

Representative, as delegated by the President, has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission's action. See Presidential Memorandum of July 21, 2005, 70 FR 43251 (July 26, 2005). During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under bond, in an amount determined by the Commission. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed if a remedy is ordered.

Written Submissions: The parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. All parties are requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration. Complainants are requested to state the dates that the patents expire and the HTSUS numbers under which the accused products are imported. The written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than close of business on June 2, 2010. Reply submissions, if any, must be filed no later than the close of business on June 11, 2010. No further submissions on these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document and 12 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above with the Office of the Secretary. Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment unless the information has already been granted such treatment during the proceedings. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary of the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR *201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 14, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010-12103 Filed 5-19-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-678]

In the Matter of Certain Energy Drink Products; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination of Violation of Section 337; Schedule for Submissions on Remedy, Public Interest, and Bonding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review a final initial determination ("final ID") (Order No. 34) issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") finding a violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("section 337") in the above-identified investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James A. Worth, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3065. Copies of the public version of the ID and all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 17, 2009, the Commission instituted this investigation, based on a complaint filed by Red Bull GmbH of Fuschl am See, Austria, and Red Bull North America of Santa Monica, California (collectively, "Red Bull") filed on May 15, 2009, and supplemented on June 1, 2009. The respondents named in the notice of investigation were: Chicago Import Inc., of Chicago, Illinois ("Chicago Import"); Lamont Distr., Inc., a/k/a Lamont Distributors Inc., of Brooklyn, New York ("Lamont"); India Imports, Inc., a/k/a International Wholesale Club of Metairie, Louisiana ("India Imports"); Washington Food and Supply of DC,

Inc., a/k/a Washington Cash & Carry of Washington, DC ("Washington Food"); Vending Plus, Inc., of Glen Burnie, Maryland; and Baltimore Beverage Co., Glen Burnie, Maryland. The complaint alleged violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, by reason of the importation, the sale for importation, or the sale after importation, of certain energy drink products that infringe U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 3,092,197; 2,946,045; 2,2994,429; 3,479,607 and U.S. Copyright Registration No. VA0001410959. The complaint further alleged that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. On August 12, 2009, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 7) granting a motion to amend the notice of investigation to clarify that Vending Plus, Inc., and Baltimore Beverage Co., comprise a single entity, Vending Plus, Inc. d/b/a Baltimore Beverage Co. ("Vending Plus"). On September 30, 2009, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 11) granting a motion to amend the notice of investigation to include the following additional respondents: Posh Nosh Imports (USA), Inc., of South Kearny, New Jersey ("Posh Nosh"); Greenwich, Inc., of Florham Park, New Jersey ("Greenwich"); Advantage Food Distributors Ltd., of Suffolk, UK ("Advantage Food"); Wheeler Trading, Inc., of Miramar, Florida ("Wheeler Trading"); Avalon International General Trading, LLC, of Dubai, United Arab Emirates ("Avalon"); and Central Supply, Inc., of Brooklyn, NY ("Central Supply").

On January 5, 2010, the Commission determined not to review IDs (Order Nos. 21 and 22) finding Lamont and Avalon in default pursuant to Commission Rule 210.16. On January 20, 2010, the Commission determined not to review four IDs (Order Nos. 24, 25, 26, and 27) terminating the investigation as to respondents Wheeler Trading, Washington Food, India Imports, and Vending Plus on the basis of settlement agreements. On January 28, 2010, the Commission determined not to review IDs (Order Nos. 29 and 30) finding respondents Posh Nosh, Greenwich, Advantage Food, and Chicago Imports in default pursuant to Commission Rule 210.16. On February 16, 2010, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 32) finding respondent Central Supply in default pursuant to Commission Rule 210.16.

On December 2, 2009, Red Bull moved for summary determination on the issues of domestic industry,

importation, and violation of Section 337. Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.16(c)(2), 19 CFR 216(c)(2), Red Bull also stated that it was seeking a general exclusion order. On December 23, 2009, the Commission investigative attorney submitted a response, in support of a finding that domestic industry exists and that Section 337 has been violated by defaulting respondents Avalon, Posh Nosh, Greenwich, Advantage Food, Central Supply, and Chicago Import, but not by respondent Lamont. On January 13, 2010, and again on March 10, 2010, Red Bull filed without objection supplemental declarations and attachments to its motion for summary determination.

On March 31, 2010, the presiding administrative law judge issued the subject final ID, Order No. 34, granting Red Bull's motion for summary determination of violation with respect to respondents Avalon, Posh Nosh, Greenwich, Advantage Food, Central Supply, and Chicago Import. He also recommended a general exclusion order and a 100 percent bond to permit importation during the Presidential review period.

No petitions for review were filed. The Commission has determined not to review Order No. 34.

In connection with the final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may (1) issue an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/or (2) issue one or more cease and desist orders that could result in the respondent being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or likely to do so. For background, see *In the Matter of Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines*, Inv. No. 337-TA-360, USITC Pub. No. 2843 (December 1994) (Commission Opinion).

If the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S.

production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the U.S. Trade Representative, as delegated by the President, has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission's action. See Presidential Memorandum of July 21, 2005. 70 FR 43251 (July 26, 2005). During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed if a remedy is ordered.

Written Submissions: Parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Complainants and the Commission investigative attorney are also requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration. Complainants are also requested to state the HTSUS numbers under which the accused products are imported.

Written submissions must be filed no later than close of business on May 28, 2010. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on June 7, 2010. Such submissions should address the ALJ's recommended determinations on remedy and bonding which were made in Order No. 34. No further submissions on any of these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document and 12 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above with the Office of the Secretary. Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment unless the information has already been granted such treatment during the investigation. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR *201.6.

Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions

will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.16 and 210.42-46 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.16; 210.42-46).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 14, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010-12102 Filed 5-19-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-10-016]

Government in the Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: United States International Trade Commission.

TIME AND DATE: May 26, 2010 at 11 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agenda for future meetings: None.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Inv. No. 731-TA-149 (Third Review) (Barium Chloride from China)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before June 9, 2010.)

5. Outstanding action jackets: None.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission:

Issued: May 18, 2010.

William R. Bishop,

Hearings and Meetings Coordinator.

[FR Doc. 2010-12329 Filed 5-18-10; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P