

APPENDIX—Continued

[TAA petitions instituted between 3/22/10 and 3/26/10]

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
73782	Metalsa Structural Projects, Inc. (comp)	Stockton, CA	03/24/10	03/22/10
73783	Scot Industries (wkrs)	Hughes Springs, TX	03/24/10	03/23/10
73784	Ferrania USA, Inc. (comp)	St. Paul, MN	03/24/10	03/22/10
73785	Ferrania USA, Inc. (Union)	Murrion, OH	03/24/10	03/22/10
73786	Ferrania USA, Inc. (Union)	Lake Worth, FL	03/24/10	03/22/10
73787	Don Cacciola (Union)	Eagan, MN	03/24/10	03/22/10
73788	Cranston Print Works Company (Company)	Cranston, RI	03/25/10	03/10/10
73789	Application Development Systems (Workers)	Warren, MI	03/25/10	03/23/10
73790	MeadWestVaco (Workers)	Richmond, VA	03/25/10	03/19/10
73791	Burlington Technologies (Company)	Burlington, NC	03/25/10	03/17/10
73792	Kenkel Corporation (Union)	Buffalo, NY	03/25/10	03/17/10
73793	TCM America, Inc. (Company)	West Columbia, SC	03/26/10	03/11/10
73794	TCM America, Inc. (Company)	Houston, TX	03/26/10	03/11/10
73795	TCM America, Inc. (Company)	Swedesboro, NJ	03/26/10	03/11/10

[FR Doc. 2010-9480 Filed 4-22-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 (“the Act”) and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has

instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than May 3, 2010.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than May 3, 2010.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-5428, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 16th day of April 2010.

Elliott Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

APPENDIX

[TAA petitions instituted between 3/29/10 and 4/2/10]

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
73796	TIAA-CREF (State/One-Stop)	Denver, CO	03/29/10	03/26/10
73797	Outotech (USA), Inc. (State/One-Stop)	Centennial, CO	03/29/10	03/26/10
73798	CompuCredit (Workers)	Wilkesboro, NC	03/29/10	03/25/10
73799	Appleseed's Inc. (Company)	Beverly, MA	03/29/10	03/16/10
73800	Sensata Technologies (Company)	Attleboro, MA	03/29/10	03/24/10
73801	Diebold, Inc. (Company)	North Canton, OH	03/29/10	03/19/10
73802	JD Irving Woodland, LLC (Workers)	Fort Kent, ME	03/29/10	03/15/10
73803	Hewlett Packard Company (State/One-Stop)	Syracuse, NY	03/29/10	03/25/10
73804	IBM Corporation (State/One-Stop)	San Ramon, CA	03/29/10	03/24/10
73805	Henkel Corporation (Company)	Billerica, MA	03/29/10	03/23/10
73806	Multina, USA (Company)	Plattsburgh, NY	03/29/10	03/18/10
73807	Keane, Inc. (State/One-Stop)	Boston, MA	03/30/10	03/26/10
73808	Maersk Line Agency (Company)	Madison, NJ	03/30/10	01/12/10
73809	Hewlett Packard/EDS (Workers)	Cupertino, CA	03/30/10	03/19/10
73810	Gaming Partners International, USA (Company)	Las Vegas, NV	03/30/10	03/25/10
73811	Schrupp Industries, Inc. (Workers)	Packer, PA	03/30/10	03/26/10
73812	Johnson Controls, Inc. (Workers)	Rockwood, MI	03/30/10	03/16/10
73813	Fortis Plastics, LLC (Workers)	Henderson, KY	03/30/10	03/22/10
73814	Vought Aircraft (Union)	Grand Prairie, TX	03/30/10	03/26/10
73815	Colfax Envelope Corporation (Workers)	Buffalo Grove, IL	03/30/10	03/15/10
73816	IUE-CWA Local Union 808 (Union)	Evansville, IN	03/30/10	03/24/10
73817	Meridian Autosystems (Workers)	Detroit, MI	03/31/10	02/01/10
73818	EI Detection & Imaging Systems (Workers)	Saxonburg, PA	03/31/10	03/26/10

APPENDIX—Continued

[TAA petitions instituted between 3/29/10 and 4/2/10]

