(9) Whether the discharge should be permanently prohibited, allowed as authorized by the Corps, or restricted in time, size or other manner.

All relevant data, studies, knowledge of studies, or informal observations are appropriate.

The record will remain open for comment until June 1, 2010. All comments will be fully considered in reaching a decision to either rescind the proposed determination or forward to EPA Headquarters a recommended determination to prohibit or restrict the discharge of dredged or fill material into Pigeonroost Branch and Oldhouse Branch in connection with construction and operation of Spruce No. 1 Surface Mine.

Dated: March 26, 2010.
Shawn M. Garvin,
Regional Administrator, Region III.
[FR Doc. 2010–7532 Filed 4–1–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE UNITED STATES

Notice of Open Special Meeting of the Sub-Saharan Africa Advisory Committee (SAAC) of the Export-Import Bank of the United States (Export-Import Bank)

SUMMARY: The Sub-Saharan Africa Advisory Committee was established by Public Law 105–121, November 29, 1997, to advise the Board of Directors on the development and implementation of policies and programs designed to support the expansion of the Bank’s financial commitments in Sub-Saharan Africa under the loan, guarantee, and insurance programs of the Bank. Further, the committee shall make recommendations on how the Bank can facilitate greater support by U.S. commercial banks for trade with Sub-Saharan Africa.

Time and Place: April 21, 2010, at 9:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. The meeting will be held at the Export-Import Bank in Room 1143, 811 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20571.

Agenda: Presentation on recent developments in Sub-Saharan Africa markets by Export-Import Bank staff; an update on the Bank’s on-going business development initiatives in the region; and Committee discussion of current challenges and opportunities for U.S. exporters.

Public Participation: The meeting will be open to public participation, and the last 10 minutes will be set aside for oral questions or comments. Members of the public may also file written statement(s) before or after the meeting. If any person wishes auxiliary aids (such as a sign language interpreter) or other special accommodations, please contact, prior to April 21, 2010, Richard Thelen, 811 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20571, Voice: (202) 565–3515 or TDD (202) 565–3377.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information, contact Richard Thelen, 811 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20571, (202) 565–3515.

Jonathan Cordone,
Senior Vice President and General Counsel.
[FR Doc. 2010–7434 Filed 4–1–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6690–01–M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 et seq.) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR Part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The applications also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1843). Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States. Additional information on all bank holding companies may be obtained from the National Information Center website at www.ffiec.gov/nic/.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than April 27, 2010.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas

1. Veritex Holdings, Inc., Dallas, Texas; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of Professional Capital, Inc., Dallas, Texas, and indirectly acquire Professional Bank, N.A., Dallas, Texas.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (Kenneth Binning, Vice President, Applications and Enforcement) 101 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105-1579:

1. SKBHC Holdings, LLC, Corona del Mar, California; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of Starbuck Bancshares, Inc. and thereby indirectly acquire The First National Bank of Starbuck, both of Starbuck, Minnesota.

Robert deV. Frierson,
Deputy Secretary of the Board.
[FR Doc. 2010–7443 Filed 4–1–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6210–01–S

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 10–02]

BDP International, Inc. v. United Transport Tankcontainers, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Complaint and Assignment

Notice is given that a complaint has been filed with the Federal Maritime Commission (“Commission”) by BDP International, Inc. (“BDP”), hereinafter “Complainant,” against United Transport Tankcontainers, Inc. (“United”), hereinafter “Respondent.” Complainant asserts that it is a corporation organized and existing pursuant to the laws of Pennsylvania and an FMC licensed freight forwarder. Complainant asserts that Respondent is a corporation organized and existing pursuant to the laws of Delaware and is a licensed and bonded non-vessel-operating common carrier.

Complainant asserts that by failing to pay freight forwarder compensation to Complainant pursuant to Respondent’s published tariff, Respondent violated Section 10(b)(2)(a) of the Shipping Act of 1984, 46 U.S.C. 41104(2), which prohibits provision of service that is not in accordance with the rates, charges, classifications, rules, and practices contained in a tariff. Complainant asserts that as a direct consequence of Respondent’s unlawful conduct, Complainant has suffered damages in the amount of $143,765.63.

Complainant requests that the Commission compel Respondent to answer the charges made by Complainant; that the Commission hold that Respondent’s actions were in...