4. OMB draws on principles of open government to invite public suggestions about improvements in existing regulations, with particular reference to economic growth.

These recommendations build on those of the 2009 Report, in which OMB emphasized the importance of open government and in particular of obtaining access to “dispersed knowledge” about how to improve regulation. To promote such engagement, OMB requests suggestions about regulatory changes that might serve to promote economic growth, with particular reference to increasing employment, innovation, and competitiveness. OMB is especially interested in identifying both new initiatives and current regulations that might be modified, expanded, or repealed in order to promote those goals. Consistent with Executive Order 12866, OMB welcomes suggestions for regulatory reforms that have significant net benefits, that might increase net exports, and that might promote growth, innovation, and competitiveness for small business, perhaps through increasing flexibility. OMB requests that nominations be submitted electronically to OMB within 60 days from the date of notice publication in the Federal Register through http://www.regulations.gov.

DATES: To ensure consideration of comments as OMB prepares this Draft Report for submission to Congress, comments must be in writing and received by 60 days after publication.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments by one of the following methods:

- Fax: (202) 395–7285.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Congress directed the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to prepare an annual Report to Congress on the Costs and Benefits of Federal Regulations. Specifically, Section 624 of the FY 2001 Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act, also known as the “Regulatory Right-to-Know Act,” (the Act) requires OMB to submit a report on the costs and benefits of Federal regulations together with recommendation for reform. The Act states that the report should contain estimates of the costs and benefits of regulations in the aggregate, by agency and agency program, and by major rule, as well as an analysis of impacts of Federal regulation on State, local, and Tribal governments, small businesses, wages, and economic growth. The Act also states that the report should be subject to notice and comment and peer review.

Cass R. Sunstein,
Administrator, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs.
[FR Doc. 2010–9888 Filed 4–28–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE P

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

Sunshine Act; Notice of a Matter To Be Added to the Agenda for Consideration at an Agency Meeting

TIME AND DATE: 11:15 a.m., Thursday, April 29, 2010.
PLACE: Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314–3428.
STATUS: Closed.

MATTTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:
1b. Consideration of Supervisory Activities. Closed pursuant to Exemptions (8) and (9)(A)(iii).
2b. Personnel. Closed pursuant to Exemption (2).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Rupp, Secretary of the Board, Telephone: 703–518–6304.

Mary Rupp,
Board Secretary.
[FR Doc. 2010–10095 Filed 4–27–10; 4:15 pm]
BILLING CODE P

NATIONAL FOUNDATION FOR THE ARTS AND HUMANITIES

Notice of Continuance for General Clearance for Guidelines, Applications, and Reporting Forms

AGENCY: Institute of Museum and Library Services.

ACTION: Notice of requests for information collection, comment request.

SUMMARY: The Institute of Museum and Library Service (IMLS) as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. The Institute of Museum and Library Services is currently soliciting comments on IMLS program guidelines and reporting requirements.

A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the individual listed below in the ADDRESSES section of this notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the ADDRESSES section below on or before June 26, 2010.

The IMLS is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.
NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request

AGENCY: National Science Foundation.

ACTION: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request.

SUMMARY: The National Science Foundation (NSF) has submitted the following information collection requirement to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. This is the second notice for public comment; the first was published in the Federal Register at 75 FR 4876, and no comments were received. NSF is forwarding the proposed renewal submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance simultaneously with the publication of this second notice. The full submission may be found at: http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Comments regarding (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology should be addressed to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB. Attention: Desk Officer for National Science Foundation, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, and to Suzanne H. Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 295, Arlington, Virginia 22230 or send e-mail to splimpto@nsf.gov. Comments regarding these information collections are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30 days of this notification. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling 703–292–7556.

NSF may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title of Collection: International Cover Page Addendum.

OMB Control No.: 3145–0205.

Abstract

The Office of International Science and Engineering within the Office of the NSF Director will use the International Cover Page Addendum. Principal Investigators submitting proposals to this Office will be asked to complete an electronic version of the International Cover Page Addendum. The Addendum requests foreign counterpart investigator/host information and participant demographics not requested elsewhere in NSF proposal documents.

The information gathered with the International Cover Page Addendum serves four purposes. The first is to enable proposal assignment to the program officer responsible for activity with the primary countries involved. No current component of a standard NSF proposal requests this information. (The international cooperative activities box on the standard NSF Cover Page applies only to one specific type of activity, not the wide range of activities supported by OISE.) NSF proposal assignment applications are program element-based and therefore cannot be used to determine assignment by country. The second use of the information is program management. OISE is committed to investing in activities in all regions of the world. With data from this form, the Office can determine submissions by geographic region. Thirdly, funding decisions cannot be made without details for the international partner not included in any other part of the submission process. The fourth section, counts of scientists and students to be supported by the project, are also not available elsewhere in the proposal since OISE budgets do not include participant support costs. These factors are all important for OISE program management.

Estimated Number of Annual Respondents: 600.

Burden on the Public: 150 hours (15 minutes per respondent).


Suzanne H. Plimpton,
Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 2010–9957 Filed 4–28–10; 8:45 am]
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