received from other persons for submission to the NRC inform those persons that the NRC will not edit their comments to remove any identifying or contact information, and therefore, they should not include any information in their comments that they do not want publicly disclosed.


Mail comments to: Michael T. Lesar, Chief, Rules, Announcements and Directives Branch (RADB), Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, Mail Stop: TSB–05–B01M, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, or by fax to RADB at 301–492–3446.

You can access publicly available documents related to this notice using the following methods:

NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR): The public may examine and have copied for a fee publicly available documents at the NRC’s PDR, Public File Area O1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): Publicly available documents created or received at the NRC are available electronically at the NRC’s Electronic Reading Room at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. From this page, the public can gain entry into ADAMS, which provides text and image files of NRC’s public documents. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC’s PDR reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by e-mail to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The proposed model application and SE for plant-specific adoption of TSTF–500, Revision 2, are available electronically under ADAMS Accession Number ML093340412.

Federal Rulemaking Web site: Public comments and supporting materials related to this notice can be found at http://www.regulations.gov by searching on Docket ID: NRC–2010–0170.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Michelle C. Honcharik, Senior Project Manager, Licensing Processes Branch, Mail Stop: O–12 D1, Division of Policy and Rulemaking, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC, 20555–0001; telephone 301–415–1774 or e-mail at michelle.honcharik@nrc.gov. For technical questions please contact Mr. Gerald Waig, Senior Reactor Systems Engineer, Technical Specifications Branch, Division of Inspection and Regional Support, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone 301–415–2260 or e-mail at gerald.waig@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

This notice provides an opportunity for the public to comment on proposed changes to the standard technical specifications (STS) after a preliminary assessment and finding by the NRC staff that the agency will likely offer the changes for adoption by licensees. This notice solicits comment on a proposed change to the STS, which if implemented by a licensee will modify the plant-specific TS. The NRC staff will evaluate any comments received for the proposed change to the STS and reconsider the change or announce the availability of the change for adoption by licensees. Licensees opting to apply for this TS change are responsible for reviewing the NRC staff’s SE, referencing the applicable technical justifications, and providing any necessary plant-specific information. The NRC will process and note each amendment application responding to the notice of availability according to applicable NRC rules and procedures. TSTF–500, Revision 2, is applicable to all nuclear power reactors. The Traveler modifies the STS requirements related to DC electrical systems.

The NRC staff requests that each licensee apply for the changes proposed in TSTF–500, Revision 2, include in their license amendment request (LAR) letter(s) from battery manufacturer(s) verifying the acceptability of using float current monitoring.

The proposed change does not prevent licensees from requesting an alternate approach or proposing changes other than those proposed in TSTF Traveler–500, Revision 2. However, significant deviations from the approach recommended in this notice or the inclusion of additional changes to the license require additional NRC staff review. This may increase the time and resources needed for the review or result in NRC staff rejection of the LAR. Licensees desiring significant deviations or additional changes should instead submit an LAR that does not claim to adopt TSTF Traveler–500, Revision 2.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of April 2010.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Eric E. Bowman,
Acting Chief, Licensing Processes Branch, Division of Policy and Rulemaking, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2010–10388 Filed 5–3–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

PACIFIC NORTHWEST ELECTRIC POWER AND CONSERVATION PLANNING COUNCIL

Sixth Northwest Electric Power and Conservation Plan

AGENCY: Pacific Northwest Electric Power and Conservation Planning Council (Northwest Power and Conservation Council; the Council).

ACTION: Notice of adoption of the Sixth Northwest Electric Power and Conservation Plan.

SUMMARY: The Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act of 1980 (16 U.S.C. 839 et seq.) requires the Council to adopt and periodically review and revise a regional power plan, the Northwest Electric Power and Conservation Plan. The Council first adopted the power and conservation plan in 1983, with significant amendments or complete revisions adopted in 1986, 1991, 1998 and 2004. The Council began a review of the power and conservation plan in December 2007, and in September 2009, the Council released for public review and comment the Draft Northwest Sixth Electric Power and Conservation Plan. During the comment period, the Council held public hearings in each of the four Northwest states, as required by the Northwest Power Act, engaged in consultations about the power and conservation plan with various governments, entities and individuals in the region, and accepted and considered substantial written and oral comments.

