requests that web-based linking agreements providing links to merchant websites be treated as price categories rather than separate products pending review in a rulemaking on the form and content of rules for nonpostal services. Id. at 5. The Postal Service asserts that designating the linking agreements as price categories pending further review removes uncertainty as to whether a section 3642 proceeding to add new products to the MCS would be required for any new web-based linking agreements. Id.

The nonpostal service described in Order No. 154 as Non-Sale Lease Agreements (Non-Government) includes leasing of parking facilities, office space, antenna towers, advertising, storage, and retail lobby space. Order No. 154 at 66. Non-sale dispositions of real property are sometimes structured as a “license” of real property. Notice at 9–10. The FedEx Drop Boxes arrangement identified in Order No. 154 as a separate nonpostal service also involves the licensing or rental of real property. Id. at 10.

The Postal Service notes that the Commission did not specifically address the rental of personal property in Order No. 154 and proposes to include the rental of equipment such as forklifts within this group. The Postal Service further notes that for years it has rented personal property such as exercise and audio visual equipment as part of its training facilities operations, but charges are accounted for as part of the training operations, and the Postal Service does not recommend including them in this description. Id. The Postal Service proposes to retitle this group of activities “Leasing, Licensing and Other Non-Sale Disposal of Tangible Property.” Id. at 11.

III. Notice of Filings

The Commission establishes Docket No. MC2010–24 for consideration of the Postal Service’s proposed language for nonpostal products attached to this order to be included in the MCS.

As noted above, the MCS language recognized by the Postal Service is attached to this order. Part 3020 of the Commission’s rules provides for establishing product lists. Subpart A of the current MCS rules includes provisions for nonpostal products. 39 CFR 3020.13(a)(6) and (b)(5). Subpart B provides for changes to the product lists initiated by the Postal Service. The Commission will treat the Postal Service’s filings as a unified request to modify the product lists.11

Under section 3020.34 of the rules, upon review of these Postal Service filings together with comments of interested parties, the Commission may approve the requests, institute further proceedings, permit the Postal Service an opportunity to modify its request, or take other appropriate action. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 404(e)(5) and 39 CFR 3020.33, the Commission provides interested persons an opportunity to express views and offer comments on the planned modifications and whether they are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3642.

Comments on the proposed nonpostal services MCS language filed by the Postal Service are due no later than June 4, 2010. Reply comments, if any, are due June 18, 2010.

Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Emmett Rand Costich is appointed to serve as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in the above-captioned docket.

IV. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. Docket No. MC2010–24 is established to consider the Postal Service’s proposed nonpostal product Mail Classification Schedule language filed with its notices of March 10, 2009 and April 26, 2010, together with the nonpostal service Mail Classification Schedule language for four nonpostal services filed November 7, 2008 referred to in the body of this order.


3. Comments are due no later than June 4, 2010.

4. Reply comments are due no later than June 18, 2010.

5. The Commission appoints Emmett Rand Costich as Public Representative to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.

6. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove,
Secretary.

11 Because the Postal Service’s filings are pursuant to Commission orders, the filing requirements of subpart B shall, to the extent necessary, be waived.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. IC–29265 ; File No. 812–13710]


May 10, 2010.

AGENCY: The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”).

ACTION: Notice of application for an order under Section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the “Act”) granting exemptions from the provisions of Sections 2(a)(32), 22(c), and 27(i)(2)(A) of the Act and Rule 22c–1 thereunder to permit the recapture of contract enhancements applied to purchase payments made under certain deferred variable annuity contracts.


Summary of Application: Applicants seek an order under Section 6(c) of the Act to exempt certain transactions from the provisions of Sections 2(a)(32), 22(c), and 27(i)(2)(A) of the Act and Rule 22c–1 thereunder, to the extent necessary to permit the recapture, under specified circumstances, of certain contract enhancements applied to purchase payments made under the deferred variable annuity contracts described herein that JNL New York has issued and will issue through the JNLNY Separate Account (the “Contracts”) as well as other contracts that JNL New York may issue in the future through its existing or future separate accounts (“Other Accounts”) that are substantially similar in all material respects to the Contracts (“Future Contracts”). Applicants also request that the order being sought extend to any other Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”) member broker-dealer controlling or controlled by, or under common control with JNL New York, whether existing or created in the future, that serves as distributor or principal underwriter for the Contracts or Future Contracts (“Affiliated Broker-Dealers”) and any successors in interest to the Applicants.

DATES: Filing Date: The application was filed on October 23, 2009, and amended on January 13, 2010, and April 22, 2010.

