

published the notice of initiation of the third sunset review of the order on natural bristle paint brushes and brush heads pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. *See Sunset Initiation*, 74 FR 56593. On November 17, 2009, the Department received a timely and complete notice of intent to participate in the sunset review from the Paint Applicators Trade Action Coalition (“PATAAC”), a trade association whose members are the Wooster Brush Company, True Value Manufacturing, and Elder and Jenks, Inc., as domestic interested parties, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1). On December 2, 2009, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3), PATAAC filed a timely and complete substantive response within 30 days after the date of publication of the *Sunset Initiation*. The Department did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested party in the sunset review. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited sunset review of the *Order*.

#### Scope of the Order:

The merchandise covered by the order are natural bristle paintbrushes and brush heads from the PRC. Excluded from the order are paint brushes and brush heads with a blend of 40 percent natural bristles and 60 percent synthetic filaments. The merchandise under review is currently classifiable under item 9603.40.40.40 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the Department’s written description of the scope of the merchandise is dispositive.

#### Analysis of Comments Received:

A complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review is addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. *See* “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results in the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Natural Bristle Paint Brushes and Brush Heads from the People’s Republic of China,” from John M. Andersen, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated concurrent with this notice (“I&D Memo”). The issues discussed in the accompanying I&D Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the dumping margin likely to prevail if the Order was revoked. Parties can obtain a public

copy of the I&D Memo on file in the Central Records Unit, room 1117, of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete public version of the I&D Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the I&D Memo are identical in content.

#### Final Results of Review:

The Department determines that revocation of the order on natural bristle paint brushes and brush heads would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the rates listed below:

Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted-Average Margin (Percent)
Hebei Animal By-Products Import/Export Corp. ....	351.92
Hunan Provincial Native Produce and Animal By-Products Import/Export Corp..	351.92
Peace Target, Inc. ....	351.92
PRC-Wide Entity .....	351.92

#### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order:

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 9, 2010.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**  
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2010-6298 Filed 3-19-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

(A-580-816)

#### Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Final Results of the Fifteenth Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On September 8, 2009, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative review for certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products (CORE) from the Republic of Korea (Korea). *See Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 46110 (September 8, 2009) (*Preliminary Results*). This review covers seven manufacturers and exporters (collectively, the respondents) of the subject merchandise: LG Chem., Ltd. (LG Chem), Haewon MSC Co. Ltd. (Haewon), Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd., (Dongbu), Hyundai HYSCO (HYSCO), Pohang Iron & Steel Co., Ltd. (POSCO) and Pohang Coated Steel Co., Ltd. (POCOS) (collectively, the POSCO Group), and Union Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (Union) (collectively, respondents).<sup>1</sup> The period of review (POR) is August 1, 2007, through July 31, 2008.

As a result of our analysis of the comments received, these final results differ from the *Preliminary Results*. For our final results, we find that HYSCO, the POSCO Group, and Union, made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV). In addition, based on the final results for the respondents selected for individual review, we have determined a weighted-average margin for those companies that were not selected for individual review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 22, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dennis McClure (Union), Christopher Hargett (HYSCO) and Victoria Cho (the POSCO Group, and non-selected companies), AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5973,

<sup>1</sup> As noted in the *Preliminary Results*, the Department selected HYSCO and Union as mandatory respondents in this review. *See* Memorandum from Christopher Hargett, International Trade Compliance Analyst, through James Terpstra, Program Manager, to Melissa Skinner, Director, Office 3, entitled “2007-2008 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Selection of Respondents for Individual Review,” dated December 8, 2008. On July 8, 2009, we reconsidered our resources and found it practicable to review the POSCO Group as a voluntary respondent. *See* Memorandum from James Terpstra to Melissa Skinner entitled “2007-2008 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Selection of POSCO as a Voluntary Respondent,” dated July 8, 2009.

(202) 482-4161, and (202) 482-5075, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On September 8, 2009, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*. In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department determined that HYSCO, the POSCO Group, and Union made sales of subject merchandise at less than NV during the POR. In addition, based on the preliminary results for the respondents selected for individual review, the Department calculated a weighted-average margin for those companies that were not selected for individual review. On November 16, 2009, the Department extended the time limits for the final results of this review until no later than March 8, 2010. See *Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 58945 (November 16, 2009).

##### Comments from Interested Parties

We invited parties to comment on our *Preliminary Results*. On January 20, 2010, United States Steel Corporation (US Steel) filed its case briefs concerning all three mandatory respondents. On the same day, the three mandatory respondents filed case briefs. On January 27, 2010, US Steel, and Nucor Corporation (Nucor) filed rebuttal briefs concerning all of the mandatory respondents. The three mandatory respondents filed rebuttal briefs on the same day. The Department conducted a public hearing on January 28, 2010.

##### Scope of the Order

This order covers cold-rolled (cold-reduced) carbon steel flat-rolled carbon steel products, of rectangular shape,