At the Council’s regularly scheduled public meeting in February 2010 in Portland, Oregon, the Council formally adopted the revised power and conservation plan, called the Sixth Northwest Electric Power and Conservation Plan. The revised power and conservation plan meets the requirements of the Northwest Power Act, which specifies the components the power plan is to have, including an energy conservation program, a recommendation for research and development; a methodology for determining quantifiable environmental costs and benefits; a 20-year demand forecast; a forecast of power resources that the Bonneville Power Administration will need to meet its
obligations; and an analysis of reserve and reserve reliability requirements. The power and conservation plan also includes the Council’s Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program, developed pursuant to other procedural requirements under the Northwest Power Act. The Council followed the adoption of the power and conservation plan with decisions at public meetings in March and April 2010, also in Portland, Oregon, to approve supporting technical appendices and a Statement of Basis and Purpose and Response to Comments to accompany the final plan.

A pre-publication version of the final power and conservation plan is available on the Council’s Web site, at http://www.nwcouncil.org/energy/powerplan/6/default.htm. A formal version will be published in the near future.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you would like more information, or assistance in obtaining a copy of the Sixth Power Plan, please contact the Council’s central office. The Council’s address is 851 SW Sixth Avenue, Suite 1100, Portland, Oregon 97204. The Council’s telephone numbers are 503–222–5161, and 800–452–5161; the Council’s FAX is 503–820–2370, and the Council’s Web site is: http://www.nwcouncil.org.

Stephen L. Crow, Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 2010–10373 Filed 5–3–10; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION  
[Docket No. MC2009–19; Order No. 449]  
New Postal Products  
AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to add postal products to the Mail Classification Schedule. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with this filing.

DATES: Comments are due: May 19, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202–789–6820 and stephen.sharfman@prc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 13, 2010, the Commission issued an order approving the addition of certain postal services to the Mail Classification Schedule (MCS) product lists.1 In approving these additions, the Commission directed the Postal Service to file product descriptions for eight additional services that were being added to the Market Dominant Product List as elements of Address Management Services (AMS). Order No. 391 at 31, Ordering Paragraph 4. In addition, Order No. 391 directed the Postal Service to file an appropriate request to add Stamp Fulfillment Services (SFS) to the MCS Market Dominant Product List.2 Order No. 391 at 31, Ordering Paragraph 5.

As directed, the Postal Service has filed product descriptions for the eight additional elements of AMS that were being added to the Market Dominant Product List.3 The Postal Service has also filed a request to add SFS to the Market Dominant Product List.4 In its First Response, the Postal Service has proposed AMS product description language based upon the Library Reference, But revised in several respects. First, language concerning one of the eight services that the Commission had directed be added to the Market Dominant Product List, Mailpiece Quality Control Certification, has been removed because the service is no longer being offered. Second, Address Management Services Prices have been edited to remove references to Cartridge pricing since this format is no longer available; to add a description of the Computerized Delivery Sequence (CDS) No Stat service to reflect the fact that the minimum price for this service is treated separately from the CDS service minimum; and to add a previously omitted price for retesting FASTforward MLOCR. Finally, the Postal Service adds information regarding two existing services or license types that were previously overlooked: 99 Percent Accurate Method and NCOA4th Mail Processing Equipment.

In its Request, the Postal Service states that Stamp Fulfillment Services is an existing product and that the Request relates only to the charges for ordering stamps. Attachment A to the Request shows the proposed changes to the MCS in legislative format. Attachment B provides a statement of supporting justification for the Request. The Postal Service asserts these classification changes are consistent with the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3642. Request at 2–3.

The Commission hereby provides notice of the Postal Service’s First Response and its Request, and affords interested persons an opportunity to express views and offer comments on those filings. Comments are due May 19, 2010.

The Commission appoints Emmett Rand Costich to serve as Public Representative in this docket.

It is ordered:

1. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Emmett Rand Costich is appointed to serve as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings.

2. Comments by interested persons in this proceeding are due no later than May 19, 2010.

3. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–10362 Filed 5–3–10; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 7710–FW–S

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION  
[Docket No. MC2010–23; Order No. 450]  
New Postal Products  
AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to