contact the BLM Coordinator as provided above.

David Wolf,
Associate District Manager.

[FR Doc. 2010–11964 Filed 5–18–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–GG–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1159 (Final)]

Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods From China; Determination

On the basis of the record 1 developed in the subject investigation, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 735(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)) (the Act), that an industry in the United States is threatened with material injury by reason of imports from China of certain oil country tubular goods ("OCTG"), primarily provided for in subheadings 7304.28, 7305.20, and 7306.29 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found by the Department of Commerce (Commerce) to be sold at less than fair value.2,3

Background

The Commission instituted this investigation effective April 8, 2009, following receipt of a petition filed with the Commission and Commerce by Maverick Tube Corporation, Houston, TX; United States Steel Corporation, Pittsburgh, PA; V&M Star LP, Houston, TX; V&M Tubular Corporation of America, Houston, TX; TMK IPSCO, Camanche, IA; Evraz Rocky Mountain Steel, Pueblo, CO; Wheatland Tube Corp., Wheatland, PA; and the United Steel, Paper, and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union, AFL–CIO–CLC, Pittsburgh, PA. The final phase of the investigation was scheduled by the Commission following notification of a preliminary determination by Commerce that imports of certain OCTG from China were being subsidized within the meaning of section 703(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission’s investigation and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register of September 30, 2009 (74 FR 50242). Following notification of a preliminary determination by Commerce that imports of OCTG from China were being sold at LTFV within the meaning of section 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)) (74 FR 59117, November 17, 2009), the Commission issued additional scheduling dates with respect to the antidumping duty investigation (74 FR 67248, December 18, 2009). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on December 1, 2009, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.


By order of the Commission.


Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010–11966 Filed 5–18–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–717]

In the Matter of Certain Digital Imaging Devices and Related Software; Notice of Investigation


ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on April 15, 2010, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Apple Inc., f/k/a Apple Computer, Inc. of Cupertino, California. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain digital imaging devices and related software by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,031,964 and RE38,911. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and a cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.


Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on May 12, 2010, Ordered That—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain digital imaging devices and related software that infringe one or more of claim 1–3 and 5–8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,031,964 and claims 15–22, 27, 30–32, 38, and 39 of U.S. Patent No. RE38,911, and whether an industry in the United States exists

1The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

2Commissioners Charlotte R. Lane and Irving A. Williamson determine that the domestic OCTG industry is materially injured by reason of imports of the subject merchandise from China. They make a negative finding with respect to critical circumstances.

3Chairman Shara L. Aranoff, Vice Chairman Daniel R. Pearson, Commissioner Deanna Tanner Okun, and Commissioner Dean A. Pinkert determine that they would not have found material injury but for the suspension of liquidation.


Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on May 12, 2010, Ordered That—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain digital imaging devices and related software that infringe one or more of claim 1–3 and 5–8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,031,964 and claims 15–22, 27, 30–32, 38, and 39 of U.S. Patent No. RE38,911, and whether an industry in the United States exists

1The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

2Commissioners Charlotte R. Lane and Irving A. Williamson determine that the domestic OCTG industry is materially injured by reason of imports of the subject merchandise from China. They make a negative finding with respect to critical circumstances.

3Chairman Shara L. Aranoff, Vice Chairman Daniel R. Pearson, Commissioner Deanna Tanner Okun, and Commissioner Dean A. Pinkert determine that they would not have found material injury but for the suspension of liquidation.
as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Apple Inc., f/k/a Apple Computer, Inc., 1 Infinite Loop, Cupertino, CA 95014.

(b) The respondent is the following entity alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served: Eastman Kodak Company, 343 State Street, Rochester, NY 14650.

(c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is Vu Q. Bui, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern, Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)–(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.


By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.
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