Hearing or Notification of Hearing: An order granting the application will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Secretary of
the Commission and serving Applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on June 2, 2010, and should be accompanied by proof of service on Applicants, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer’s interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested.

Persons may request notification of a hearing by writing to the Secretary of the Commission.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the Application. The complete Application may be obtained via the Commission’s Web site by searching for the file number, or an applicant using the Company name box at http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm or by calling (202) 551–8090.

Applicants’ Representations

1. JNL New York is a stock life insurance company organized under the laws of the state of New York in July 1995. Its legal domicile and principal address is 2900 Westchester Avenue, Purchase, New York 10577. JNL New York is admitted to conduct life insurance and annuity business in Delaware, Michigan, and New York. JNL New York is ultimately a wholly-owned subsidiary of Prudential plc (London, England).

2. The JNLY Separate Account was established by JNL New York on September 12, 1997, pursuant to the provisions of New York law and the authority granted under a resolution of JNL New York’s Board of Directors. JNL New York is the depositor of the JNLY Separate Account. The JNLY Separate Account meets the definition of a “separate account” under the Federal securities laws and is registered with the Commission as a unit investment trust under the Act (File Nos. 811–8401). The JNLY Separate Account will fund the variable benefits available under the Contracts. The registration statement relating to the offering of the Contracts was filed under the Securities Act of 1933 (the “1933 Act”) (File Nos. 333–163323).

3. The Distributor is a wholly owned subsidiary of Jackson National Life Insurance Company, JNL New York’s parent company, and serves as the distributor of the Contracts. The Distributor is registered with the Commission as a broker-dealer under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “1934 Act”) and is a member of FINRA. The Distributor enters into selling group agreements with affiliated and unaffiliated broker-dealers. The Contracts are sold by licensed insurance agents, where the Contracts may be lawfully sold, who are registered representatives of broker-dealers that are registered under the 1934 Act and are members of FINRA.

4. The Contracts require a minimum initial premium payment of $5,000 or $10,000 under most circumstances depending on the contract ($2,000 for a qualified plan contract). Subsequent payments may be made at any time during the accumulation phase but before the contract anniversary after the owner’s 85th birthday. Each subsequent payment must be at least $500 ($50 under an automatic payment plan). Prior approval of JNL New York is required for aggregate premium payments of over $1,000,000.

5. The Contracts permit owners to accumulate contract values on a fixed basis through allocations to one fixed account (the “Fixed Account”). The Contracts also permit owners to accumulate contract values on a variable basis, through allocations to one or more of the sub-accounts, also referred to as investment divisions, of the JNLY Separate Account (the “Investment Divisions,” and collectively with the Fixed Account, the “Allocation Options”). Under most Contracts, 98 Investment Divisions currently are expected to be offered through the JNLY Separate Account but additional Investment Divisions may be offered in the future and some could be eliminated or combined with other Investment Divisions in the future. Similarly, Future Contracts may offer additional or different Investment Divisions. Any changes to the Investment Divisions offered will be effected in compliance with the terms of the Contracts and with applicable state and federal laws. Each Investment Division will invest in shares of a corresponding series (“Series”) of JNL Series Trust (“Trust”) or JNL Variable Fund LLC (“Fund”) (collectively the “Trust and Fund”). Not all Investment Divisions may be available in each Contract. The Trust and Fund are open-end management investment companies registered under the Act and their shares are registered under the 1933 Act. Jackson National Asset Management, LLC (“JNAM”) serves as the investment adviser for all of the Series of the Trust and Fund. JNAM has retained sub-advisers for each Series.

6. Transfers among the Investment Divisions are permitted. The first 15 transfers in a contract year are free; subsequent transfers cost $25. Certain transfers to and from the Fixed Account are also permitted during the Contracts’ accumulation phase, but are subject to certain adjustments and limitations. Dollar cost averaging and rebalancing transfers are offered at no charge and do not count against the 15 free transfers permitted each year.

7. If the owner dies during the accumulation phase of the Contracts, the beneficiary named by the owner is paid a death benefit by JNL New York. The Contracts’ base death benefit, which applies unless an optional death benefit has been elected, is a payment to the beneficiary of the greater of: (i) $10,000 under most circumstances subsequent to that contract anniversary; or (ii) the total premiums paid under that Contract minus any prior withdrawals (including any withdrawal charges, recapture charges or other charges or adjustments applicable to such withdrawals).

