to water resources, fish and wildlife, and soils. Rating EC2.

**EIS No. 20090436, ERP No. D–AFS–L65325–OR, Canyon Fuels and Vegetation Management Project, Proposed Fuels and Vegetation Treatment to Reduce the Risk of Stand Loss Due to Overly Dense Stand Conditions, Lookout Mountain Ranger District, Ochoco National Forest, Crook County, OR.**

**Summary:** EPA expressed environmental concerns about water quality and habitat impacts, and recommend the inclusion of additional information on riparian harvest prescriptions and grazing management in riparian habitat conservation areas. Rating EC1.

**EIS No. 20100004, ERP No. D–NOA–A91078–00, Amendment 11 to the Atlantic Mackerel, Squid, and Butterflyfish (MSB), Fishery Management Plan (FMP), Establish an Atlantic Mackerel Limited Access Program, Implementation.**

**Summary:** EPA does not object to the proposed action. Rating LO.

**EIS No. 20100005, ERP No. DS–FH–F40427–WI, WI–23 Highway Project, Transportation Improve between Fond du Lac and Plymouth, Fond du Lac and Sheboygan Counties, WI.**

**Summary:** EPA expressed environmental concerns about wetlands, air quality, upland habitat, noise, and cumulative impacts. Rating EC2.

**EIS No. 20100002, ERP No. DS–AFS–J65416–WY, Bridger–Teton National Forest, Proposal to Determine What Terms and Conditions to Allow Development of Oil and Gas Leasing in the Wyoming Range, Sublette County, WY.**

**Summary:** EPA does not object to the proposed action. Rating LO.

**Final EISs**

**EIS No. 20100020, ERP No. F–FTA–G59602–TX, University Corridor Fixed Guideway Project, To Implement Transit Improvements from hillcroft Transit Center to the Vicinity of the University of Houston (UH)—Central Campus or the Eastwood Transit Center, City of Houston, Harris County, TX.**

**Summary:** No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.


**Summary:** EPA expressed environmental concerns about the impacts to marine habitats and migratory species from dredge/fill actions.

**EIS No. 20100026, ERP No. F–NOA–E91029–00, Amendment 31 to the Fishery Management Plan for Reef Fish Resources, Addresses Bycatch of Sea Turtles in the Bottom Longline Component of the Reef Fish Fishery, Gulf of Mexico.**

**Summary:** While EPA continues to support the reduction of sea turtle bycatch in bottom longline Reef Fish Fishery proposed by Amendment 31, EPA expressed concern that additional research is needed to supplement the proposed actions to successfully reduce turtle bycatch.

**EIS No. 20100043, ERP No. F–FH–H40194–IA, Southeast (SE) Connector in Des Moines, Iowa, To Provide a Safe and Efficient Link between the MLK Jr. Parkway at SE 14th Street to the U.S. 65 Bypass, Funding, US Army COE Section 404 and NPDES Permits, Polk County, IA.**

**Summary:** EPA’s previous comments have been addressed; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed action.


Kenneth Mittelholz,

*Deputy Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.*

[FR Doc. 2010–6771 Filed 3–25–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

* [ER–FRL–8989–3] Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

**Responsible Agency:** Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–1399 or http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed 03/15/2010 Through 03/19/2010 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

**Notice**

In accordance with Section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to make its comments on EISs issued by other Federal agencies public.

Historically, EPA has met this mandate by publishing weekly notices of availability of EPA comments, which includes a brief summary of EPA’s comment letters, in the Federal Register. Since February 2008, EPA has been including its comment letters on EISs on its Web site at: http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/eisdata.html. Including the entire EIS comment letters on the Web site satisfies the Section 309(a) requirement to make EPA’s comments on EISs available to the public. Accordingly, after March 31, 2010, EPA will discontinue the publication of this notice of availability of EPA comments in the Federal Register.


