.

This SRS examined the resources in the existing Coltsville Historic District, which preserves the history of precision manufacturing that developed at the Colt Fire Arms Company. All of the elements of the site are located within the City of Hartford, Connecticut. The study team concluded that the Coltsville Historic District NHL meets the criteria for national significance and suitability; however, the study concluded that the site does not meet the feasibility criterion for potential designation as a unit of the national park system. As a result, there is no need for NPS management and, therefore, no federal actions subject to the requirements of NEPA. Thus, the NEPA process has been terminated.

The Coltsville Special Resource Study is available for public review at:

<http://parkplanning.nps.gov/nero>.

Public comments were received between November 13 and December 18, 2009. A summary of the public comments is also available at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/nero>.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James O'Connell, Project Manager, National Park Service, Northeast Region, 15 State Street, Boston, MA 02109.

**Michael T. Reynolds,**

*Acting Regional Director, Northeast Region, National Park Service.*

[FR Doc. 2010-12604 Filed 5-25-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

Under 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on May 17, 2010, a proposed

Consent Decree in *United States v. Schurkman, et al.*, Civil Action No. 07–915 (KMK) (LMS), was lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

The proposed Consent Decree resolves claims of the United States, on behalf of the Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”), under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (“CERCLA”), 42 U.S.C. 9601 *et seq.*, and the Federal Debt Collections Procedures Act (“FDCPA”), 28 U.S.C. 3304 and 3306, in connection with the Shenandoah Road Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site (the “Site”), against Steven A. Schurkman, Esq., in his capacity as Trustee of the Jacob Manne Irrevocable Trust (“Schurkman”), and Joseph S. Manne, in his capacity as the representative of the Estate of Jacob Manne. The complaint filed in this action sought reimbursement of response costs incurred or to be incurred for response actions taken or to be taken at or in connection with the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Site, a declaration that the Estate of Jacob Manne is liable for any future response costs incurred by the United States at the Site, and, pursuant to Sections 3304 and 3306 of the FDCPA, an order voiding a transfer of cash and real property from Jacob Manne to the Jacob Manne Irrevocable Trust (the “Trust”).

The Consent Decree requires payment to the United States of the appraised value of five parcels of real property in East Fishkill (unrelated to the Site property) (the “Land”) that had been in the Estate of Jacob Manne and transferred to the Trust. Specifically, Schurkman will convey the Land held by the Trust to a new corporation, ND–4, LLC. Settling Defendants Dr. Joseph S. Manne (Jacob Manne’s son), personally, and as the representative of the Estate of Jacob Manne, and ND–4, LLC, will pay the United States the appraised value of the Land within three years of entry of the Consent Decree, whether the properties are sold within that time frame or not. There are minimal assets in the Estate of Jacob Manne other than the Land.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of 30 days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and either e-mailed to [pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov](mailto:pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov) or mailed to P.O.

Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to *United States v. Schurkman, et al.*, Civil Action No. 07–915 (KMK) (LMS), DJ No. 90–11–3–08989.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Southern District of New York, 86 Chambers Street, New York, New York 10007. During the public comment period, the proposed Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, [http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent\\_Decrees.html](http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html). A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood ([tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov](mailto:tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov)), fax no. (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In requesting a copy of the proposed Consent Decree, please enclose a check in the amount of \$8.50 (25 cent per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury.

**Maureen Katz,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2010–12585 Filed 5–25–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–15–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

Under 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on May 17, 2010 a Consent Decree in *United States of America and Allegheny County Health Department v. Allegheny Ludlum Corporation*, Civil Action No. 10–0673 was lodged with the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

In a complaint that was filed simultaneously with the Consent Decree, the United States and the Allegheny County Health Department (“ACHD”) sought injunctive relief and penalties against Allegheny Ludlum Corporation (“ALC”) pursuant to Section 113(b) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7413(b), for alleged Clean Air Act violations and violations of the Pennsylvania State Implementation Plan at a steel manufacturing facility in Natrona, Pennsylvania owned by ALC.

*Under the terms of the settlement, the settling defendant will:* (1) Cease operation of the Natrona steel manufacturing facility not later than November 30, 2010; (2) pay a \$1.6 million civil penalty for settlement of

the claims in the complaint; and (3) apply interim measures to control visible air emissions, until the Natrona facility finally ceases operation.

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the Consent Decree for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, or submitted via e-mail to [pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov](mailto:pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov), and should refer to *United States and the Allegheny County Health Department v. Allegheny Ludlum Corporation*, D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–2–1–09378/1.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Offices of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 3, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, [http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent\\_Decrees.html](http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html). A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood ([tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov](mailto:tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov)), fax number (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$7.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury.

**Maureen Katz,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2010–12582 Filed 5–25–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–15–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

Notice is hereby given that on May 19, 2010, a proposed Consent Decree between the United States of America and Rineco Chemical Industries, Inc. (“Rineco”) was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas in the case of *United States v. Rineco Chemical Industries, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 4–07–CV–01189SWW.

In December 2007, the United States filed a complaint seeking injunctive relief and civil penalties resulting from