SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document, whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean EPA.

Today’s notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA Region IX sent a letter to the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection on May 5, 2010 stating that the motor vehicle emissions budgets for PM\textsubscript{10} in the submitted Truckee Meadows PM\textsubscript{10} Maintenance Plan are adequate. Receipt of these motor vehicle emissions budgets was announced on EPA’s transportation conformity Web site on January 19, 2010, and no comments were submitted. The finding is available at EPA’s conformity Web site: http://www.epa.gov/otaq/statesources/transconf/adequacy.htm.

The Truckee Meadows PM\textsubscript{10} Maintenance Plan identifies PM\textsubscript{10} MVEBs for the Truckee Meadows nonattainment area for years 2009, 2013, 2018, and 2020. The adequate budgets are presented in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Emissions Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>21,195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>20,871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>20,846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>20,816</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transportation conformity is required by Clean Air Act section 176(c). EPA’s conformity rule requires that transportation plans, transportation improvement programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans (SIPs) and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do conform. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP’s motor vehicle emissions budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). We have described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in our July 1, 2004, preamble starting at 69 FR 40038, and we used the information in these resources while making our adequacy determination. Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA’s completeness review, and should not be used to prejudge EPA’s ultimate approval action for the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.


Jared Blumenfeld,
Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 2010–11831 Filed 5–17–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FR–9152–7]

Science Advisory Board Staff Office; Notification of a Public Teleconference and Public Meeting of the SAB Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon (PAH) Mixtures Review Panel

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.


DATES: There will be a public teleconference on June 8, 2010 from 2 p.m. to 4 p.m. (Eastern Time). The public meeting will be held on June 21, 2010 from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. (Eastern Time), June 22, 2010 from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. and June 23, 2010 from 9 a.m. to 3 p.m. (Eastern Time).

ADDRESSES: The teleconference will be conducted by phone only. The face-to-face meeting on June 21–23, 2010 will be held at the Washington Marriott at Metro Center, 775 12th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005; telephone (202) 737–2200.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Any member of the public wishing to obtain information concerning the public teleconference and/or public meeting may contact Mr. Aaron Yeow, Designated Federal Officer (DFO), EPA Science Advisory Board Staff Office (1400F), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460; by telephone/voice mail at (202) 343–9878 or at yeow.aaron@epa.gov. General information about the SAB, as well as any updates concerning the meeting announced in this notice, may be found on the EPA Web site at http://www.epa.gov/sab.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C., App. 2, notice is hereby given that the SAB PAH Mixtures Review Panel will hold a public teleconference to discuss the plans for the subsequent public face-to-face meeting to conduct a peer review of the EPA’s draft technical document, Development of a Relative Potency Factor (RPF) Approach for Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon (PAH) Mixtures, External Review Draft (February 2010). The SAB was established pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 4365 to provide independent scientific and technical advice to the Administrator on the technical basis for Agency positions and regulations.

The SAB is a Federal Advisory Committee chartered under FACA. The SAB will comply with the provisions of FACA and all appropriate SAB Staff Office procedural policies.

Background: EPA’s Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) is an electronic database containing descriptive and quantitative toxicological information on human health effects that may result from chronic exposure to various substances in the environment. This information supports human health risk assessments and includes hazard identification and dose-response data and derivations of oral reference doses (RfDs) and inhalation reference concentrations (RfCs) for noncancer effects and oral slope factors and oral and inhalation unit risks for cancer effects. IRIS is prepared and maintained by EPA’s National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA) within the Office of Research and Development (ORD). NCEA’s IRIS Program has developed a draft technical document entitled, Development of a Relative Potency Factor (RPF) Approach for Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon (PAH) Mixtures, for estimating cancer risk from exposure to PAH mixtures. ORD has requested that the Science Advisory Board (SAB) conduct a review of this draft document. In response to ORD’s request, the SAB Staff Office solicited nominations of experts and formed a review panel for PAH Mixtures [Federal Register Notice dated October 21, 2009 (74 FR 54047–54048)]. The panel will conduct a review of EPA’s February 2010 External Review Draft of its technical document, Development of a Relative Potency Factor (RPF) Approach for Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon (PAH) Mixtures. Specifically, the panel is being asked to provide recommendations on the rationale for recommending an RPF approach, the evaluation of carcinogenicity of individual PAHs, the methods for dose response assessment.
and RPF calculation, the selection of PAHs to include in the RPF approach, the derivation of RPFs for selected PAHs, and the uncertainties and limitations associated with the RPF approach. The purpose of the teleconference is for the panel to receive a briefing on the draft technical document and for members to clarify the charge to the panel. During the face-to-face meeting, the panel will review the technical document.