either clad, plated, or coated with corrosion-resistant metals such as zinc, aluminum, or zinc-, aluminum-, nickel- or iron-based alloys, whether or not corrugated or painted, varnished or coated with plastics or other nonmetallic substances in addition to the metallic coating, in coils (whether or not in successively superimposed layers) and of a width of 0.5 inch or greater, or in straight lengths which, if of a thickness less than 4.75 millimeters, are of a width of 0.5 inch or greater and which measures at least 10 times the thickness or if of a thickness of 4.75 millimeters or more are of a width which exceeds 150 millimeters and measures at least twice the thickness, as currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers 7210.30.0030, 7210.30.0060, 7210.41.0000, 7210.49.0030, 7210.49.0090, 7210.61.0000, 7210.69.0000, 7210.70.6030, 7210.70.6060, 7210.70.6090, 7210.90.1000, 7210.90.6000, 7210.90.9000, 7212.20.0000, 7212.30.1030, 7212.30.1090, 7212.30.3000, 7212.30.5000, 7212.40.1000, 7212.40.5000, 7212.50.0000, 7212.60.0000, 7215.90.1000, 7215.90.3000, 7215.90.5000, 7217.20.1500, 7217.30.1530, 7217.30.1560, 7217.90.1000, 7217.90.5030, 7217.90.5060, 7217.90.5090. Included in this order are corrosion-resistant flat-rolled products of non-rectangular cross-section where such cross-section is achieved subsequent to the rolling process (i.e., products which have been "worked after rolling") - for example, products which have been beveled or rounded at the edges. Excluded from this order are flat-rolled steel products either plated or coated with tin, lead, chromium, chromium oxides, both tin

and lead (terne plate), or both chromium and chromium oxides (tin-free steel), whether or not painted, varnished or coated with plastics or other nonmetallic substances in addition to the metallic coating. Also excluded from this order are clad products in straight lengths of 0.1875 inch or more in composite thickness and of a width which exceeds 150 millimeters and measures at least twice the thickness. Also excluded from this order are certain clad stainless flat-rolled products, which are three-layered corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat-rolled products less than 4.75 millimeters in composite thickness that consist of a carbon steel flat-rolled product clad on both sides with stainless steel in a 20%-60%-20% ratio.

These HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written descriptions remain dispositive.

##### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised, and to which we have responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

##### Final Results of Review:

We determine that the following weighted-average margins exist:

Manufacturer/exporter	Percent margin
HYSCO .....	3.29%
The POSCO Group .....	0.01% ( <i>de minimis</i> )
Union .....	14.01%
Review-Specific Average Rate Applicable to the Following Companies <sup>2</sup> : LG Chem, Haewon, and Dongbu .....	8.65%

<sup>2</sup> This rate is a simple average percentage margin (based on the two reviewed companies with an affirmative deposit rate) for the period August 1, 2007, through July 31, 2008, and normally does not include zero and *de minimis* rates or any rates based solely on the facts available.

##### Assessment

The Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b). The Department calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total antidumping duties

calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the examined sales for that importer. Where the assessment rate is above *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to assess duties on all entries of subject merchandise by that importer. The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days

after publication of these final results of review.

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003 (68 FR 23954). This clarification applies to POR entries of subject merchandise produced by companies examined in this review (*i.e.*, companies for which a dumping margin was calculated) where the companies

did not know that their merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of CORE from Korea entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided by section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act): (1) for companies covered by this review, the cash deposit rate will be the rate listed above; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies other than those covered by this review, the cash deposit rate will be the company-specific rate established for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the subject merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the producer is a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the investigation, the cash deposit rate will be 17.70 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent increase in antidumping duties by the amount of antidumping and/or countervailing duties reimbursed.

#### Administrative Protective Order

This notice also is the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or

destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 15, 2010.

**Kim Glas,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

#### Appendix I

List of Comments in the Accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum:

##### A. General Issues

*Comment 1:* Treatment of "Negative Dumping Margins" (Zeroing)

*Comment 2:* Comment 2: Home Market Revenue for the POSCO Group (Freight and Interest) and HYSCO (Interest)

*Comment 3:* Use of Quarterly Cost Methodology

*Comment 4:* Laminated Products

##### B. Company-Specific Issues

*Hyundai HYSCO*

*Comment 5:* Date of Sale

*Comment 6:* Liquidations Instructions

*Comment 7:* Major Input Adjustments

*The POSCO Group*

*Comment 8:* Inadvertent Omission of Certain U.S. Sales from POSCO's Margin Calculations in the Post-Preliminary Analysis

*Comment 9:* The Treatment of Certain SAS Programming for the POSCO Group

*Comment 10:* The Department's Calculation of POCOS' Loans in the Calculation of the Home Market Interest Rate

*Comment 11:* The Department's Calculation of POSCO America Corporation (POSAM)'s Indirect Selling Expense

*Comment 12:* Financial Expense Ratio Calculation

*Comment 13:* Margin Calculation Error for Applying General and Administrative Expense Ratio Union

*Comment 14:* Window Period Sales

*Comment 15:* The Treatment of Overrun

*Comment 16:* Union's General and Administrative and Financial Expense Ratios

[FR Doc. 2010-6258 Filed 3-19-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-840]

#### Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From India: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Changed-Circumstances Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* March 22, 2010.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received information sufficient to warrant the initiation of a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp from India. Specifically, based on a request filed by R.D.R. Exports, the Department is initiating a changed circumstances review to determine whether R.D.R. Exports is the successor-in-interest to Jaya Satya Marine Exports Pvt. Ltd. (Jaya Satya).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Blaine Wiltse; AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6345.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On February 1, 2005, the Department published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp from India. See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from India*, 70 FR 5147 (Feb. 1, 2005) (*Shrimp Order*).

On January 25, 2010, R.D.R. Exports informed the Department that it purchased the packing plant formerly owned and operated by Jaya Satya, and provided certain documentation related to this claim. Additionally, R.D.R. Exports requested that the Department conduct an expedited changed circumstances review under 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(iii) to confirm that R.D.R. Exports is the successor-in-interest to Jaya Satya for purposes of determining antidumping duty cash deposits and liabilities.

Normally, the Department will initiate a changed circumstances review within 45 days of the date on which the request is filed. See 19 CFR 351.216(b). However, as explained in the memorandum from the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import