**EIS No. 20100088, Final EIS, USFS, ID, Small-Scale Suction Dredging in Lolo Creek and Moose Creek Project, Updated Information to Analysis Three Alternatives, Clearwater National Forest, North Fork Ranger District, Clearwater and Idaho Counties, ID, Wait Period Ends: 04/26/2010, Contact: Douglas Gober, 208–476–4541.**


**EIS No. 20100092, Final EIS, USFS, CA, Shasta-Trinity National Forest Motorized Travel Management Project, Proposal to Prohibit Cross-County Motor Vehicle Travel off Designated National Forest Transportation System (NFTS) Roads, Motorized Trails and Areas by the Public Except as Allowed by Permit**
or other Authorization (excluding snowmobile use), CA, Wait Period Ends: 04/26/2010, Contact: Tom Kisanuki, 530–226–2421.

EIS No. 20100093, Draft EIS, NRG, TX, South Texas Project, Electric Generating Station Units 3 and 4, Application for Combined Licenses (COLs) for Construction Permits and Operating Licenses, Matagorda County, TX, Comment Period Ends: 06/09/2010, Contact: Jessie M. Muir, 301–415–0491.


Amended Notices

EIS No. 20090362, Draft EIS, DOE, WA, Hanford Site Tank Closure and Waste Management Project, Implementation, Richland, Benton County, WA, Comment Period Ends: 05/03/2010, Contact: Mary Beth Burandi, 888–829–6347. Revision to FR Notice Published 10/30/2009: Extending Comment Period from 03/19/2010 to 05/03/2010.

EIS No. 20100077, Final EIS, USFWS, NV, Southeastern Lincoln County Habitat Conservation Plan, Application Package for Three Incidental Take Permits, Authorize the Take of Desert Tortoise (Gopherus agassizii) and Southwestern Willow Flycatcher (Empidonax traillii extimus), Implementation, Lincoln County, NV, Wait Period Ends: 04/19/2010, Contact: John Robles, 916–414–6731. Revision FR Notice Published 03/19/2010: Correction to Comment Due Date from 05/03/2010 to 04/19/2010.

EIS No. 20100079, Revised Draft EIS, FRA, SC, VOID-Bay Area to Central Valley High-Speed Train (HST) Project, Additional Information and Analysis Needed for Compliance with the Court Judgement, Provide a Reliable High-Speed Electrified Train System to Link Bay Area Cities to the Central Valley, Sacramento, and South California, Comment Period Ends: 05/03/2010, Contact: Dan Leavitt, 916–324–1541. This DEIS was inadvertently filed and published in 03/19/2010 FR. This is a State document which is not required to be filed with EPA.


Ken Mittelholz,
Deputy Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission for Extension Under Delegated Authority, Comments Requested

March 22, 2010.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3501–3520.

Comments are requested concerning: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission’s burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, and (e) ways to further reduce the information collection burden on small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.

The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

DATES: Persons wishing to comments on this information collection should submit comments on or before May 25, 2010. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all PRA comments to Nicholas A. Fraser, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), via fax at (202) 395–5167, or via the Internet at Nicholas.A.Fraser@omb.eop.gov and to Judith B. Herman, Federal Communications Commission (FCC). To submit your PRA comments by e–mail send them to: PRA@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Judith B. Herman, Office of Managing Director, (202) 418–0214. For additional information about the information collection(s) send an e–mail to PRA@fcc.gov or contact Judith B. Herman, 202–418–0214.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No: 3060–0355. Title: Rate–of–Return Reports. Form Nos.: FCC Forms 492 and 492–A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 80 respondents.

Estimated Time Per Response: 8 hours.

Frequency of Response: Annual reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Obligation to Respond: Mandatory. Statutory authority for this collection of information is contained in 47 U.S.C. sections 160, 161, 209(b), and 220.

Total Annual Burden: 640 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A. Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: The Commission does not require respondents to submit confidential materials. However, if the respondents wish to submit materials they believe is confidential, they may do so under 47 CFR 0.459 of the Commission’s rules.

Need and Uses: The Commission will submit this expiring information collection to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) after this 60 day comment period in order to obtain the full three year clearance from them. There is no change in the reporting and/or recordkeeping requirements. There is a 288 hour adjustment reduction which is due to fewer respondents (from 111 to 80 respondents) subject to the requirements.

FCC Form 492 is filed by each local exchange carrier (LEC) or groups of carriers who file individual access tariffs or who are not subject to Sections 61.41 through 61.49 of the Commission’s rules. Each LEC, or group of affiliated carriers, subject to the