Availability of Meeting Materials: Agendas and materials in support of these meetings will be placed on the EPA Web site at http://www.epa.gov/sab in advance of each meeting. For technical questions and information concerning EPA’s draft document, please contact Dr. Lynn Flowers at (703) 347–8537, or flowers.lynn@epa.gov.

Procedures for Providing Public Input: Public comment for consideration by EPA’s federal advisory committees and panels has a different purpose from public comment provided to EPA program offices. Therefore, the process for submitting comments to a federal advisory committee is different from the process used to submit comments to an EPA program office.

Federal advisory committees and panels, including scientific advisory committees, provide independent advice to EPA. Members of the public can submit comments for a federal advisory committee to consider as it develops advice for EPA. They should send their comments directly to the relevant advisory committee. Oral Statements: In general, individuals or groups requesting an oral presentation at a public teleconference will be limited to three minutes per speaker, with no more than a total of 30 minutes for all speakers. At the face-to-face meeting, presentations will be limited to five minutes, with no more than a total of one hour for all speakers. Each person making an oral statement should consider providing written comments as well as their oral statement so that the points presented orally can be expanded upon in writing. Interested parties should contact Mr. Aaron Yeow, DFO, in writing (preferably via e-mail) at the contact information noted above by June 1, 2010 for the teleconference and by June 14, 2010 for the face-to-face meeting, to be placed on the list of public speakers. Written Statements: Written statements should be supplied to the DFO via email at the contact information noted above by June 1, 2010 for the teleconference and by June 14, 2010 for the face-to-face meeting so that the information may be made available to the Committee members for their consideration. Written statements should be supplied in one of the following electronic formats: Adobe Acrobat PDF, MS Word, MS PowerPoint, or Rich Text files in IBM–PC/Windows 98/2000/XP format. Submitters are requested to provide versions of signed documents, submitted with and without signatures, because the SAB Staff Office does not publish documents with signatures on its Web sites.

Accessibility: For information on access or services for individuals with disabilities, please contact Dr. Lynn Flowers at (703) 347–8537 or flowers.lynn@epa.gov. To request accommodation of a disability, please contact Mr. Yeow preferably at least ten days prior to each meeting to give EPA as much time as possible to process your request.

Dated: May 12, 2010.
Anthony F. Maciorowski,
Deputy Director, EPA Science Advisory Staff Office.

EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE UNITED STATES

Economic Impact Policy

This notice is to inform the public that the Export-Import Bank of the United States has received an application for a $49.3 million guarantee to support the U.S. export of aircraft tooling equipment to Mexico and the United Kingdom. The U.S. exports will enable the Mexican and British facilities to produce composite aircraft parts. All of the new Mexican and British production will be sent back to the U.S. for final assembly into business aircraft. Available information indicates that this type of supply chain structure exists because of the need for industry participants to produce technically specific goods at proprietary facilities. Interested parties may submit comments on this transaction by e-mail to economic.impact@exim.gov or by mail to 811 Vermont Avenue, NW., Room 947, Washington, DC 20571, within 14 days of the date this notice appears in the Federal Register.

Jonathan J. Cordone,
Senior Vice President and General Counsel.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested

May 13, 2010.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3501 – 3520. Comments are requested concerning: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission’s burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, and (e) ways to further reduce the information collection burden on small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.

The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

DATES: Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before July 19, 2010. If you anticipate that you will be submitting PRA comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the FCC contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all PRA comments to Nicholas A. Fraser, Office of Management and Budget, via fax at 202–395–5167 or via the Internet at Nicholas.A_Fraser@omb.eop.gov and to the Federal Communications Commission via email to PRA@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Judith B. Herman, Office of Managing Director. (202) 418–0214. For additional information, contact Judith B. Herman, OMD, 202–418–0214, or email judith−b.herman@fcc.gov.