government actions. The U.S. is considering the possibility of expanding its existing proposal.

The United States is also considering whether to pursue ratification of the Inter-American Convention on the Law Applicable to International Contracts (known as the Mexico City Convention), which was adopted at the Fifth Inter-American Specialized Conference on Private International Law (CIDIP–V). The United States is exploring the process for obtaining official corrections to the English text of the Convention to conform to the Spanish version. Copies of proposed corrections to the English text can be obtained through the contact points listed below. Other developments which may be relevant to work at the OAS include the proposal at UNCITRAL for future work on on-line dispute resolution and the establishment by the Permanent Bureau of the Hague Conference on Private International Law of an experts group to consider development of a non-binding instrument on choice of law in international commercial contracts.

Time and Place: The public meeting of the Study Group will take place in Room 240, South Building, 2430 E Street, NW., Washington, DC on April 9, 2010. Visitors should enter by the gate at the southwest corner of 23rd and C Streets not later than 12:45 p.m. EDT. The meeting will begin at 1 p.m. and is expected to last no later than 4 p.m. If you are unable to attend the public meeting and would like to participate from a remote location, teleconferencing will be available.

Public Participation: This Study Group meeting is open to the public, subject to the capacity of the meeting room. Access to the meeting building is controlled; persons wishing to attend should contact Tricia Smeltzer or Niesha Toms of the Department of State Legal Adviser’s Office at NieshaToms@state.gov or TomsNN@state.gov and provide your name, e-mail address, and mailing address to get admission into the meeting or to get directions to the office. Please contact Ms. Smeltzer for additional meeting information, any of the documents referenced above, or dial-in information on the conference call. A member of the public needing reasonable accommodation should advise those same contacts not later than April 7th. Requests made after that date will be considered, but might not be able to be fulfilled. Persons who cannot attend or participate by conference call, but who wish to comment on any of the topics referred to above are welcome to do so by e-mail to Michael Dennis at DennisMJ@state.gov.

Michael Dennis,
Attorney-Adviser, Office of Private International Law, Office of the Legal Adviser, Department of State.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 6937]

Waiver of Restriction on Assistance to the Government of Libya

Pursuant to section 7086(c)(2) of the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2010 (Division F, Pub. L. 111–117) (“the Act”), and Department of State Delegation of Authority Number 245–1, I hereby determine that it is important to the national interest of the United States to waive the requirements of section 7086(c)(1) of the Act with respect to the Government of Libya, and I hereby waive such restriction.

This determination shall be reported to the Congress, and published in the Federal Register.


Jacob J. Lew,
Deputy Secretary of State for Management and Resources.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

Notice of Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits Filed Under Subpart B (Formerly Subpart Q) During the Week Ending March 20, 2010

The following Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits were filed under Subpart B (formerly Subpart Q) of the Department of Transportation’s Procedural Regulations (See 14 CFR 301.201 et seq.). The due date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motions to Modify Scope are set forth below for each application. Following the Answer period DOT may process the application by expedited procedures. Such procedures may consist of the adoption of a show-cause order, a tentative order, or in appropriate cases a final order without further proceedings.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

Aviation Proceedings, Agreements Filed the Week Ending March 20, 2010

The following Agreements were filed with the Department of Transportation under sections 412 and 414 of the Federal Aviation Act, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1382 and 1384) and procedures governing proceedings to enforce these provisions. Answers may be filed within 21 days after the filing of the application.


Date Filed: March 16, 2010.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association.

Subject: TC31 North & Central Pacific, Special Passenger Amending Resolution
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, before an agency submits a proposed collection of information to OMB for approval, it must publish a document in the Federal Register providing a 60-day comment period and otherwise consult with members of the public and affected agencies concerning each proposed collection of information. The OMB has promulgated regulations describing what must be included in such a document. Under OMB’s regulations (at 5 CFR 1320.8(d)), an agency must ask for public comment on the following:

(i) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) The accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) How to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) How to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

In compliance with these requirements, NHTSA asks public comment on the following proposed collection of information:

Title: Motivations for Speeding.

Type of Request: New information collection request—focus group follow-up with participants from an earlier on-road instrumented vehicle study.

OMB Clearance Number: N/A.

Form Number: This collection of information uses no standard forms.

Requested Expiration Date of Approval: September 3, 2011.

Summary of the Collection of Information: In Phase 1 of this study, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) conducted on-road instrumented vehicle data collection in Seattle, WA and College Station, TX with a total of 167 participants to examine driving speed patterns with the goals of understanding motivations for speeding. Based on speed patterns in the data from the instrumented vehicle phase of this study, NHTSA plans to follow-up with these same subjects in focus groups in Phase 2 of the research to develop a better understanding of speeding and speeding-related courses, speed awareness courses, engineering countermeasures, and automated enforcement) and the acceptance and potential effectiveness of the countermeasures. The focus groups will include: general discussions of speed choices and speeding behaviors and the factors that influence them, discussions of beliefs and attitudes toward speeding, reactions to and discussions about specific driving scenarios, and individual/group responses to various speeding countermeasures. The focus groups are expected to provide data relevant to descriptions of key motivations, attitudes, normative commitment to law, driving habits relevant to speeding and speeding countermeasures; descriptions of countermeasures with the greatest likely benefits; implementation issues and concerns associated with the countermeasures; and key advantages and disadvantages associated with various countermeasures.

Description of the Need for the Information and Proposed Use of the Information—The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) was established by the Highway Safety Act of 1970 (23 U.S.C. 101) to carry out a Congressional mandate to reduce the mounting number of deaths, injuries, and economic losses resulting from motor vehicle crashes on the Nation’s highways. Speeding is one of the primary factors leading to vehicle crashes. In 2008, 31% of all fatal crashes were speeding-related. The estimated economic cost to society for speeding-related crashes is $40.4 billion per year. Driving at higher speeds reduces the ability of drivers to avoid obstacles or react to sudden changes in the roadway environment and increases crash severity. The pervasiveness of speeding behavior is reflected in a recent national survey that showed that approximately 75% of all drivers reported speeding in the past month. Since most drivers often do not see speeding as risky or dangerous behavior, it is imperative that NHTSA gain a better understanding of the motivations for speeding behaviors in order to develop and refine effective interventions and countermeasures. These focus groups, directly linked to the driving speed patterns of drivers in Phase 1 of the study, will provide important new information on the reasons drivers choose to drive at certain speeds and what