The third chart calculates the surcharges and fees incurred when an application, the search or examination fee, or the oath or declaration is filed late, when the application is filed with multiple dependent claims, or when the application is filed with a non-English specification. The USPTO estimates that these fees apply to 111,231 of the 505,721 applications filed per year. This chart is a subset of the first chart and adds an additional $13,876,905 to the annualized (non-hour) costs; however, it does not change the number of responses. Except for the fee for the non-English specification, these fees are also determined by the filing status. Plant applications are not filed with multiple dependent claims so they are not included in this chart.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Responses (yr) (a)</th>
<th>Surcharge fee for late filing, multiple dependent claims, or non-English specification fees</th>
<th>Total non-hour cost burden (Yr) (a) × (b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surcharge for Late Filing of Provisional Application for Patent Cover Sheets—Other Entity</td>
<td>2,588</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$129,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surcharge for Late Filing of Provisional Application for Patent Cover Sheets—Small Entity</td>
<td>4,675</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>116,875.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility Applications, filed with Multiple Dependent Claims—Other Entity</td>
<td>……………………</td>
<td>390.00</td>
<td>2,769,390.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility Applications, filed with Multiple Dependent Claims—Small Entity</td>
<td>……………………</td>
<td>195.00</td>
<td>534,105.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility Applications, filed with a Surcharge for Late filing, search or examination fee, or oath/declaration—Other Entity</td>
<td>55,935</td>
<td>130.00</td>
<td>7,271,550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility Applications, filed with a Surcharge for Late filing, search or examination fee, or oath/declaration—Small Entity</td>
<td>27,158</td>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>1,765,270.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Applications, filed with a Surcharge for Late filing, Search or Examination Fee, or Oath/Declaration—Other Entity</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>130.00</td>
<td>22,360.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Applications, filed with a Surcharge for Late filing, Search or Examination Fee, or Oath/Declaration—Small Entity</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>5,395.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Applications, filed with a Surcharge for Late filing, Search or Examination Fee, or Oath/Declaration—Other Entity</td>
<td>4,400</td>
<td>130.00</td>
<td>572,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Applications, filed with a Surcharge for Late filing, Search or Examination Fee, or Oath/Declaration—Small Entity</td>
<td>2,136</td>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>138,840.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-English Specification</td>
<td>4,244</td>
<td>130.00</td>
<td>551,720.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>111,231</td>
<td>……………………</td>
<td>13,876,905.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The USPTO estimates that the total non-hour respondent cost burden for this collection, in the form of capital start-up, postage, recordkeeping, and drawing costs, in addition to the filing fees, is $771,767,698 per year.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.


Susan K. Fawcett,
Records Officer, USPTO, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Office of Information Management Services, Data Administration Division.

[FR Doc. 2010–10288 Filed 4–30–10; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Advance Notification of Sunset Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

Background

Every five years, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), the Department of Commerce ("the Department") and the International Trade Commission automatically initiate and conduct a review to determine whether revocation of a countervailing or antidumping duty order or termination of an investigation suspended under section 704 or 734 of
the Act would be likely to lead to
continuation or recurrence of dumping
or a countervailable subsidy (as the case
may be) and of material injury.

Upcoming Sunset Reviews for June
2010

The following Sunset Reviews are
scheduled for initiation in June 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dana Mermelstein, (202) 482–1391.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dana Mermelstein, (202) 482–1391.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dana Mermelstein, (202) 482–1391.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dana Mermelstein, (202) 482–1391.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Farlander, (202) 482–0182.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Farlander, (202) 482–0182.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Farlander, (202) 482–0182.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Farlander, (202) 482–0182.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Farlander, (202) 482–0182.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Farlander, (202) 482–0182.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Farlander, (202) 482–0182.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Farlander, (202) 482–0182.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Farlander, (202) 482–0182.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Suspended Investigations

No Sunset Review of suspended
investigations is scheduled for initiation
in June 2010.

The Department’s procedures for the
conduct of Sunset Reviews are set forth
in 19 CFR 351.218. Guidance on
methodological or analytical issues
relevant to the Department’s conduct of
Sunset Reviews is set forth in the
Department’s Policy Bulletin 98.3—
Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-
Year (“Sunset”) Reviews of Antidumping
and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy
The Notice of Initiation of Five-Year
(“Sunset”) Reviews provides further
information regarding what is required
of all parties to participate in Sunset
Reviews.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(c), the
Department will maintain and make
available a service list for these
proceedings. To facilitate the timely
preparation of the service list(s), it is
requested that those seeking recognition
as interested parties to a proceeding
contact the Department in writing
within 10 days of the publication of the
Notice of Initiation.

Please note that if the Department
receives a Notice of Intent to Participate
from a member of the domestic industry
within 15 days of the date of initiation,
the review will continue. Thereafter,
any interested party wishing to
participate in the Sunset Review must
provide substantive comments in
response to the notice of initiation no
later than 30 days after the date of
initiation.

This notice is not required by statute
but is published as a service to the
international trading community.


Edward C. Yang,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for
Antidumping and Countervailing Duty
Operations

[FR Doc. 2010–10246 Filed 4–30–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty
Order, Finding, or Suspended
Investigation; Opportunity To Request
Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Sheila E. Forbes, Office of AD/CVD
Operations, Customs Unit, Import
Administration, International Trade
Administration, U.S. Department of
Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution
Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230,
telephone: (202) 482–4697.

Background

Each year during the anniversary
month of the publication of an
antidumping or countervailing duty
order, finding, or suspension of
investigation, an interested party, as
defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff
Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”),
may request, in accordance with 19 CFR
351.213 of the Department of
Commerce’s (“the Department”)
regulations, that the Department
conduct an administrative review of that
antidumping or countervailing duty
order, finding, or suspended
investigation.

Respondent Selection

In the event the Department limits the
number of respondents for individual
examination for administrative reviews
initiated pursuant to requests made for
the orders identified below, the
Department intends to select
respondents based on U.S. Customs and
Border Protection (“CBP”) data for U.S.
imports during the period of review
(“POR”). We intend to release the CBP
data under Administrative Protective
Order (“APO”) to all parties having an
APO within five days of publication of
the initiation notice and to make our
decision regarding respondent selection
within 20 days of publication of the
initiation Federal Register notice.

Therefore, we encourage all parties
interested in commenting on respondent
selection to submit their APO
applications on the date of publication
of the initiation notice, or as soon
thereafter as possible. The Department
invites comments regarding the CBP
data and respondent selections
within 10 calendar days of publication of the
Federal Register initiation notice.