8. The owner may also be offered certain optional endorsements (for various fees) that can change the death benefit paid to the beneficiary. The owner of a Contract may be offered the following two optional death benefits that would replace the base death benefit: (i) A Highest Anniversary Value Death Benefit which is the greatest of the contract value on the date JNL New York receives proof of death and completed claim forms from the beneficiary or (ii) the total premiums paid under that Contract minus any prior withdrawals (including any withdrawal charges, recapture charges or other charges or adjustments applicable to such withdrawals).

9. The Contracts offer fixed and variable versions of the following four types of annuity payment or “income payment”: Life income, joint and
13. A “Completed Year” is the succeeding twelve months from the date on which JNL New York receives a premium payment. Completed Years specify the years from the date of receipt of the premium and do not refer to contract years. If the premium receipt date is on the issue date of the Contract then Completed Year 0–1 does not include the first contract anniversary. The first contract anniversary begins Completed Year 1–2 and each successive Completed Year begins with the contract anniversary of the preceding contract year. If the premium receipt date is other than the issue date or a subsequent contract anniversary, there is no correlation of the contract anniversary date and Completed Years. For example, if the issue date is January 15, 2010 and a premium payment is received on February 28, 2010, then, although the first contract anniversary is January 15, 2011, the end of Completed Year 0–1 for that premium payment would be February 27, 2011, and February 28, 2011 begins Completed Year 1–2.

14. The recapture charge percentage will be applied to the corresponding premium reflected in the amount withdrawn that remains subject to a recapture charge. The amount recaptured will be taken from the Investment Divisions and the Fixed Account in the proportion their respective values bear to the contract value. The dollar amount recaptured will never exceed the dollar amount of the Contract Enhancement added to the contract.

15. JNL New York does not assess the recapture charge on any payments paid out as: Death benefits; income payments; withdrawals of earnings; withdrawals taken under the free withdrawal provision, which allows for free withdrawals up to 10% of remaining premium, less earnings; or withdrawals necessary to satisfy the required minimum distribution of the Internal Revenue Code (if the withdrawal requested exceeds the required minimum distribution, the recapture charge will not be waived on the required minimum distribution).

16. The contract value will reflect any gains or losses attributable to a Contract Enhancement described above. For purposes of determining the recapture charge and withdrawal charge, withdrawals will be allocated first to earnings, if any (which may be withdrawn free of any recapture charge and withdrawal charge), second to premium on a first-in, first-out basis, so that all withdrawals are allocated to premium to which the lowest (if any) withdrawal charges and recapture charges apply, and third to Contract Enhancements. For all purposes, other than for tax purposes, earnings are defined to be the excess, if any, of the contract value over the sum of remaining Contract Enhancements (the total Contract Enhancements, reduced by withdrawals of Contract Enhancements) and remaining premiums (the total premium, reduced by withdrawals that incur withdrawal charges and/or recapture charges, and withdrawals of premiums that are no longer subject to withdrawal charges and/or recapture charges). Contract Enhancements and any gains or losses attributable to a Contract Enhancement will be considered earnings under the Contract for tax purposes.

17. The Contracts have a “free-look” period of twenty days after the owner receives the Contract. Contract value, less the full amount of any Contract Enhancement(s) is returned upon exercise of free look rights by an owner. Therefore, 100% of the Contract Enhancement will be recaptured under all circumstances if an owner returns the Contract during the free-look period, but any gain or loss on investments of the Contract Enhancement would be retained by the owner. The dollar amount recaptured will never exceed the dollar amount of the Contract Enhancement added to the contract. A withdrawal charge will not be assessed upon exercise of free look rights.

18. In addition to the Contract Enhancement recapture charges, the Contracts may have additional charges including a withdrawal charge that applies to total withdrawals and partial withdrawals in excess of amounts permitted to be withdrawn under the Contract’s free withdrawal provision. The withdrawal charges shown in the table below apply to the Contracts. The amount of the withdrawal charge depends upon the when the charge is imposed based on the Completed Years since the receipt of the related premium, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Years Since Receipt of Premium</th>
<th>Recapture Charge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>5.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>4.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–5</td>
<td>3.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–6</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–7</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7–8</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8–9</td>
<td>.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9+</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
19. JNL New York does not assess the withdrawal charge on any payments paid out as: Death benefits; income payments (the income date, which is the date income payments commence, cannot be sooner than 13 months from the issue date); cancellation of the Contract upon exercise of free look rights by an owner; withdrawals of earnings; withdrawals taken under the free withdrawal provision, which allows for free withdrawals up to 10% of remaining premium, less earnings; and withdrawals necessary to satisfy the required minimum distribution of the Internal Revenue Code (if the withdrawal requested exceeds the required minimum distribution, the withdrawal charge will not be waived on the required minimum distribution).