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
73819	KGP Telecommunications (Workers)	South Bend, IN	03/31/10	03/03/10
73820	Adrenaline Sporting Goods, LLC (State/One-Stop)	Sherwood, OR	03/31/10	03/02/10
73821	Shaw Diversified (State/One-Stop)	Algona, WA	03/31/10	03/26/10
73822	Ingersoll Rand (Company)	Athens, PA	03/31/10	03/29/10
73823	Demag Cranes & Components (Union)	Cleveland, OH	03/31/10	02/08/10
73824	Honeywell Safety Products (Company)	Rock Island, IL	03/31/10	03/29/10
73825	Steel Fabricators of Monroe, LLC (Company)	Monroe, LA	04/01/10	03/30/10
73826	Kincaid, Inc. (Company)	Athens, TN	04/01/10	03/31/10
73827	Architectural Glazing Technologies (Company)	Sanford, ME	04/01/10	03/30/10
73828	GKN Axles Jackson Center (Workers)	Jackson Center, OH	04/01/10	03/31/10
73829	Suncor Energy (State/One-Stop)	Greenwood Village, CO	04/01/10	03/11/10
73830	CMC Markets (US), LLC (State/One-Stop)	New York, NY	04/02/10	04/01/10
73831	StarTek (Workers)	Greeley, CO	04/02/10	03/31/10
73832	Intuit (State/One-Stop)	Tucson, AZ	04/02/10	04/01/10
73833	VF Jeanswear (Union)	Holly Pond, AL	04/02/10	04/01/10
73834	William B. Altman, Inc. (Company)	Fenelton, PA	04/02/10	04/01/10
73835	The Hartford Insurance Company (Workers)	Syracuse, NY	04/02/10	03/31/10
73836	Domtar Paper Company (Company)	Columbus, MS	04/02/10	03/30/10
73837	B. Braun Medical, Inc. (Company)	Atlanta, GA	04/02/10	04/01/10
73838	Entree Alaska (Company)	Langley, WA	04/02/10	03/30/10
73839	Duthler Ford Truck, Inc. (Company)	Wyoming, MI	04/02/10	03/25/10
73840	Lochmoor Chrysler Jeep (Workers)	Detroit, MI	04/02/10	03/14/10
73841	HSBC Pay Services, Inc. (Local Branch) (State/One-Stop)	Dayton, OH	04/02/10	03/26/10
73842	Bank of America (Workers)	Addision, TX	04/02/10	03/19/10

[FR Doc. 2010-9481 Filed 4-22-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-59,517]

Advanced Electronics, Inc.; Boston, MA; Notice of Negative Determination on Remand

On July 16, 2009, the U.S. Court of International Trade (USCIT) remanded to the Department of Labor (Department) for further investigation *Former Employees of Advanced Electronics, Inc. v. United States Secretary of Labor* (Court No. 06-00337).

On July 18, 2006, the Department issued a Negative Determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Advanced Electronics, Inc., Boston, Massachusetts (subject firm). The Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on August 4, 2006 (71 FR 44320). Prior to separation, the subject workers produced printed circuit board assemblies.

The determination was based on the Department's findings that the subject firm did not shift production of printed

circuit board (PCB) assemblies to a foreign country or import PCB assemblies or like or directly competitive articles, and that the subject firm's major declining customers did not import PCB assemblies or like or directly competitive articles. Further, the Department determined that a portion of the decline in company sales of PCB assemblies was attributed to declining purchases from a foreign customer during the relevant period.

Administrative reconsideration was not requested by any of the parties pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18.

On October 23, 2007, the USCIT granted the Department's request for voluntary remand to conduct further investigation to determine whether, during the relevant period, any of the foreign customer's facilities located in the United States received PCB assemblies produced by the subject firm and, if so, whether the facility(s) had imported articles like or directly competitive with the PCB assemblies produced by the subject firm.

Based on information obtained during the first remand investigation, the Department determined that the foreign customer did not import articles like or directly competitive with the PCB assemblies produced by the subject firm and issued a Notice of Negative Determination on Remand on December 17, 2007. The Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on December 31, 2007 (72 FR 74340).

Although its November 18, 2008 opinion stated that substantial evidence supported the Department's finding that increasing imports of like or directly competitive articles did not contribute importantly to the subject firm's decreased sales to domestic customers, the USCIT directed the Department to "determine whether, and to what extent, an increase in imports into the United States of articles like or directly competitive with the Company's printed circuit boards caused the Company to lose business from its foreign customer."

Based on information obtained during the second remand, the Department determined that, although the foreign customer did switch from the subject firm to another domestic firm, the domestic customer did not import PCB assemblies that it supplied to the subject firm's foreign customer. On February 19, 2009, the Department issued a Notice of Negative Determination on Remand. The Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on March 3, 2009 (74 FR 9290). SAR 27.

On July 16, 2009, the USCIT granted the Department's request for voluntary remand to address the Plaintiff's allegation that the foreign customer replaced the subject firm with two domestic customers and to determine whether increased imports by either, or both, of the domestic customers, of PCB assemblies that were supplied to the subject firm's foreign customer, contributed importantly to worker