

6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on April 9, 2007 (72 FR 17583).

The last notification was filed with the Department on November 10, 2009. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on December 17, 2010 (74 FR 66995).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2010-11216 Filed 5-12-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers

Notice is hereby given that, on April 15, 2010, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (“IEEE”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing additions or changes to its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, 28 new standards have been initiated and 20 existing standards are being revised. More detail regarding these changes can be found at <http://standards.ieee.org/standardwire/sba/02-2010.html> and <http://standards.ieee.org/standardwire/sba/03-2010.html>.

On September 17, 2004, IEEE filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on November 3, 2004 (69 FR 64105).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 8, 2010. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on February 23, 2010 (75 FR 8115).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2010-11218 Filed 5-12-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-11-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Comment Request for Information Collection for the SCSEP Data Collection System, OMB Control No. 1205-0040, Extension With Revisions

**AGENCY:** Employment and Training Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Employment and Training Administration is soliciting comments concerning the collection of data about the Senior Community Service Employment Program (SCSEP), expiring October 31, 2010. Changes are due to the following: (1) In December of 2009, SCSEP received additional funds authorized by the Department of Labor Appropriations Act (Pub. L. 111-117, section D) signed December 17, 2009, thus increasing the anticipated number of SCSEP participants and, therefore, increasing the overall record-keeping burden. (2) In addition, information collection forms have been modified as necessitated by the reauthorization of the SCSEP legislation (2006 Amendments to the Older Americans Act, Pub. L. 109-365) and the Jobs for Veterans Act of 2002 (Pub. L. 07-288); changes in overall burden for some forms based on actual usage statistics; and the requirement to publish changes to the Internet-based SCSEP Performance and Results QPR (SPARQ) system that go into effect on July 1, 2010.

A copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addressee section of this notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressee’s section below on or before July 12, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit written comments to Alexandra Kielty, Room S-4203 Employment and Training Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210. Telephone number: 202-693-3730 (this is not a toll-free number). Fax: 202-693-3587. E-mail: [kielty.alexandra@dol.gov](mailto:kielty.alexandra@dol.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

Originally authorized by the Older Americans Act of 1965, the Senior Community Service Employment Program (SCSEP) is funded for approximately \$759 million for PY 2010 and will provide over 78,000 positions in which nearly 120,000 low-income persons aged 55 or older will be placed in community service employment. At current placement rates, this should allow about 20,000 people to be exited from the program with the ultimate goal of unsubsidized placement in PY 2010.

To ensure that the Senior Community Service Employment Program is properly administered, and to implement the performance measures and sanctions authorized by the 2006 Amendments to the OAA (OAA-2006) and the Jobs for Veterans Act of 2002, it is necessary to modify the existing data collection forms. In addition, a collection of information is required under OMB Memorandum M-02-06, which has been adopted by the Department of Labor (the Department). This requirement necessitates a revision of data collection forms (listed below) and revisions to the overall data collection burden. The legal authority for the collection of additional information may be found at sections 503, 508, 513, and 515 of the OAA-2006.

##### II. Review Focus

*The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:*

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other