**Applicants' Legal Analysis**

1. Applicants state that Section 6(c) of the Act authorizes the Commission to exempt any person, security or transaction, or any class or classes of persons, securities or transactions from the provisions of the Act and the rules promulgated thereunder if and to the extent that such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act. Applicants request that the Commission, pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Act, grant the exemptions requested below with respect to the Contracts and any Future Contracts funded by the JNLNY Separate Account or Other Accounts that are issued by JNL New York and underwritten or distributed by the Distributor or Affiliated Broker-Dealers. Applicants undertake that Future Contracts funded by the JNLNY Separate Account or Other Accounts, in the future, will be substantially similar in all material respects to the Contracts. Applicants believe that the requested exemptions are appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

2. Section 27 of the Act regulates and imposes certain restrictions on the sales of periodic payment plan certificates issued by any registered investment company. Applicants state that Subsection (i) of Section 27 of the Act provides that Section 27 does not apply to any registered separate account funding variable insurance contracts, or to the sponsoring insurance company and principal underwriter of such account, except as provided in paragraph (2) of the subsection. Paragraph (2) provides that it shall be unlawful for such a separate account or sponsoring insurance company to sell a contract funded by the registered separate account unless such contract is a redeemable security. Section 2(a)(32) defines “redeemable security” as any security, other than short-term paper, under the terms of which the holder, upon presentation to the issuer, is entitled to receive approximately his proportionate share of the issuer’s current net assets, or the cash equivalent thereof.

3. Applicants submit that the recapture of the Contract Enhancement in the circumstances set forth in its application would not deprive an owner of his or her proportionate share of the issuer’s current net assets. A Contract owner’s interest in the amount of the Contract Enhancement allocated to his or her contract value upon receipt of a premium payment is not fully vested until nine complete years following a premium payment. Until or unless the amount of any Contract Enhancement is vested, JNL New York retains the right and interest in the Contract Enhancement amount, although not in the earnings attributable to that amount. Thus, Applicants urge that when JNL New York recaptures any Contract Enhancement it is simply retrieving its proportionate share of the Issuer’s current net assets, and because a Contract owner’s interest in the Contract Enhancement is not vested, the Contract owner has not been deprived of a proportionate share of the JNLNY Separate Account’s assets, i.e., a share of the JNLNY Separate Account’s assets proportionate to the Contract owner’s contract value.

4. In addition, Applicants represent that it would be patently unfair to allow a Contract owner exercising the free look privilege to retain the Contract Enhancement amount under a Contract that has been returned for a refund after a period of only a few days. If JNL New York could not recapture the Contract Enhancement, individuals could purchase a Contract with no intention of retaining it and simply return it for a quick profit. Furthermore, Applicants state that the recapture of the Contract Enhancement relating to withdrawals and to income payments within the first nine years of a premium contribution is designed to protect JNL New York against Contract owners not holding the Contract for a sufficient time period. It provides JNL New York with sufficient time to recover the cost of the Contract Enhancement, and to avoid the financial detriment that would result from a shorter recapture period.

5. Applicants represent that it is not administratively feasible to track the Contract Enhancement amount in the JNLNY Separate Account after the Contract Enhancement(s) is applied. Accordingly, the asset-based charges applicable to the JNLNY Separate Account will be assessed against the entire amounts held in the JNLNY Separate Account, including any Contract Enhancement amounts. As a result, the aggregate asset-based charges assessed will be higher than those that would be charged if the Contract owner’s contract value did not include any Contract Enhancement.

6. Applicants submit that the provisions for recapture of any Contract Enhancement under the Contracts do not violate Sections 2(a)(32) and 27(i)(2)(A) of the Act. Sections 26(e) and 27(i) were added to the Act to implement the purposes of the National Securities Markets Improvement Act of 1996 and Congressional intent. The application of a Contract Enhancement to premium payments made under the Contracts should not raise any questions as to compliance by JNL New York with the provisions of Section 27(i).

7. Applicants state that Section 22(c) of the Act authorizes the Commission to make rules and regulations applicable to registered investment companies and to
principal underwriters of, and dealers in, the redeemable securities of any registered investment company to accomplish the same purposes as contemplated by Section 22(a). Rule 22c–1 under the Act prohibits a registered investment company issuing any redeemable security, a person designated in such issuer’s prospectus as authorized to consummate transactions in any such security, and a principal underwriter of, or dealer in, such security, from selling, redeeming, or repurchasing any such security except at a price based on the current net asset value of such security which is next computed after receipt of a tender of such security for redemption or of an order to purchase or sell such security.

8. Applicants state that it is possible that someone might view JNL New York’s recapture of the Contract Enhancements as resulting in the redemption of redeemable securities for a price other than one based on the current net asset value of the JNLNY Separate Account. Applicants contend, however, that the recapture of the Contract Enhancement does not violate Rule 22c–1. The recapture of some or all of the Contract Enhancement does not involve either of the evils that Section 22(c) and Rule 22c–1 were intended to eliminate or reduce as far as reasonably practicable, namely: (i) The dilution of the value of outstanding redeemable securities of registered investment companies through their sale at a price below net asset value or repurchase at a price above it, and (ii) other unfair results, including speculative trading practices. To effect a recapture of a Contract Enhancement, JNL New York will redeem interests in a Contract owner’s contract value at a price determined on the basis of the current net asset value of the JNLNY Separate Account. The amount recaptured will be less than or equal to the amount of the Contract Enhancement that JNL New York paid out of its general account assets. Although Contract owners will be entitled to retain any investment gains attributable to the Contract Enhancement and to bear any investment losses attributable to the Contract Enhancement, the amount of such gains or losses will be determined on the basis of the current net asset values of the JNLNY Separate Account. Thus, no dilution will occur upon the recapture of the Contract Enhancement. Applicants also submit that the second harm that Rule 22c–1 was designed to address, namely, speculative trading practices calculated to take advantage of backward pricing, will not occur as a result of the recapture of the Contract Enhancement. Because neither of the harms that Rule 22c–1 was meant to address is found in the recapture of the Contract Enhancement, Rule 22c–1 should not apply to any Contract Enhancement. However, to avoid any uncertainty as to full compliance with Rule 22c–1, Applicants request an order granting an exemption from the provisions of Rule 22c–1 to the extent deemed necessary to permit them to recapture the Contract Enhancement under the Contracts.

9. Applicants submit that extending the requested relief to encompass Future Contracts and Other Accounts is appropriate in the public interest because it promotes competitiveness in the variable annuity market by eliminating the need to file redundant exemptive applications prior to introducing new variable annuity contracts. Investors would receive no benefit or additional protection by requiring Applicants to repeatedly seek exemptive relief that would present no issues under the Act not already addressed in the application.

10. Applicants submit, for the reasons stated herein, that their exemptive request meets the standards set out in Section 6(c) of the Act, namely, that the exemptions requested are appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act and that, therefore, the Commission should grant the requested order.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–11543 Filed 5–13–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Adopt Commentary .02 to Rule 5.32, Terms of FLEX Options, to Establish a Pilot Program to permit FLEX Options to trade with no minimum size requirement

The Exchange proposes to adopt Commentary .02 to Rule 5.32, Terms of FLEX Options, to establish a Pilot Program to permit FLEX Options to trade with no minimum size requirement. The text of the proposed rule change is attached as Exhibit 5 to the 19b–4 form. A copy of this filing is available on the Exchange’s Web site at http://www.nyse.com, at the Exchange’s principal office, at the Commission’s Public Reference Room, and on the Commission’s Web site at http://www.sec.gov.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the filing is to adopt rules to establish a Pilot Program to eliminate minimum value sizes for both FLEX Equity options and FLEX Index options similar to a pilot approved for the Chicago Board Options Exchange (“CBOE”).

Presently, the Exchange minimum value size requirements for an opening FLEX Equity transaction in any FLEX series in which there is no open interest


Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") 1, and Rule 19b–4 2 thereunder, notice is hereby given that on April 29, 2010, NYSE Arca, Inc. ("NYSE Arca" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to adopt Commentary .02 to Rule 5.32, Terms of FLEX Options, to establish a Pilot Program to permit FLEX Options to trade with no minimum size requirement. The text of the proposed rule change is attached as Exhibit 5 to the 19b–4 form. A copy of this filing is available on the Exchange’s Web site at http://www.nyse.com, at the Exchange’s principal office, at the Commission’s Public Reference Room, and on the Commission’s Web site at http://www.sec.gov.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the filing is to adopt rules to establish a Pilot Program to eliminate minimum value sizes for both FLEX Equity options and FLEX Index options similar to a pilot approved for the Chicago Board Options Exchange (“CBOE”).

Presently, the Exchange minimum value size requirements for an opening FLEX Equity transaction in any FLEX series in which there is no open interest